INTRODUCTION

Let $F$ be a number field and $X$ an algebraic variety over $F$; we write $X(F)$ for the set of its $F$-rational points. The height of an $F$-rational point $x = (x_0 : \ldots : x_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n(F)$ of a projective space is given by

$$H(x) := \prod_v \max_j |x_j|_v,$$

where the product is over the set of all valuations of $F$ and $|\cdot|_v$ is the standard $v$-adic absolute value. Let $G$ be a linear algebraic group over $F$ and

$$\rho : G \to \text{PGL}_{n+1}$$

a projective rational representation of $G$. Assume that there exists a point $e \in \mathbb{P}^n$ with trivial stabilizer (under the action of $\rho(G)$). We are...
interested in the asymptotics of
\[ N(B) := \{ \gamma \in G(F) \mid H(\rho(\gamma) \cdot e) \leq B \}, \quad B \to \infty. \]

An alternative geometric description of the problem is as follows: Consider the Zariski closure \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^n \) of the orbit
\[ \{ \rho(\gamma) \cdot e \mid \gamma \in G(F) \}. \]
Then \( X \) is an equivariant compactification of \( G \), embedded by a \( G \)-linearized (ample) line bundle \( L \). Choosing a particular height in the ambient projective space amounts to choosing an adelic metrization \( \mathcal{L} := (L, \| \cdot \|_v) \) of \( L \) (see Section 8 for the definitions). In this setup, the problem is to understand
\[ (0.1) \quad N(\mathcal{L}, B) := \{ \gamma \in G(F) \mid H_\mathcal{L}(\gamma) \leq B \}, \quad B \to \infty, \]
where \( H_\mathcal{L} \) is the height defined by \( \mathcal{L} \).

In this paper we consider smooth projective bi-equivariant compactifications of a unipotent group \( G \) over \( F \). This means that \( G \) is contained in \( X \) as a Zariski open subset and that the natural left and right action of \( G \) on itself extend to a left and right action of \( G \) on \( X \). Alternatively, one may think of \( X \) as an equivariant compactification of the homogeneous space \( G \times G / G \).

The main result is the determination of the asymptotic (0.1) for arbitrary bi-equivariant compactifications \( X \) as above and \( \mathcal{L} = -K_X \), the anticanonical line bundle equipped with a smooth adelic metrization, proving Manin’s conjecture [12] and its refinement by Peyre [22] for this class of varieties.

It turns out that the geometric language is more adequate for the description of the asymptotic behavior. More precisely, denote by \( \text{Pic}(X) \) the Picard group of \( X \), this is a free abelian group generated by the classes of the boundary components \( D_\alpha \), and we will generally identify divisors and their classes in \( \text{Pic}(X) \). Our main result is a proof of Manin’s conjecture:

**Theorem 1.** Let \( X \) be a smooth projective bi-equivariant compactification of \( G \), with boundary
\[ X \setminus G = \cup_{\alpha \in A} D_\alpha \]
a normal crossings divisor consisting of geometrically irreducible components. Then
\[ N(-K_X, B) = \tau(-K_X) B \log(B)^{b-1}(1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } B \to \infty, \]
where \( b = \text{rk Pic}(X) = \# A \) is the number of boundary components and \( \tau(-K_X) \) is the Tamagawa number defined by Peyre [22].
We now give an outline of the proof. In Section 2 we recall some basic structural results concerning nilpotent algebras and unipotent groups. In Section 3 we discuss coadjoint orbits and their parametrization and in Section 4 integral structures. In Section 5 we collect facts regarding unitary representations of unipotent groups over the adeles. In Section 6 we study the action of the universal enveloping algebra in representation spaces. All of the above material is standard and can be found in the books [7], [10] and the papers [17], [21].

In Section 7 we turn to equivariant compactifications of unipotent groups and describe the relevant geometric invariants and constructions. In Section 8 we introduce the height pairing

\[ H = \prod_v H_v : \text{Pic}(X)_\mathbb{C} \times G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}, \]

generalizing the usual heights, and the height zeta function

\[ Z(s; g) := \sum_{\gamma \in G(F)} H(s; \gamma g)^{-1}. \]

By the projectivity of \( X \), the series converges to a function which is continuous and bounded in \( g \) and holomorphic in \( s \) for \( \Re(s) \) contained in some cone \( \Lambda \subset \text{Pic}(X)_\mathbb{R} \). Our goal is establish analytic properties, and in particular, obtain a meromorphic continuation of the 1-parameter height zeta functions

\[ Z(sL) = \sum_{\gamma \in G(F)} H_L(sL, \gamma)^{-1}, \]

obtained by restricting the multiparameter zeta function \( Z(s; g) \) to the complex line through \( L \) and the identity \( g = e \in G(\mathbb{A}_F) \).

To describe the polar set, we use the classes \( D_\alpha \) as a basis of \( \text{Pic}(X) \). In this basis, the pseudo-effective cone \( \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \subset \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \) consists of classes \( (l_\alpha) \in \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \) with \( l_\alpha \geq 0 \) for all \( \alpha \). Let

\[ -K_X = \kappa = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \kappa_\alpha D_\alpha \in \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}, \]

be the anticanonical class. We know (see Proposition 7.3) that \( \kappa_\alpha \geq 2 \), for all \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \). Conjecturally, analytic properties of height zeta functions \( Z(sL) \) depend on the location of \( L = (l_\alpha) \in \text{Pic}(X) \) with respect to the anticanonical class and the cone \( \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \) (see [12], [22] and [3]). Precisely, define

- \( a(L) := \inf \{ a | aL + K_X \in \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \} = \max_\alpha (\kappa_\alpha / l_\alpha); \)
- \( b(L) := \# \{ \alpha | \kappa_\alpha = a(L) l_\alpha \}; \)
\[ C(L) := \{ \alpha \mid \kappa_\alpha \neq a(L) l_\alpha \}; \]
\[ c(L) := \prod_{\alpha \notin C(L)} l_\alpha^{-1}. \]

Then, conjecturally,
\[ Z(sL) = \frac{c(L) \tau(L)}{(s - a(L))^{b(L)}} + \frac{h(s)}{(s - a(L))^{b(L)-1}}, \]

where \( h(s) \) is a holomorphic function (for \( \Re(s) > a(L) - \delta \), some \( \delta > 0 \)) and \( \tau(L) \) is a positive real number. Given this, Tauberian theorems imply
\[ N(L, B) = \frac{c(L) \tau(L)}{a(L)(b(L) - 1)!} B^{a(L)} \log(B)^{b(L)-1}(1 + o(1)), \]
as \( B \to \infty \), for certain constants \( \tau(L) \) defined in [3]. Here we establish this for \( L = -K_X \), via a spectral expansion of \( Z(s; g) \) from Equation (1.1).

The bi-equivariance of \( X \) implies that \( H \) is invariant under the action on both sides of a compact open subgroup \( K \) of the finite adeles \( G(A_{\text{fin}}) \). Furthermore, we assume that \( H_v \) is smooth for archimedean \( v \). We observe that
\[ Z(s; g) \in L^2(G(F) \setminus G(A))^K \]
and we proceed to analyze its spectral decomposition. We get a formal identity
\[ Z(s; g) = \sum_\varrho Z_\varrho(s; g), \]

where the summation is over all irreducible unitary representations \( (\varrho, \mathcal{H}_\varrho) \) of \( G(A) \) occurring in the right regular representation of \( G(A) \) in \( L^2(G(F) \setminus G(A)) \). These are parametrized by \( F \)-rational orbits \( O = O_\varrho \) under the coadjoint action of \( G \) on the dual of its Lie algebra \( g^* \). The relevant orbits are integral - there exists a lattice in \( g^*(F) \) such that \( Z_\varrho(s; g) = 0 \) unless the intersection of \( O \) with this lattice is nonempty. The pole of highest order is contributed by the trivial representation and integrality insures that this representation is “isolated”.

Let \( \varrho \) be a representation as above. Then \( \varrho \) arises from some
\[ \pi = \text{Ind}_M^G(\psi), \]
where \( M \subset G \) is an \( F \)-rational subgroup and \( \psi \) is a certain character of \( M(A) \) (see Proposition 5.5). In particular, for the trivial representation,
M = G and ψ is the trivial character. Further, there exists a finite set of places \( S = S_\emptyset \) such that \( \dim \varrho_v = 1 \) for \( v \notin S \) and consequently

\[
Z_\emptyset(s; g') = Z^S(s; g') \cdot Z_S(s; g').
\]

We prove that

\[
Z^S(s; g') := \prod_{v \notin S} \int_{M(F_v)} H_v(s; m_v g'_v)^{-1} \overline{\psi}(m_v g'_v) dm_v,
\]

(with an appropriately normalized Haar measure \( dm_v \) on \( M(F_v) \)).

The first key result is the explicit computation of height integrals:

\[
\int_{M(F_v)} H_v(s; m_v g'_v)^{-1} \overline{\psi}(m_v g'_v) dm_v
\]

for almost all \( v \) (see Section 9). This has been done in [5] for equivariant compactifications of additive groups \( G^n_a \); the same approach works here as well. We regard the height integrals as geometric versions of Igusa’s integrals (see [6]).

For the trivial representation and \( v \notin S \), we have

\[
\int_{G(F_v)} H(s; g_v)^{-1} dg_v = q_v^{-\dim X} \left( \sum_{A \subseteq A} D_A^0(k_v) \prod_{\alpha \in A} \frac{q_v - 1}{q_v^{s_\alpha} - \kappa_\alpha + 1} - 1 \right),
\]

where

\[
D_A := \cap_{\alpha \in A} D_\alpha, \quad D_A^0 := D_A \setminus \cup_{A' \supsetneq A} D_{A'}
\]

and \( q_v \) is the cardinality of the residue field \( k_v \) at \( v \). Restricting to the line through \(-K_X\), we find that the resulting Euler product \( Z^S(-sK_X) \) is regularized by a product of (truncated) Dedekind zeta functions, thus is holomorphic for \( \Re(s) > 1 \), admits a meromorphic continuation to \( \Re(s) > 1 - \delta \), for some \( \delta > 0 \), and has an isolated pole of order \( \text{rk Pic}(X) \) at \( s = 1 \), with the expected leading coefficient \( \tau(-K_X) \). Similarly, we identify the poles of \( Z^S \) for nontrivial representations: again, they are regularized by products of (truncated) Dedekind zeta functions and thus admit a meromorphic continuation to the same domain, with at most an isolated pole at \( s = 1 \); but the order of the pole at \( s = 1 \) is strictly smaller than \( \text{rk Pic}(X) \).

Next we need to estimate \( \dim \varrho_v \) and the local integrals for nonarchimedean \( v \in S \) (see Sections 5.7 and 9). Then we turn to archimedean places. Using integration by parts, we prove in Lemma 9.7 that for all
\( \epsilon > 0 \) and all (left or right) \( G \)-invariant differential operators \( \partial \) there exist constants \( c = c(\epsilon, \partial) \) and \( N = N(\partial) \) such that

(1.6) \[ \int_{G(F_v)} |\partial H_v(s; g_v)^{-1}dg_v|_v \leq c \cdot \|s\|^N, \]

for all \( s \) with \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1 + \epsilon \), for all \( \alpha \in A \).

Let \( v \) be real. It is known that \( \varrho_v \) admits a standard model \( (\pi_v, L^2(\mathbb{R}^r)) \), where \( 2r = \dim \mathcal{O} \). More precisely, there exists an isometry

\[ j : (\pi_v, L^2(\mathbb{R}^r)) \rightarrow (\varrho_v, \mathcal{H}_v), \]

an analog of the \( \Theta \)-distribution. Moreover, the universal enveloping algebra \( \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \) surjects onto the Weyl algebra of differential operators with polynomial coefficients acting on the smooth vectors \( C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^r) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^r) \). In particular, we can find an operator \( \Delta \) acting as the \( r \)-dimensional harmonic oscillator

\[ \prod_{j=1}^r \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - a_j x_j^2 \right), \]

with \( a_j > 0 \). We choose an orthonormal basis of \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^r) \) consisting of \( \Delta \)-eigenfunctions \( \{ \tilde{\omega}_\lambda \} \) (which are well known) and analyze

\[ \int_{G(F_v)} H_v(s; g_v)^{-1}\tilde{\omega}_\lambda(g_v)dg_v, \]

where \( \omega_\lambda = j^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_\lambda) \). Using integration by parts and (1.6) we find that for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there exist constants \( c = c(n, \Delta) \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that this integral is bounded by

(1.7) \[ c \cdot \lambda^{-n} \cdot \|s\|^N, \]

for \( s \) with \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1 + \epsilon \), for all \( \alpha \). This estimate suffices to conclude that for each \( \varrho \) the function \( \mathcal{Z}_\varrho \) is holomorphic in a neighborhood of \( \kappa \); indeed it will be majorized by

\[ \sum_{\lambda} \lambda^{-n}, \]

the spectral zeta function of a compact manifold, which converges for sufficiently large \( n \geq 0 \) (see Section 9 and the Appendix).

Now the issue is to prove the convergence of the sum in (1.3). Using any element \( \partial \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \) acting in \( \mathcal{H}_\varrho \) by a scalar \( \lambda(\partial) \neq 0 \) (for example, any element in the center of \( \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \)) we can improve the bound (1.7) to

\[ c \cdot \lambda^{-n_1} \cdot \lambda(\partial)^{-n_2} \cdot \|s\|^N \]
(for any $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and some constants $c = c(n_1, n_2, \Delta, \partial)$ and $N = N(\Delta, \partial)$. However, we have to insure the uniformity of such estimates over the set of all $g$. This relies on a parametrization of coadjoint orbits. There is a finite set $\Sigma$ of “packets” of coadjoint orbits, each parametrized by a locally closed subvariety $Z_\sigma \subset g^*$, and for each $\sigma$ a finite set of $F$-rational polynomials $\{P_{\sigma,j}\}$ on $g^*$ such that each $P_{\sigma,j}$ is invariant under the coadjoint action and nonvanishing on the stratum $Z_\sigma$. Consequently, the corresponding derivatives

$$\partial_{\sigma,j} \in \mathfrak{U}(g)$$

act in $\mathcal{H}_g$ by multiplication by the scalar

$$\lambda_{\sigma,j}(\ell) = P_{\sigma,j}(2\pi i \ell), \quad \ell \in \mathcal{O}.$$ 

Recall that $\ell$ varies over a lattice; applying several times $\partial_\sigma = \prod_j \partial_{\sigma,j}$ we obtain the uniform convergence of the right hand side in (1.3).

The last technical point is to prove that both expressions (1.1) and (1.3) for $\mathcal{Z}(-sK_X; g)$ define continuous functions on $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$. Then (1.3) gives the desired meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{Z}(-sK_X; e)$.
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2. Nilpotent Lie algebras and unipotent groups

In this section we recall basic properties of nilpotent Lie algebras and unipotent groups. We work over a field $F$ of characteristic zero.

2.1. Nilpotent algebras. Let $g = (g, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be an $n$-dimensional Lie algebra over $F$: an affine space over $F$ of dimension $n$ together with a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfying the Jacobi identity. Denote by $\mathfrak{z}_g$ the center of $g$. For a subset $h \subset g$ we denote by

$$n_g(h) := \{X \in g \mid [X, h] \subset h\}$$

its normalizer and by

$$z_g(h) := \{X \in g \mid [X, Y] = 0, \forall Y \in h\}$$

its centralizer. Let

$$g_1 \subset g_2 \subset \ldots \subset g_k \subset g$$

be a sequence of subalgebras. A weak Malcev basis through this sequence is a basis $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ of $g$ such that

- for all $j \in 1, \ldots, k$ there exists an $n_j$ such that $g_j = \langle X_1, \ldots, X_{n_j} \rangle$;
for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) the \( F \)-vector space \( \langle X_1, \ldots, X_i \rangle \) is a Lie subalgebra.

Assume that all \( g_j \) above are ideals. A strong Malcev basis through this sequence is a weak Malcev basis such that

- for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) the \( F \)-vector space \( \langle X_1, \ldots, X_i \rangle \) is an ideal.

The ascending central series of \( g \) is defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
g_0 & := 0; \\
g_j & := \{ x \in g \mid [x, g] \subseteq g_{j-1} \}.
\end{align*}
\]

From now on we will assume that \( g \) is nilpotent, that is, there exists an \( n \) such that \( g_n = g \).

**Example 2.2.** Some common examples are:

- the Heisenberg algebra \( h_3 := \langle X, Y, Z \rangle, [X, Y] = Z \);
- the upper-triangular algebra \( n_n \subset gl_n \);
- the algebra \( t_4 = \langle X_1, X_2, X_3, Y \rangle, [X_i, X_j] = 0, [Y, X_i] = X_{i-1} \).

**Lemma 2.3.** If \( g \) is nilpotent then for any ascending sequence of algebras (resp. ideals) there exists a weak (resp. strong) Malcev basis passing through it.

**Proof.** Indeed,

\[
\begin{align*}
h & \subseteq n_g(h), \\
\text{and for any } X \in n_g(h) \setminus h \text{ the vector space } h \oplus FX \text{ is a subalgebra.}
\end{align*}
\]

Same argument works for ideals. \( \square \)

There is no canonical choice of a Malcev basis through a given subalgebra.

**Lemma 2.4.** *(Kirillov’s lemma)* Let \( g \) be a noncommutative nilpotent Lie algebra with 1-dimensional center \( z_g(g) = \langle Z \rangle \). Then there exist \( X, Y \in g \) such that

- \( [X, Y] = Z \);
- \( g = z_g(Y) \oplus FX \).

**Proof.** Choose some \( Y \in g_2 \setminus g_1 \). Then \( g_0 := z_g(Y) \) is a subalgebra of codimension one and there is an \( X \) in its complement as required. \( \square \)

**Notation 2.5.** We refer to the quadruple \( (Z, Y, X, g_0) \) in Lemma 2.4 as a reducing quadruple.
2.6. Polarizations. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}^*$ the dual Lie algebra. Each $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ determines a skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$B_\ell : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to F$$

$$(X,Y) \to \ell([X,Y]).$$

For any subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote by

$$r_\ell(\mathfrak{h}) := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\perp,\ell} = \{ h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \ell([h,h']) = 0, \forall h' \in \mathfrak{h} \}$$

its radical with respect to $B_\ell$.

**Definition 2.7.** A subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}_\ell \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is called polarizing for $\ell$ if

- $\mathfrak{m}_\ell$ is isotropic for $B_\ell$, that is $B_\ell(m,m') = 0$ for all $m,m' \in \mathfrak{m}_\ell$;
- $\mathfrak{m}_\ell$ has maximal dimension among all subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ isotropic for $B_\ell$.

**Example 2.8.** For the Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$ and any $\ell$ with $\ell(Z) \neq 0$ a polarizing subalgebra is the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_\ell = \langle Z,Y \rangle$.

**Remark 2.9.** A polarizing algebra $\mathfrak{m}_\ell$ is not necessarily an ideal. An $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ can have many polarizing subalgebras. In general, there does not exist a finite set of subalgebras such that for each $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ one of the subalgebras in this set is polarizing for $\ell$.

A canonical construction of a polarizing algebra (by Vergne [30]) goes as follows: fix a strong Malcev basis $(X_1,...,X_n)$ for $\mathfrak{g}$. Put

$$\mathfrak{m}_\ell := \sum_{j=1}^n r_\ell(\mathfrak{g}_j),$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_j := \langle X_1,...,X_j \rangle$ and $r_\ell(\mathfrak{g}_j)$ is the radical.

Alternatively, a polarizing subalgebra may be constructed inductively:

**Case 1.** If

$$\mathfrak{j}_\ell := \mathfrak{j}_0 \cap \text{Ker}(\ell) \neq 0$$

consider the projection

$$pr : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{j}_\ell$$

and write $\ell_0$ for the induced linear form on $\mathfrak{g}_0$. If $\mathfrak{m}_{\ell_0} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a polarizing algebra for $\ell_0$ the preimage $pr^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_{\ell_0})$ is a polarizing algebra for $\ell$.

**Case 2.** Otherwise, $\mathfrak{j}(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle Z \rangle$ and $\ell(Z) \neq 0$. Then there exists a $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{g}_1$ such that $\text{codim } \mathfrak{j}_0(Y) = 1$ (by Lemma 2.4). Let $\ell_Y$ be the restriction of $\ell$ to $\mathfrak{j}_0(Y)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_Y$ a polarizing algebra for $\ell_Y$ in $\mathfrak{j}_0(Y)$. Then $\mathfrak{m}_\ell = \mathfrak{m}_Y$. 
Proposition 2.10. Let $Z \subset g^*$ be an algebraic variety, defined over $F$. There exists a Zariski open subset $Z^0 \subset Z$, a positive integer $k \leq \dim g$ and an $F$-morphism
\[ \text{pol} : Z^0 \to \text{Gr}(k, g) \]
such that for every point $\ell$ in $Z^0$ the image $\text{pol}(\ell)$ in the Grassmannian of $k$-dimensional subspaces in $g$ corresponds to a polarizing subalgebra for $\ell$.

Proof. Consider $g^*$ over the function field of $Z$ and apply Vergne's construction to the generic point.

Alternatively, consider the subvariety of all subalgebras $m \subset g$ over the function field $F(Z)$ of dimension $k$ such that $\ell([m, m]) = 0$, with $\ell \in g^*(F(Z))$. Take the maximal $k$ such that this variety has an $F(Z)$-rational point. This point defines an $F(Z)$-rational point in $\text{Gr}(k, g)$. Specializing, we get polarizations on some open subset $Z^0 \subset Z$. □

2.11. Unipotent groups. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $F$ and $N \subset \text{GL}(V)$ the subgroup of all upper-triangular unipotent matrices. Denote by $n$ the $F$-vector space of all upper-triangular nilpotent matrices. The (standard) maps
\[ \exp : n \to N \]
\[ \log : N \to n \]
are biregular $F$-morphisms (polynomial maps) between algebraic varieties.

Let $G$ be a (connected) unipotent linear algebraic group defined over $F$. Then there exists an $F$-rational representation
\[ \rho_F : G \to \text{GL}(V), \]
for some $V$, realizing $G$ as a closed subgroup of $N$. We fix this representation. Then
\[ g := \log(G) \subset n \]
is the Lie algebra of $G$. This coincides with the usual definition of $g$ as the $F$-algebra of left-invariant $F$-derivations of the algebra or rational functions $F[G]$.

3. Coadjoint orbits

3.1. Orbits. Both $g$ and its dual $g^*$ are defined over $F$. For all fields $E/F$ we can consider the $E$-rational points of $g$ and $g^*$, which we denote by $g(E)$, resp. $g^*(E)$. 
Denote by $\text{Ad}$ (resp. $\text{Ad}^*$) the adjoint (resp. coadjoint) action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}^*$), both are algebraic actions defined over $F$. Let $O_\ell$ be the coadjoint orbit through $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*(F)$. It is a symplectic algebraic variety: the skew-symmetric bilinear form
\[ B_\ell : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to F \]
\[ (X,Y) \mapsto \ell([X,Y]) \]
descends to a nondegenerate algebraic 2-form $\Omega_\ell$ on the orbit $O_\ell$.

**Lemma 3.2.** The map
\[ G \to O_\ell \]
\[ g \mapsto \text{Ad}^*(g)^{-1} \circ \ell \]
induces an exact sequence
\[ 0 \to r_\ell \to \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{pr} T_\ell(O_\ell) \to 0, \]
where $T_\ell$ is the tangent space at $\ell$ and $r_\ell$ is the radical of the skew-symmetric form $B_\ell$.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with 1-dimensional center and reducing quadruple $(Z,Y,X,\mathfrak{g}_0)$. Let $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be such that $\ell(Z) \neq 0$. Let
\[ pr : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_0. \]
be the natural projection and $\ell_0$ the restriction of $\ell$ to $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Then
\[ pr(O_\ell) = \sqcup_{t \in F} \text{Ad}^* \exp(tX)(O_{\ell_0}) \]
and $pr^{-1}(\ell_0) = \ell$.

**Proof.** See [7], p. 69. \qed

### 3.4. Basic invariant theory

In this section we describe the geometric structure of the set of coadjoint orbits. The main result is the following

**Proposition 3.5.** One has a decomposition
\[ \mathfrak{g}^* = \sqcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} Z_\sigma \]
into a finite union of irreducible algebraic varieties $\{Z_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$. For each $Z_\sigma$ there exists a finite set of polynomials $\{P_{\sigma,j}\}_{j \in J_\sigma}$ on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ separating the orbits: $P_{\sigma,j}$ are invariant on each orbit in $Z_\sigma$ and for every pair $\ell, \ell' \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ contained in different orbits $O, O' \subset Z_\sigma$ there exist $j, j' \in J_\sigma$ such that $P_{\sigma,j}(\ell) \neq P_{\sigma,j'}(\ell')$. 
We follow the exposition in [25]. Let $V$ be an irreducible algebraic variety over a field $F$. Denote by $F[V]$ the ring of regular functions on $V$ and by $F(V)$ its function field. Let $G$ be an algebraic group over $F$. A regular action of $G$ on $V$ is an $F$-homomorphism $\rho_{\text{reg}} : G \to \text{Aut}(V)$ such that the induced map $\rho : G \times V \to V$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties. A rational action is a homomorphism $\rho_{\text{rat}} : G \to \text{Bir}(V)$ such that the induced map $\rho$ is defined and coincides with some rational map $\rho^0$ on a dense Zariski open subset. An orbit $O_\ell$ through a point $\ell \in V$ is the image in $V$ of $G \times \ell$ under $\rho$.

The action $\rho_{\text{rat}}$ induces an action on $F(V)$. Regular functions $\phi \in F[V]$ satisfying $g \cdot \phi = \phi$ for all $g \in G$ are called integral invariants for the action, rational functions $\phi \in F(V)$ with the same property are called rational invariants. Integral invariants form a subalgebra in $F[V]$, denoted by $F[V]^G$, and rational invariants a field, $F(V)^G$. A rational invariant $\phi$ separates the orbits $O, O'$ if $\phi$ is defined in the points of both orbits and if for all $\ell \in O, \ell' \in O'$ one has $\phi(\ell) \neq \phi(\ell')$. A set $\Phi = \{\phi\}$ of rational invariants separates generic orbits if there exists a Zariski open dense subset $V^0 \subset V$ with the property that for every pair of points $\ell, \ell' \in V^0$ contained in different orbits $O, O'$ there exists a rational invariant $\phi \in \Phi$ separating $O, O'$. In this case $\Phi$ generates the field of rational invariants $F(V)^G$.

**Theorem 3.6.** (Rosenlicht, [27]) For every (rational) action of an algebraic group $G$ on an irreducible algebraic variety there exists a finite set $\Phi$ of rational invariants separating generic orbits.

**Theorem 3.7.** Assume that $V$ is an affine algebraic variety and that $G$ is a unipotent group acting on $V$. Then every rational invariant is representable as a quotient of integral invariants. In particular, there exists a finite set of integral invariants separating generic orbits.

Proposition 3.5 follows: in $V = g^*$ we find a dense Zariski open subset $V^0$ and a set of integral invariants $\{P_{0ij}\}$ separating generic orbits. We can stratify the complement $V \setminus V^0$ into a finite disjoint union of irreducible affine algebraic varieties of smaller dimension and continue by induction.

3.8. Parametrization. In this section we make the parametrization of coadjoint orbits more explicit. This will be useful in Section 5.7, where we estimate certain multiplicities in terms of relative Pfaffians.

We follow the exposition in [7], Section 3. Consider the coadjoint action of $G$ on the affine space $V = g^*$. Fix a strong Malcev basis
(X_1, \ldots, X_n) for \mathfrak{g}$, passing through the ideals \mathfrak{g}_j of the ascending central series (see Section 2). The dual basis \((\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n)\) is a Jordan-Hölder basis of \(V\), for the coadjoint action of \(G\).

Denote by \(V_j\) the \(F\)-span of \(\{\ell_{j+1}, \ldots, \ell_n\}\). The canonical projection
\[ pr_j : V \to V/V_j \]
is Ad\(^*(G)\)-equivariant. For \(d = (d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\) consider the subset
\[ Z_d := \{v \in V \mid \dim \text{Ad}^*(G)(pr_j(v)) = d_j, \ \forall j \in [1, \ldots, n]\}. \]
The set \(D\) of \(d\) with \(Z_d \neq \emptyset\) is finite and partially ordered: \(d \succeq d'\) iff \(d_j \geq d'_j\) for all \(j \in [1, \ldots, n]\). It has a unique maximal element corresponding to \(d_{\text{max}}\) with
\[ d_{\text{max}}^j = \max_{d \in D} \{d_j\} \]
for all \(j \in [1, \ldots, n]\). Define
\[ D_1 := \{d_{\text{max}}\}, \ D_{k+1} := \{d \mid d \text{ maximal in } D \setminus (\cup_{k' \leq k} D_{k'})\}. \]
Fix an order \(>_{k}\) in each \(D_k\). This gives an order \(\succeq\) in \(D\):
\[ D_k \ni d \succeq d' \in D_{k'} \]
if either \(k < k'\) or (if \(k = k'\)) \(d >_{k} d'\).

For \(d \in D\) define
\[ I_d := \{i \mid d_i = d_{i-1} + 1\}, \quad V_d^I := \langle \ell_i \mid i \in I_d \rangle_F, \]
\[ J_d := \{j \mid d_j = d_{j-1}\}, \quad V_d^J := \langle \ell_j \mid j \in J_d \rangle_F, \]
(with \(d_0 = 0\)). We have a decomposition of the \(F\)-vector space
\[ \mathfrak{g}^* = V_d^I \oplus V_d^J. \]

Remark 3.9. A posteriori, \(I_d\) is always even.

**Proposition 3.10.** The stratification of \(V = \mathfrak{g}^*\) into strata \(Z_d\) satisfies the following properties:

- For all \(d \in D\) the set \(\cup_{d' \succeq d} Z_d\) is an Ad\(^*(G)\)-invariant subset of \(V\).
- Each Ad\(^*(G)\)-orbit in the stratum \(Z_d\) meets \(V_d^I\) in exactly one point.
- \(\Sigma_d := Z_d \cap V_d^I\) is algebraic (a locally closed subvariety of \(\mathfrak{g}^*\)).
- The union \(\Sigma = \cup_d \Sigma_d\) parametrizes all Ad\(^*(G)\)-orbits in \(\mathfrak{g}^*\).

Moreover, for each \(d \) with \(I_d = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{2k}\}\) there exist rational functions \(P_1, \ldots, P_n \in F(V \times V_d^I)\) such that:
For each \( r = 1, \ldots, n \) the restriction of \( P_r \) to \( Z_d \times V_d^1 \) has no poles.

- For each \( z \in Z_d \) and each \( r \) the restriction of \( P_r \) to \( z \times V_d^1 \) is a polynomial and \( P_r(z, v) = P_r(z', v) \) for all \( z' \) in the orbit \( \text{Ad}^*(G)(z) \) and all \( v \in V_d^1 \).

- The vector \( w = \sum_{r=1}^n P_r(z; v) \ell_r \) is the unique vector in the \( \text{Ad}^*(G) \)-orbit through \( z \) whose projection to \( V_d^1 \) is \( v \).

**Proof.** See [7], Section 3.1. \( \square \)

3.11. **Pfaffians.** We fix a strong Malcev basis \( (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \) for \( g \). Denote by \((\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n)\) the dual basis of \( g^* \). Fix a stratum \( Z = Z_d \) with \( I_d = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{2k}\} \). Let \( \ell \in Z \) and \( \Omega_\ell \) be the canonical symplectic 2-form on \( O_\ell \). Write

\[
\Omega_\ell = 2 \cdot \sum_{i_r < i_r'} B_\ell(X_{i_r}, X_{i_r'}) \ell_{i_r} \wedge \ell_{i_r'}
\]

and let \( \mu(\ell) = \wedge^k \Omega_\ell \). Then

\[
(3.1) \quad \mu(\ell) = 2^k k! \text{Pf}(\ell) \cdot \ell_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell_{i_{2k}}
\]

for some function \( \text{Pf}(\ell) \), called the relative Pfaffian. Clearly, \( \text{Pf}(\ell) \) is a sum of terms each of which is a product of factors of the form

\[
B_\ell(X_{i_r}, X_{i_r'})
\]

and thus a polynomial function on \( g^* \). Now we notice that the formula (3.1) is well defined for any \( \ell \in g^* \).

For \( \ell \in Z \), we have

\[
\mu(\ell) = 2^k k! \det(M) \cdot \ell_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell_{i_{2k}}
\]

which implies that on the stratum \( \text{Pf}(\ell) = \det(M) \) and

\[
\text{Pf}(\ell)^2 = \det(M)^2 = \det(B_\ell(X_{i_r}, X_{i_r'})).
\]

Since \( B_\ell \) is non-degenerate on \( O_\ell \), \( \text{Pf}(\ell) \neq 0 \). Further,

\[
c(\ell) = 2^k k! \text{Pf}(\ell)^{-1}
\]

and

\[
\mu(\ell) = c(\ell) \cdot \bar{\mu}(\ell)
\]

Thus, \( \text{Pf}(\ell) \) is \( \text{Ad}^*(G) \)-invariant on \( Z_d \).
4. Integral structures

We will also need integral structures on all objects: \( \mathfrak{g} \), strata defined in Sections 7.2 and 3.8, polarizing subalgebras etc. A precise choice of such structures is not essential for analytic considerations below; it suffices to observe that different choices of integral structures affect only finitely many places of \( F \). In particular, they do not affect analytic properties of Euler products and height zeta functions.

**Notation 4.1.** Let \( F \) be a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \). Denote by \( F_v \) the completion of \( F \) with respect to a valuations \( v \); for \( v \) nonarchimedean, denote by \( \mathfrak{o}_v \) the ring of \( v \)-adic integers. Denote by \( \mathbb{A} := \prod'_v F_v \) the ring of adeles of \( F \).

4.2. On \( \mathfrak{g} \). Let \( \mathfrak{g} = \langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle \) be an \( n \)-dimensional Lie algebra over \( F \), with a fixed basis \( X \). Let \( \mathfrak{g}_o \) be the \( \mathfrak{o}_F \)-module

\[
\mathfrak{o}_F X_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{o}_F X_n.
\]

There is an integer \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( \mathfrak{g}_o = a \cdot \mathfrak{g}_o' \) is a Lie order. Indeed, write

\[
[X_i, X_j] = \sum c_{ij}^k X_k
\]

with \( c_{ij}^k \in F \). Then

\[
[aX_i, aX_j] = \sum (ac_{ij}^k) aX_k
\]

and we can choose \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that all \( ac_{ij}^k \in \mathfrak{o}_F \).

**Definition 4.3.** A Lie order \( \mathfrak{g}_o \) is called admissible if \( \exp(\mathfrak{g}_o) \) is a subgroup of \( G(F) \).

Assume that \( \mathfrak{g} \) is nilpotent. By the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

\[
X \ast Y := \log(\exp(X) \cdot \exp(Y)) = X + Y + \sum_{j=2}^{k} b_j(X, Y)
\]

where \( X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}(F) \) and \( b_j \) is a sum of \( j \)-fold brackets with coefficients in \( F \).

**Definition 4.4.** A Lie order \( \mathfrak{g}_o \) is called universal if \( X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_o \) implies that

\[
b_j(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{g}_o
\]

for all \( j \geq 2 \).

Clearly, a universal Lie order is admissible.
Lemma 4.5. There exists an \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( g_o = a \cdot g'_o \) is a universal order.

Proof. For \( X, Y \in g'_o \) we have

\[
(aX) \ast (aY) = \sum b_j(aX, aY) = aX + aY + \sum_{j \geq 2} b_j(X, Y)a^j.
\]

Now choose \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that for all \( j \geq 2 \) and \( a^{j-1} \) times every coefficient of \( b_j \) is in \( o_F \). Then \( a^{j-1}b_j(X, Y) \in g'_o \) and

\[
b_j(aX, aY) \in ag'_o.
\]

\( \square \)

Example 4.6. For \( g = n_4 \) (from Example 2.2) we have

\[
X \ast Y = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}[X, [X, Y]] - \frac{1}{12}[Y, [X, Y]].
\]

Then \( 6 \cdot g_Z \) is universal.

Let \( v \) be a nonarchimedean valuation. Write

\[
g(F_v) := g \otimes_F F_v, \quad g(o_v) := g_o \otimes_Z o_v.
\]

If \( g_o \) is universal then \( g(o_v) \) is an admissible lattice in \( g(F_v) \). In fact, if \( o \in o_v \) then

\[
b_j(X \times o, Y \otimes o) = b_j(X, Y) \otimes o^j \in g(o_v).
\]

In particular, \( \exp(g(o_v)) \) is a subgroup of \( G(F) \).

We apply the preceding discussion as follows: Let \( g = g_F \) be a nilpotent Lie algebra over a number field \( F \). Fix a strong Malcev basis \( (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \) of \( g \). Choose an \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( g_o = a \cdot g'_o \) is a universal order in \( g_F \). Then \( ag(o_v) := ag'_o \otimes_Z o_v \) is an admissible lattice in \( g_F \). Set \( K_v := \exp(ag(o_v)) \subset G(F_v) \). This is a compact subgroup.

Remark 4.7. Once a representation \( \rho_F : G \to N \) as in Section 2.11 is fixed, we have

\[
K_v = G(F_v) \cap N(o_v)
\]

for almost all \( v \) (\( N \) is defined over \( \mathbb{Z} \)).

4.8. Measures. We fix Haar measures \( dx_v \) on \( G_o(F_v) \) for all \( v \) (normalized as in [29]). For all but finitely many \( v \) the volume of \( G_o(o_v) \) with respect to \( dx_v \) is equal to 1. Thus we have an induced Haar measure \( dx \) on \( G_o(\mathbb{A}) = \mathbb{A} \) and on \( G^n_o(\mathbb{A}) \) for all \( n \). Using the homeomorphism between \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) and \( G^n_o(\mathbb{A}) \) we may realize the Haar measure \( dg = \prod_v dg_v \) on \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) as the product measure

\[
dg = dx_1 \ldots dx_n.
\]
Similarly, we have $\text{vol}(G(\mathfrak{o}_v)) = 1$, for almost all $v$. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a subalgebra and $M \subset G$ the corresponding subgroup. The induced integral structure on $\mathfrak{m}$ allows us to obtain a normalized Haar measure on $M(\mathbb{A})$, again we have $\text{vol}(M(\mathfrak{o}_v)) = 1$, for almost all $v$.

**Notation 4.9.** For each $\Sigma_{d} \in \Sigma$ we fix a finite set of $\text{Ad}^*(G)$-invariant polynomials $P_{d,j} \in F[\mathfrak{g}^*]$ separating the orbits, as in Proposition 3.10. Let $v \in S_\infty$, $\ell \in Z_d(F)$ and $O_\ell$ be the corresponding $\text{Ad}^*(G)$-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}^*(F_v)$. Define the norm of the orbit

\[(4.1) \quad \|O_\ell\|_\infty := \max_{v \in S_\infty} \max_{j \in J_d} |P_{d,j}(\ell)|_v.\]

A priori, the definition of this norm depends on the choice of $\text{Ad}^*(G)$-invariant polynomials separating the orbits in $Z_\sigma$. However, for any $\text{Ad}^*(G)$-invariant polynomial $P \in F[\mathfrak{g}^*]$ there exists an $N = N(P)$ such that

$$\max_{v \in S_\infty} |P(\ell')|_v \leq \|O_\ell\|^N_\infty,$$

for all $\ell' \in O_\ell$. In particular, a norm as in (4.1), defined via a different choice of polynomials separating the orbits in $Z_d$, will be comparable, up to powers. We have a fundamental finiteness result: let $l \subset \mathfrak{o}_F$ be any lattice. Then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*(l)/\text{Ad}^*(G)} \|O_\ell\|_\infty^{-n},$$

is convergent for all $n \geq n_0$.

### 5. Representations: basics

In this section we describe Kirillov’s orbit method in the theory of unitary representations of nilpotent groups over local fields and its generalization to adeles by Moore (see [17] and [21]).

#### 5.1. The orbit method.

**Notation 5.2.** Let $F$ be a number field, $v$ a valuation and $F_v$ the $v$-adic completion of $F$. Denote by $\mathfrak{m}_v \subset \mathfrak{o}_v$ the maximal ideal in the ring of $\mathfrak{o}_v$ of $v$-adic integers (for nonarchimedean $v$). We write $k = k_v$ for the residue field of $\mathfrak{o}_v$ and $q = q_v$ for the cardinality of $k_v$. We denote by $\text{Val}(F) = \{|\cdot|_v\} = S_\text{fin} \cup S_\infty$ the set of all valuations of $F$, here $S_\infty$ is the set of archimedean and $S_\text{fin}$ the set of nonarchimedean valuations. We normalize the valuations in such a way that for any Haar measure $\mu_v$ on $F_v$ one has $\mu_v(aM) = |a|_v \mu_v(M)$ for all measurable subsets $M \subset F_v$ and all $a \in F_v^*$. We continue to denote by $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ the adele ring of $F$. 
For any finite set $S \subset \text{Val}(F)$ we put $\mathbb{A}_S = \prod_{v \in S} F_v$, $\mathbb{A}^S = \prod'_{v \notin S} F_v$ (restricted product). We abbreviate $\mathbb{A}_\text{fin} = \mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{S}_\infty}$ and $\mathbb{A}_\infty = \mathbb{A}_S^{\infty}$.

First we recall basic facts concerning harmonic analysis on additive groups (cf., for example, [29]). For any prime number $p$, we have an embedding $\mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$. Using it we can define a (unitary) character $\psi_p$ of the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by

$$\psi_p : x_p \mapsto \exp(2\pi i x_p).$$

At the infinite place of $\mathbb{Q}$ we put

$$\psi_\infty : x_\infty \mapsto \exp(-2\pi i x_\infty),$$

(here $x_\infty$ is viewed as an element in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$). Taking the product we get a character $\psi$ of the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{A})$. This defines a Pontryagin duality

$$\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{A}) \to (\mathbb{A})^*$$

$$(a_v) \mapsto ((x_v) \to \prod_v \psi_v(a_v x_v)).$$

The subgroup $\mathbb{G}_a(F) \subset \mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{A})$ is discrete, cocompact and selfdual under the above duality.

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}^*$ the dual to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$. It inherits the $F$-rational structure from $\mathfrak{g}$. For every $F$-rational linear form $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*(F)$ let $\mathfrak{m}_\ell$ be a maximal subordinate to $\ell$ subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (see Section 2). Then $\ell$ defines a character on the adelic points $M_\ell(\mathbb{A})$ of the subgroup $M_\ell = \exp(\mathfrak{m}_\ell) \subset G$

$$\psi_\ell = \psi_1 \circ \ell \circ \log : M_\ell(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Let

$$\pi_\ell = \text{Ind}_{M_\ell(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(\psi_\ell)$$

be the induced representation. Then

- $\pi_\ell$ is irreducible;
- $\pi_\ell$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathfrak{m}_\ell$ (up to isomorphy);
- $\pi_\ell$ does not depend on the choice of $\ell$ in the $\text{Ad}^*$-orbit $O_\ell$ (up to isomorphy).

This is the orbit picture proposed by Kirillov: irreducible unitary representations of a unipotent group $G$ are parametrized by orbits of the coadjoint action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}^*(F)$.

**Notation 5.3.** Let $G_\infty := \prod_{v \in \mathbb{S}_\infty} G(F_v)$ and $\Gamma$ be a discrete cocompact subgroup in $G_\infty$ (e.g., the image of $G(I)$, where $I \subseteq \frak{o}_F$ is a sublattice).
Notation 5.4. Denote by
\[ \mathcal{H} := L^2(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) \]
the space of (left) $G(F)$-invariant square-integrable (on the quotient) functions on $G(\mathbb{A})$ (and similarly $L^2(T \backslash G_\infty)$). Denote by
\[ \mathcal{H}^K = L^2(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))^K \]
the subspace of (right) $K$-fixed vectors.

Proposition 5.5. [21] For each $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ there exists an isometry
\[ j_\ell : \pi_\ell \to \varrho_\ell \]
\[ j_\ell : \text{Ind}_{M_\ell(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(\psi_\ell) \to \mathcal{H}_\ell \subset \mathcal{H} \]
\[ \phi(x) \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in M_\ell(F) \backslash G(F)} \varphi(\gamma \cdot x), \]
where $(\varrho_\ell, H_\ell)$ is a unitary irreducible representation occurring in the right regular representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, $j_\ell$ induces an isometry on the subspaces of $K$-fixed vectors and
\[ \mathcal{H}^K = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*(F)/Ad^*} \mathcal{H}_\ell^K, \]
as a direct sum of irreducible unitary representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$, each occurring with multiplicity one.

Notation 5.6. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(K) = \{ \varrho \}$ the set of irreducible unitary representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ occurring in $\mathcal{H}^K$.

5.7. Multiplicities. Let $F$ be a number field and $S_G \subset S_\text{fin}$ a finite set of nonarchimedean valuations of $F$ such that for all $v \not\in S_G$ one has
\[ \exp(g(o_v)) = G(o_v) = K_v. \]
For $v \in S$ the compacts $K_v$ are defined as in Section 4.

Notation 5.8. Let $\pi_v$ be a unitary representation of $G(F_v)$. We denote by
\[ m(\pi_v, K_v, 1) \]
the multiplicity of the trivial representation $1$ occurring in the restriction of $\pi_v$ to $K_v$.

Proposition 5.9. Let $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*(F_v)$ and $\pi_v$ be the unitary irreducible representation of $G(F_v)$ corresponding to the orbit $O_\ell$. Then there exist constants $c_v$, with $c_v = 1$ for all $v \not\in S_G$ such that
\[ m(\pi_v, K_v, 1) \leq c_v |\text{Pf}(\ell)|_v^{-1}, \]
where $\text{Pf}(\ell)$ is the Pfaffian (defined in Section 3.11), evaluated at $O_\ell$. 

Notation 5.10. Let $S_\pi$ be the set of nonarchimedean $v$ such that either $v \in S_G$ or $m(\pi_v, K_v, 1) \neq 1$.

Remark 5.11. In [15], [26], [11] one can find bounds for $m(\pi_v, K_v, 1)$ in terms of the number of $\text{Ad}^*(K_v)$-orbits on $O_\ell(K_v)$. An estimate in terms of Pfaffians has been derived in [8]. For completeness, we include a proof of this proposition.

We choose the Haar measure $dg_v$ on $G(F_v)$ so that
$$\int_{K_v} dg_v = 1.$$ We normalize the measure $dX_v$ on $g(F_v)$ such that
$$\int_{G(F_v)} \phi(g_v) dg_v = \int_{g(F_v)} \phi(\exp(X_v)) dX_v$$ for all smooth compactly supported functions $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G(F_v))$.

For appropriate functions $\phi$ on $G(F_v)$ define the Fourier transform
$$\hat{\phi}(\ell) := \int_{g(F_v)} \phi(\exp(X_v)) \psi(\langle \ell, X_v \rangle) dX_v.$$ Let $\pi_v$ be an irreducible unitary representation of $G(F_v)$. The function $\phi$ defines the operator
$$\pi_v(\phi) := \int_{G(F_v)} \phi(g_v) \pi_v(g_v) dg_v.$$ It is of trace class.

Lemma 5.12. Let $v$ be a nonarchimedean valuation and $\pi_v$ an irreducible unitary representation of $G(F_v)$ corresponding to $\ell \in g^*(F_v)$. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G(F_v))$. Then
$$\text{tr} \pi_v(\phi) = \frac{1}{2^k k!} \int_{O_\ell} \hat{\phi}(\ell) d\mu_v(\ell)$$

Proof. See [7], p. 145. □

Let $\chi = \chi_v$ be the characteristic function of $K_v$. Then the convolution $\chi * \chi = \chi$. Thus, $\pi_v(\chi)$ is a self-adjoint projection on $H_{\pi_v}$. Moreover,
$$\text{tr}(\pi_v(\chi)) = m(\pi_v, K_v, 1)$$
\[ \hat{\chi}(\ell_v) = \int \chi_0(X_v) \psi(\langle \ell_v, X_v \rangle) dX_v \]

where \( \chi_0 \) is the characteristic function of \( g(O_v) \). It follows that \( \hat{\chi} \) is the characteristic function of the dual lattice \( g^*(O_v) \subset g^*(F_v) \). Therefore, \( m(\pi_v, K_v, 1) = \frac{1}{2k!} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\ell} \hat{\chi}(\ell) d\mu_v(\ell) \),

(5.1)

where \( d\mu_v(\ell) \) is the canonical measure on the orbit \( O(\ell) \).

The Lie algebra \( g \) is equipped with a fixed strong Malcev basis \( \langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle \). The dual basis \( \langle \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \rangle \) in \( V = g^* \) is a Jordan-Hölder basis. Recall the stratification of representations explained in Section 3.8. Assume that the representation \( \pi_v \) belongs to the stratum \( \Sigma_d \) (as in Section 3.8). Denote by \( V^{d, I}_v := \langle \ell_i, i \in I_d \rangle_{F_v} \) and \( V^{d, J}_v := \langle \ell_j, j \in J_d \rangle_{F_v} \) the affine subspaces defined in 3.10. Recall that \( V^{d, I}_v = \langle \ell_{i_1}, \ldots, \ell_{i_{2k}} \rangle \) is even dimensional. Regarding \( X_{i_1}, \ldots, X_{i_{2k}} \) as (independent) linear forms on \( V^{d, I}_v \) define a Haar measure on \( V^{d, I}_v \) by

\[ |d\mu| := |X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_{2k}}|. \]

Let

\[ f_\ell : V^{d, I}_v \to O_\ell, \quad u \mapsto (u, P_\ell(u)) \]

be the map parametrizing the orbit \( O_\ell \) (here \( P_\ell \) is a polynomial on \( V^{d, I}_v \)). Then

\[ \tilde{\mu}(\ell) := (df_\ell^*)^{-1}(X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_{2k}}) \]

is a \( G \)-invariant volume form on the orbit \( O_\ell \). Therefore,

\[ \mu(\ell) = c(\ell) \tilde{\mu}(\ell) \]

(and \( c(\ell) \) depends only on the orbit \( O_\ell \)). Denote by \( o_{v, I}^* \) the image of the projection

\[ pr_{v, I} : g^*(o_v) \to V_{v, I} \]

and by \( \chi_{v, I}^* \) the characteristic function of this set. Continuing from (5.1), we obtain

(5.2) \[ m(\pi_v, K_v, 1) = \frac{|c(\ell)|}{2^k k!} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\ell} \hat{\phi}(\ell) |d\tilde{\mu}_v(\ell)| \]

(5.3) \[ = \frac{|c(\ell)|}{2^k k!} \int_{V_{v, I}} \hat{\phi}(u, P_\ell(u)) |d\mu_v(\ell)| \]

(5.4) \[ \leq \frac{|c(\ell)|}{2^k k!} \int_{V_{v, I}} \chi_{v, I}^*(u) |d\mu_v(\ell)| \]
We fix an integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $g_0$ is equal to the $o_F$-span of \{aX_1, ..., aX_n\} (replacing $X_j$ by $a^{-1}X_j$ we may assume from the beginning that $g_0 = o_FX_1 \oplus ... \oplus o_FX_n$.

Then $g^\ast(o_v) = o_v\ell_1 \oplus ... \oplus o_v\ell_n$

$\sigma^\ast_{v,1} = o_v\ell_{i_1} \oplus ... \oplus \ell_{i_{2k}}$.

The Haar measure is normalized such that $\text{vol}(o_v) = 1$. Then

$$\int_{V_{v,1}} \chi^\ast_{v,1}(u) |d\mu_v(\ell)| = 1.$$ 

It remains to observe that $c(\ell) = \text{Pf}(\ell)^{-1}$.

5.13. **Spherical functions.**

**Proposition 5.14.** For $\ell \in g^\ast(F)$ let $m_\ell \subset g$ be a polarizing subalgebra, $M = M_\ell = \exp(m_\ell)$, and $\psi = \psi_\ell = \psi_1 \circ \ell$ the corresponding adelic character of $M(\mathbb{A})$. Let $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ be the associated irreducible unitary representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$. Let $K$ be as in Section 8 and assume that $\mathcal{H}_\ell^K \neq 0$.

Then for all $\omega \in \mathcal{H}_{\ell}^K$, with $\|\omega\|_{L^2} = 1$, all $v \notin S_\ell$, and all (integrable) functions $H_v$ on $G(F_v)$ such that $H_v(k_vg_v) = H_v(g_v)$, for all $k_v \in K_v, g_v \in G(F_v)$, one has

$$\int_{G(F_v)} H_v(g_v)\omega_v(g_v)dg_v = \int_{M(F_v)} H_v(h_v)\psi_v(h_v)dh_v,$$

(whence $dh_v$ is normalized as in Section 4.8).

**Proof.** Define the function

$$\tilde{\psi} = \prod_v \tilde{\psi}_v \in \text{Ind}_{M(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})}(\psi) =: \pi$$

as follows:

$$\tilde{\psi}_v(g_v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g_v \notin M(F_v)K_v \\ \psi_v(h_v) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For all $v \notin S_\pi$ we have

$$\psi_v|_{M(F_v) \cap K_v} = 1.$$ 

By definition, for $v \notin S_\ell$ the representation $\pi_v$ has a unique $K_v$-fixed vector (of norm 1). A direct computation shows that

$$\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2(M(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))} = 1.$$
Therefore, the (local) spherical function \( \varphi_v \) (normalized by \( \varphi_v(e) = 1 \)) is given by
\[
\varphi_v(g_v) = \langle \pi_v(g_v) \tilde{\psi}_v, \tilde{\psi}_v \rangle.
\]
Now we compute:
\[
\int_{G(F_v)} H_v(g_v) \varphi_v(g_v) dg_v = \int_{G(F_v)} \int_{K_v \cap M(F_v) \backslash K_v} H_v(k_v g_v) \tilde{\psi}_v(k_v g_v) dk'_v dg_v
\]
\[
= \int_{K_v \cap M(F_v) \backslash K_v} dk'_v \int_{G(F_v)} H_v(k_v g_v) \tilde{\psi}_v(k_v g_v) dg_v
\]
\[
= \text{vol} \cdot \int_{K_v \cap G(F_v)} H_v(g_v) \tilde{\psi}_v(g_v) dg_v
\]
\[
= \text{vol} \cdot \int_{M(F_v) \cap K_v \backslash H(F_v)} H_v(h_v) \tilde{\psi}_v(h_v) dh_v
\]
\[
= \text{vol} \cdot \int_{M(F_v)} H_v(h_v) \psi_v(h_v) dh_v.
\]
Here \( dk'_v \) is the induced measure and \( \text{vol} = \text{vol}(K_v \cap M(F_v) \backslash K_v) \).

6. Universal enveloping algebra

Now we turn to archimedean places.

6.1. Basics. Let
\[
\mathfrak{T}(g) := \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} g^\otimes j
\]
be the tensor algebra,
\[
\mathfrak{S}(g) := \mathfrak{T}(g) / \langle X \otimes Y - Y \otimes X \rangle
\]
the symmetric algebra and
\[
\mathfrak{U}(g) := \mathfrak{T}(g) / \langle X \otimes Y - Y \otimes X - [X, Y] \rangle
\]
the universal enveloping algebra of \( g \). There is an injective map
\[
g \to \mathfrak{T}(g) \to \mathfrak{U}(g)
\]
and a \( g \)-module isomorphism (symmetrization)
\[
sym : \mathfrak{S}(g) \to \mathfrak{U}(g),
\]
which is defined on monomials by
\[
Y_1 \cdots Y_r \mapsto \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} Y_{\sigma(1)} \cdots Y_{\sigma(r)}
\]
(where \( S_n \) is the symmetric group). Each \( Y \in \mathfrak{g} \) defines a differential operator
\[
\partial_Y : f(g) \mapsto \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} f(g \cdot \exp(tY))
\]
on smooth functions on $G(F_v)$, for any archimedean $v$. This gives a surjective algebra homomorphism from $U(g)$ onto the algebra of left-invariant differential operators on $C^\infty(G(F_v))$. In particular, $U(g)$ acts in the space of smooth vectors of every irreducible unitary representation $(\varrho, \mathcal{H})$ of $G(F_v)$. For $\partial \in U(g)$ we will denote by $\varrho(\partial)$ the corresponding operator.

We will use the canonical identification $S(g) = F[g^*]$ (by duality):

$$Y \rightarrow f_Y \in F[g^*], \quad f_Y(\ell) = \ell(Y); \quad Y \in g, \ell \in g^*.$$

The adjoint action of $G$ on $g$ extends to $\text{Aut}_F(U(g))$ and $\text{Aut}_F(S(g))$.

**Lemma 6.2.** The symmetrization $\text{sym}$ is equivariant with respect to the adjoint action of $G$ and maps the space of $\text{Ad}^*$-invariant polynomials on $g^*$ bijectively onto the center $ZU(g)$ of $U(g)$.

### 6.3. Scalar operators.

**Proposition 6.4.** Let $v$ be an archimedean valuation, $\mathcal{O} \subset g^*$ a coadjoint orbit and

$$(\varrho_\ell, \mathcal{H}_\ell) \sim \text{Ind}_{M_\ell(F_v)}^{G(F_v)}(\psi_\ell)$$

for some $\ell \in \mathcal{O}$ and some polarizing $M_\ell$. For $P \in F[g^*]$ let $\partial_P \in U(g)$ be the corresponding differential operator. Assume that the restriction of $P$ to $\mathcal{O}$ is identically constant

$$P(2\pi i\ell) = P(2\pi i\ell')$$

for all $\ell, \ell' \in \mathcal{O}$. Then

$$\varrho_\ell(\partial_P)f = P(2\pi i\ell) \cdot f$$

for all smooth vectors $f \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$ and all $\ell \in \mathcal{O}$.

In particular, let $\partial_z \in S(g)$ and $P_z$ be the corresponding $\text{Ad}^*$-invariant polynomial (see Lemma 6.2). Then, for all orbits $\mathcal{O}$ and all $\ell \in \mathcal{O}$, the operator $\varrho_\ell(\partial_z)$ acts in $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ by multiplication by

$$P_z(2\pi i\ell).$$

**Proof.** We follow closely the exposition in [7], p. 186. The proof proceeds by induction on the dimension of $g$. We explain the case when $v$ is real, the complex places being similar.
Assume that there is a nontrivial ideal \( \mathfrak{z}_0 \subset \mathfrak{z}_g \) such that \( \varrho \ell \) restricted to \( \exp(\mathfrak{z}_0) \) is trivial. Consider the projections

\[
\begin{align*}
pr : \mathfrak{g} &\to \mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}_0, \\
pr : G &\to G_0.
\end{align*}
\]

The induced injection

\[
in : \mathfrak{g}_0^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{z}^*_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^*
\]

maps \( \mathcal{O} \) isomorphically onto \( \mathcal{O}_0 \). The maps are equivariant with respect to the (co)adjoint actions of \( G \) and \( G_0 \). They extend naturally to symmetric algebras, universal enveloping algebras and polynomial functions. In particular,

\[
pr : F[\mathfrak{g}^*] \to F[\mathfrak{g}_0^*]
\]

is simply the restriction of the polynomial \( P \in F[\mathfrak{g}^*] \) to \( \mathfrak{z}^*_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0^* \). The representations \( \varrho \ell \) and \( \varrho \ell_0 = \varrho \ell \circ pr \) correspond to the same orbit

\[
\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*.
\]

We have an equivariant commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F[\mathfrak{g}^*] &\simeq& \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\text{sym}} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \\
\downarrow && \downarrow \\
F[\mathfrak{g}_0^*] &\simeq& \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \xrightarrow{\text{sym}} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0).
\end{array}
\]

Let \( \ell \in \mathfrak{g}^* \), let \( M_\ell \) be a polarizing subgroup for \( \ell \), and \( \varrho \ell \) the corresponding irreducible unitary representation of \( G(F_v) \) as in Section 5. We have

\[
\varrho \ell(\partial_P) = \varrho \ell_0(\partial pr(P)) = pr(P)(2\pi i \ell_0) \cdot \text{Id}_0 = P(2\pi i \ell) \cdot \text{Id},
\]

as claimed.

Now assume that \( \dim \mathfrak{z}_g = 1 \) and that \( \varrho \ell \) is nontrivial on \( \mathfrak{g} \) (\( \ell(Z) \neq 0 \)). Choose a reducing quadruple as in Kirillov’s lemma:

\[
\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus FX
\]

(2.5). This time we have an injection

\[
in : \mathfrak{g}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}
\]

and an induced projection

\[
pr : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}_0^*.
\]

We have

\[
\ell_0 := pr(\ell) = \ell|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}.
\]

By Lemma 3.3, we have

\[
pr(\mathcal{O}_\ell) = \bigsqcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{\ell_t},
\]
where
\[ \mathcal{O}_{\ell_t} := \{ G_0 \cdot \ell_t \} \text{Ad}^*(\exp(tX))(\ell_0). \]

The Ad*-invariance of \( P \) at \( \ell \in \mathcal{O} \) implies that the restriction of \( P \) to \( \mathcal{O} \) does not depend on \( X \). In particular, the restriction of \( P \) to each \( \mathcal{O}_{\ell_t} \) is invariant under the adjoint action of \( G_0 \).

We have a direct integral decomposition
\[ \varrho = \int_{\mathbb{R}}^\oplus \varrho_t dt, \]
where \( \varrho_t \) is the unitary irreducible representation of \( G_0(F_v) \) associated to the orbit \( \mathcal{O}_{\ell_t} \). This decomposition passes to smooth vectors (see [7], p. 188). By the induction hypothesis,
\[ \varrho_t(pr(P))f = pr(P)(2\pi i \ell_t)f = pr(P)(2\pi i (\text{Ad}^*(\exp(tX))\ell_0))f = P(2\pi i (\text{Ad}^*(\exp(tX))\ell))f = P(2\pi i \ell)f \]
for all smooth vectors \( f \) in the representation space of \( \varrho_t \), since the projection \( pr : g^* \rightarrow g_0^* \) is equivariant for the coadjoining action of \( G \), resp. \( G_0 \), and \( P \) is invariant. Further, since \( \varrho_0(pr(P)) = \varrho(P) \), as elements in \( \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \), \( \varrho(P) \) is determined by the restriction of \( \varrho \) to \( G_0 \) and
\[ \varrho(P) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}^\oplus \varrho_t(pr(P))dt = P(2\pi i \ell)\text{Id}. \]

\[ \square \]

7. Geometry

Here we work over an arbitrary field \( F \) of characteristic zero.

Notation 7.1. For a smooth projective algebraic variety \( X \) over \( F \) we denote by \( \text{Pic}(X) \) its Picard group and by \( \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \subset \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \) the (closed) cone of pseudo-effective divisors on \( X \). We will often identify line bundles, the corresponding divisors and their classes in \( \text{Pic}(X) \). We write \( \mathcal{L} = (L, \| \cdot \|) \) when we want to emphasize that the line bundle \( L \) is adelicly metrized. If \( X \) has an action by a group \( G \) we write \( \text{Pic}^G(X) \) for the group of isomorphism classes of \( G \)-linearized line bundles on \( X \).

7.2. Main invariants. Let \( X \) be a smooth projective variety with \( -K_X \) contained in the interior of the effective cone. Then \( \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \) is a rational finitely generated cone, by [4]. In this case, given a line bundle \( L \) on \( X \), we let
\[ a(L) := \inf \{ a \mid a[L] + [K_X] \in \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \} \]
and \(b(L)\) be the codimension of the face of \(\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)\) containing \(a(L)[L] + [K_X]\). When \(X\) is \textit{singular} and \(\rho : \tilde{X} \to X\) a desingularization satisfying the conditions above, we can define

\[
a(L) := a(\rho^*(L)), \quad b(L) := b(\rho^*(L)).
\]

These invariants are well-defined (see [13] for more details).

**Proposition 7.3.** Let \(X\) be a smooth projective equivariant compactification of a unipotent algebraic group \(G\). Let \(D := X \setminus G\) be the boundary and \((D_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}\) the set of its irreducible components. Then

- \(\text{Pic}^G(X) = \text{Pic}(X)\);
- \(\text{Pic}(X)\) is freely generated by the classes \(D_\alpha\);
- \(\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) = \bigoplus_\alpha \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} D_\alpha\);
- \(-K_X = \sum_\alpha \kappa_\alpha D_\alpha\) with \(\kappa_\alpha \geq 2\) for all \(\alpha \in A\).

**Proof.** Analogous to the proofs in Section 2 of [14]. In particular, it suffices to assume that \(X\) carries only a one-sided action of \(G\). Notice that every line bundle admits a unique \(G\)-linearization. \(\square\)

**Corollary 7.4.** The divisor of every irreducible polynomial

\[f \in F[G] = F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\]

can be written as

\[
\text{div}(f) = E(f) - \sum_\alpha d_\alpha(f) D_\alpha
\]

where \(E(f)\) is the unique irreducible component of \(\{f = 0\}\) in \(G\) and \(d_\alpha(f) \geq 0\) for all \(\alpha\).

**Proposition 7.5.** Let \(X\) be a smooth equivariant compactification of a unipotent group \(G\) (with Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{g}\)). Let \(m \subset \mathfrak{g}\) be a subalgebra and \(Y := Y_m \subset X\) the compactification of \(M = \exp(m) \subset G\). Then

\[
(a(-K_X|_Y), b(-K_X|_Y)) < (a(-K_X), b(-K_X)),
\]

in the lexicographic ordering. In particular, the set of pairs

\[
(a(-K_X|_Y), b(-K_X|_Y))
\]

is finite, as \(m\) ranges over the set of all subalgebras.

**Proof.** In the additive case when \(G = \mathbb{G}_a^n\), this is the content of Lemma 7.3 in [5]. The case of general linear groups is covered in [13]. \(\square\)
7.6. **Uniformity.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective equivariant compactification of $G$ and $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a subalgebra. Denote by $Y = Y_{\mathfrak{m}}$ the Zariski closure of $\exp(\mathfrak{m})$ in $X$. It is a compactification of $M = \exp(\mathfrak{m})$, not necessarily smooth. Denote by $D = D_{\mathfrak{m}}$ the boundary $Y \setminus M$.

**Proposition 7.7.** There exist constants $d, d', n > 0$ such that for every subalgebra $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ there exists an equivariant blow-up $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ with support in the boundary $Y_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus \exp(\mathfrak{m})$ such that

- $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is smooth and projective;
- the boundary of $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a strict normal crossings divisor;
- the number of boundary components is bounded by $n$;
- the degree of every boundary component of $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is bounded by $d$;
- for every linear subspace $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ the degree of the intersection of the Zariski closure in $\tilde{Y}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of $\exp(\mathfrak{c})$ with every boundary component is bounded by $d'$.

**Proof.** Noetherian induction. For each $k \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}$ consider the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}$ of $k$-planes in $\mathfrak{g}$. This induces an algebraic family $Y \to \text{Gr}$ of subvarieties $Y_{\mathfrak{m}} \subset X$ and a family $D \subset Y$ of boundary divisors. Taking a (finite) flattening stratification of the base we reduce to the case when $Y \to B, D \to B$ are flat over $B$. Now we use embedded resolution of singularities over the function field of $B$. Next we complete (projectively) to a family $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{D})$ over $B$ and restrict to a Zariski open subset $B^0$ where both $\tilde{Y}^0, \tilde{D}^0$ are flat over $B^0$, $\tilde{Y}^0$ is smooth and $\tilde{D}^0$ is strict normal crossings. We repeat the process for each irreducible component of the complement to $B^0$.

Each $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{g}$ will belong to one of the finitely many families constructed above. For each family we can find uniform bounds as claimed. 

8. **Height zeta function**

8.1. **Heights.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective algebraic variety over a number field $F$. A smooth adelic metrization of a line bundle $L$ on $X$ is a family of $v$-adic norms $\| \cdot \|_v$ on $L \otimes_{F_v} F$ for all $v \in \text{Val}(F)$ such that

- for $v \in S_\infty$ the norm $\| \cdot \|_v$ is $C^\infty$;
- for $v \in S_{\text{fin}}$ the norm of every local section of $L$ is locally constant in the $v$-adic topology;
- there exist a finite set $S \subset \text{Val}(F)$, a flat projective scheme (an integral model) $\mathcal{X}$ over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ with generic fiber $X$ together with a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that for all $v \notin S$ the $v$-adic metric is given by the integral model.
If $X$ carries an action of an integral model $G$ of $G$ over an open dense subset of $\text{Spec}(o_F)$ extending the action of $G$ on $X$ and the line bundle $L$ has a $G$-linearization extending the $G$-linearization of $L$ then we call the smooth adelic metrization equivariant.

**Proposition 8.2.** Let $G$ be a unipotent algebraic group defined over a number field $F$ and $X$ a smooth projective bi-equivariant compactification of $G$. Then there exist a compact open subgroup

$$K = \prod_v K_v \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}})$$

and a height pairing

$$H = \prod_{v \in \text{Val}(F)} H_v : \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \times G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$$

such that

- For all $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ the restriction of $H$ to $L \times G(F)$ is a height corresponding to some smooth adelic metrization of $L$;
- The pairing is exponential in the $\text{Pic}(X)$ component:
  $$H_v(s + s'; g) = H_v(s; g)H_v(s'; g)$$
  for all $s, s' \in \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$, all $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ and all $v \in \text{Val}(F)$;
- For all $v \in S_{\text{fin}}$ one has $H_v(s; kgk') = H_v(s; g)$ for all $s \in \text{Pic}(S)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $k, k' \in K_v$.

**Proof.** We follow closely the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [5]. First we observe that in our situation (with an action of $G \times G$)

$$\text{Pic}^{G \times G}(X) = \text{Pic}(X).$$

Let $L = (L, \| \cdot \|_v)$ be a very ample line bundle equipped with a locally constant $v$-adic norm (where $v \notin S_{\infty}$). The space of $F$-rational global sections $H^0(X, L)$ contains a unique (upto multiplication by $F^*$) $G \times G$-invariant section $f$. Consider the morphism of (left) multiplication

$$m : G \times X \to X$$

and the projection

$$pr : X \to X.$$

The trivial line bundle $m^*(L) \otimes pr^*(L)$ carries the tensor product metric. Restricting to $G(F_v) \times G(F_v)$, we find that the norm of the canonical section 1 is given by

$$(g, x) \mapsto \|f(gx)\|_v \|f(x)\|^{-1}_v.$$

It extends to a locally constant function on $G(F_v) \times X(F_v)$. Since it is locally constant and equal to 1 on $\{1\} \times X(F_v)$ there exists a compact
open subgroup $K_v \subset G(\mathcal{O}_v)$ such that the above function equals 1 on $K_v \times X(F_v)$. Moreover, for almost all $v$ the stabilizer of $(\mathcal{L}_v, \| \cdot \|_v)$ is equal to $G(\mathcal{O}_v)$.

We can use the same section $f$ for the right action of $G$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is not ample, we can represent it as $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{-1}$ with very ample $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ and apply the same argument.

Now choose a basis for $\text{Pic}(X)$ consisting of very ample line bundles, fix smooth adelic bi-equivariant metrizations on these generators as described above and extend these adelic metrizations to arbitrary $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ (by linearity). Then there exists a compact open subgroup

$$K = \prod_{v \in S_{\text{fin}}} K_v$$

stabilizing all line bundles metrized in this way. \hfill \Box

8.3. Spectral analysis. In this section we begin to analyze the spectral decomposition of the height zeta function

$$(8.1) \quad Z(s; g) := \sum_{\gamma \in G(F)} H(s; \gamma g)^{-1}.$$

Proposition 8.4. There exists an $N > 0$ such that the series (8.1) converges absolutely and uniformly to a holomorphic in $s$ and continuous in $g$ function, for $g$ and $s$ contained in compacts in $G(\mathbb{A})$ and, respectively, in the domain in $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by $\Re(s_\alpha) > N$, for all $\alpha$.

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Proposition 4.4 in [5] (follows from the projectivity of $X$). \hfill \Box

Proposition 8.5. One has a formal identity

$$(8.2) \quad Z(s; g) = \sum_{\rho \in R(K)} Z_\rho(s; g).$$

Here the sum is over all irreducible unitary representations occurring $L^2(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))^K$, see 5.6.

Proof. We use the right-$K$-invariance of the height function. \hfill \Box

Notation 8.6. Denote by $S_X$ the set of all places $v \notin S_{\infty}$ such that either:

- residual characteristic of $v$ is 2 or 3;
- $K_v \neq G(\mathcal{O}_v)$;
- $\text{vol}(G(\mathcal{O}_v))$ with respect to $dg_v$ is not equal to 1;
- the coadjoint action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is not defined over $\mathcal{O}_v$.
over $\mathfrak{o}_v$, the union $\bigcup \alpha D_\alpha$ is not a union of smooth relative divisors with strict normal crossings.

**Remark 8.7.** For all $v \notin (S_X \cup S_\infty)$ the height $H_v$ is invariant with respect to the right and left $G(\mathfrak{o}_v)$-action.

**Notation 8.8.** Denote by $S_\varrho$ the set of all nonarchimedean places $v$ such that either

- $v \in S_X$;
- $|\text{Pf}(\ell)|_v \neq 1$, (where $\ell$ is contained in the orbit corresponding to $\varrho$);
- $v \in S_{\pi_\ell}$.

By the results in Section 5.7, for all $\varrho \in \mathcal{R}(K)$ and all nonarchimedean $v \notin S_\varrho$ one has $\dim \mathcal{H}_\varrho_v = 1$. For all other $v \notin S_\infty$, $\dim \mathcal{H}_\varrho_v < \infty$, controlled by Proposition 5.9.

Choose a norm 1 generator

$$\omega_\varrho = \bigotimes_{v \notin (S_\varrho \cup \infty)} \omega_{\varrho,v}$$

of the 1-dimensional space

$$\bigotimes_{v \notin (S_\varrho \cup \infty)} \mathcal{H}_\varrho^K_v$$

and an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}_{S_\varrho} = \{\omega_{\varrho,\lambda}\}$ for the finite dimensional space

$$\bigotimes_{v \in S_\varrho} \mathcal{H}_\varrho^K_v.$$ Fix an elliptic operator $\Delta$ acting on $\Gamma \backslash G_\infty$ as in Section 10 (where $G_\infty$ and $\Gamma$ are defined in Notation 5.3). Finally, choose an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}_{\varrho,\infty} := \{\omega_{\varrho,\lambda}\}$ of

$$\bigotimes_{v \in S_\infty} \mathcal{H}_\varrho_v \subset L^2(\Gamma \backslash G_\infty)$$

consisting of $\Delta$-eigenfunctions.

**Lemma 8.9.** For all $\varrho \in \mathcal{R}(K)$, the set

$$\mathcal{B}_\varrho = \omega_\varrho \otimes \mathcal{B}_{S_\varrho} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\varrho,\infty}$$

is a complete orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_\varrho$.

From now on we fix a basis $\mathcal{B}_\varrho$ as in Lemma 8.9. Considerations in Section 5.1, in particular, Proposition 5.14, imply:

**Proposition 8.10.** For every $\varrho \in \mathcal{R}(K)$ one has (formally)

$$Z(\varrho; s, g) = Z(\varrho; s, g) \cdot Z_{S_\varrho}(s, g) \cdot Z_{\varrho,\infty}(s, g),$$
where
\[
Z^0(s; g) := \prod_{v \notin (S_\varrho \cup \infty)} \int_{G(F_v)} H_v(s; g'_v g_v)^{-1} \omega_{g'_v g_v} dg'_v,
\]
\[
Z_{S_\varrho}(s; g) = \sum_{\lambda'} \int_{G(k_{S_\varrho})} H_{k_{S_\varrho}}(s; g'_{S_\varrho} g_\varrho)^{-1} \omega_{\lambda'}(g'_{S_\varrho} g_\varrho) dg'_{S_\varrho},
\]
and
\[
Z_{\varrho, \infty}(s; g) = \sum_{\lambda} \int_{G_{\infty}} H_{\infty}(s; g'_\infty g_\infty)^{-1} \omega_{\lambda}(g'_\infty g_\infty) dg'_\infty.
\]

The following sections justify this formal expansion, by
- analyzing the contributions from all places \( v \notin (S_\varrho \cup \infty) \),
- estimating contributions for places \( v \in S_\varrho \), and
- deriving upper bounds at places \( v \in S_\infty \), via integration by parts for suitable differential operators.

9. Analytic properties of the height zeta function

We have a general integrability result (see, e.g., Section 8 in [5]):

**Lemma 9.1.** For all places \( v \), the integral
\[
I_v(s) := \int_{G(F_v)} H_v(s; g_v)^{-1} dg_v
\]
is absolutely convergent to a holomorphic function in \( s \) in the domain \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1 \), for all \( \alpha \). For every \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists a constant \( c_v(\epsilon) \) such that
\[
|I_v(s)| < c_v(\epsilon),
\]
for all \( s \) with \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1 + \epsilon \), for all \( \alpha \).

We start by considering nonarchimedian places of good reduction and the contribution of the trivial representation to the height zeta function. We have a stratification of \( X \) by locally closed subvarieties
\[
D^0_A := D_A \setminus \bigcup_{A' \supset A} D_A,
\]
where
\[
D_A := \cap_{\alpha \in A} D_\alpha
\]
and \( A \subset \mathcal{A} \). In particular, \( D_\emptyset = G \). A key result is the following computation:
Proposition 9.2. For all \( v \notin S_X \cup S_\infty \) and all \( s \) with \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1 \), for all \( \alpha \), one has
\[
\int_{G(F_v)} H(s; g_v)^{-1} dg_v = q_v^{-n} \left( \sum_{A \subseteq A} D_A^0(k_v) \prod_{\alpha \in A} \frac{q_v - 1}{q_v^{s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha + 1} - 1} \right).
\]

Proof. This is Theorem 7.1 in [5]. The proof proceeds as follows: for \( v \notin S_X \cup S_\infty \) there is a good model \( X \) of \( X \) over \( \mathfrak{o}_v \): all boundary components \( D_\alpha \) (and \( G \)) are defined over \( \mathfrak{o}_v \) and form a strict normal crossing divisor. We can consider the reduction map
\[
\text{red} : X(F_v) = X(\mathfrak{o}_v) \to X(k_v) = \bigcup_{A \subseteq A} D_A^0(k_v).
\]
The main observation is that in a neighborhood of the preimage in \( X(F_v) \) of the point \( \tilde{x}_v \subset D_A^0(k_v) \) one can introduce local \( v \)-adic analytic coordinates \( \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{n} \) such that
\[
H_v(s; g)^{-1} = \prod_{\alpha \in A} |x_\alpha|^{s_\alpha}.
\]
Now it suffices to keep track of the change of the measure \( dg_v \):
\[
dg_v = \prod_{\alpha \notin A} dx_\alpha \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in A} |x_\alpha|^{-\kappa_\alpha} dx_\alpha,
\]
where \( dx_\alpha \) are standard Haar measures on \( F_v \). The obtained integrals over the maximal ideal \( \mathfrak{m}_v \subset \mathfrak{o}_v \) are elementary
\[
\int_{\text{red}^{-1} (\tilde{x}_v)} H_v(s; g_v)^{-1} dg_v = \prod_{\alpha \notin A} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_v} dx_\alpha \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in A} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_v} q_v^{-(s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha) v(x_\alpha)} dx_\alpha
\]
(see Theorem 9.1 in [5]). Summing over all \( \tilde{x}_v \in X(k_v) \) we obtain the claim. \( \square \)

Corollary 9.3. Let
\[
Z_0(s, g) := \int_{G(k)} H(s; g')^{-1} dg'
\]
be the contribution of the trivial representation to the spectral expansion (1.3). Then
\[
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
Z_0(s, g) = \int_{G(k)} H(s; g')^{-1} dg',
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
\]
is holomorphic for \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha \), for all \( \alpha \), and continuous in \( g \). Furthermore, the function
\[
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{for all } \alpha, \text{ one has }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
\]

\[
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{for all } \alpha, \text{ one has }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
\]

is holomorphic for \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1/2 \) and continuous in \( g \), with
\[
\lim_{s \to \kappa} \prod_{\alpha \in A} (s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha) \cdot Z_0(s; e) = \tau(K_X) \neq 0,
\]
where \( \tau(-K_X) \) is the Tamagawa number defined in [22].

**Proof.** Apply Corollary 7.6 in [5]. □

The next step is to analyze contributions from nontrivial representations. We start by considering automorphic characters of \( G \), i.e., 1-dimensional representations of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \), trivial on \( G(F) \). In the framework of the orbit method, these correspond to linear forms \( \ell \in g^* \) which are trivial on \([g, g] \). The treatment of these is analogous to the one presented in Section 10 of [5]. Let
\[
\hat{H}(s; \psi_\ell, g) := \int_{G(\mathbb{A})} H(s; g'g)\overline{\psi_\ell(g'g)}dg'
\]
be the Fourier transform of the height function with respect to \( \psi_\ell \). By Proposition 9.2 and Corollary 9.3, this integral converges to a holomorphic function for \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha \), for all \( \alpha \). Let
\[
Z_1(s; g) := \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \hat{H}(s; \psi_\ell, g),
\]
be the contribution of the set of all nontrivial automorphic characters to the spectral expansion (1.3).

**Proposition 9.4.** The function
\[
s \mapsto Z_1(s; g)
\]
is holomorphic for \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha \), for all \( \alpha \), and continuous in \( g \). Furthermore, the function
\[
s \mapsto \prod_{\alpha \in A} (s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha) \cdot Z_1(s; g)
\]
is holomorphic for \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1/2 \), with
\[
\lim_{s \to \kappa} \prod_{\alpha \in A} (s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha) \cdot Z_1(s; e) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 6.3 in [5]. We repeat the argument since it will be essential in the subsequent analysis of infinite-dimensional representations \( \varrho \in \mathcal{R}(K) \).

The \( \ell \) occurring in \( Z_1 \) are parametrized by a lattice \( \mathfrak{d} \), minus 0. For each \( \ell \) we have a finite set of nonarchimedean places \( S_\ell \), of “bad”
reduction, defined as in Notation 8.8. Put $S := S_{\ell} \cup S_{\infty}$. The main steps of the proof are:

1. In the domain $\Re(s_{\alpha}) > \kappa_{\alpha} - 1/2$, provide an upper bound of the form
   $$\left| \prod_{v \in S_{\ell}} \hat{H}_v(s; \psi_{\ell}, g) \right| \leq \lVert O_\ell \rVert_\infty^\epsilon,$$
   for some $\epsilon > 0$; here the norm $\lVert O_\ell \rVert_\infty$, defined in Notation 4.9, is equivalent to the euclidean norm of the linear form $\ell$ as the element of the lattice $\mathfrak{a}$.

2. Establish meromorphic continuation of the Euler product
   $$\hat{H}^S(s; \psi_{\ell}, g) := \prod_{v/ \notin S} \hat{H}_v^S(s; \psi_{\ell}, g),$$
   of the form
   $$\hat{H}^S(s; \psi_{\ell}, g) = \prod_{\alpha \in A(\ell)} \zeta_F(s_{\alpha} - \kappa_{\alpha} + 1) \cdot \phi(s; \psi_{\ell}, g),$$
   where $A(\ell) \subset A$, and $\phi$ is a holomorphic function in the domain $\Re(s_{\alpha}) > \kappa_{\alpha} - 1/2 + \epsilon$ for all $\alpha$, satisfying a uniform bound
   $$|\phi(s; \psi_{\ell}, g)| \leq c' \cdot (1 + \lVert \Im(s) \rVert)^N' \cdot \lVert O_\ell \rVert_\infty^\epsilon,$$
   for some constants $\epsilon, c', N'$.

3. In the domain $\Re(s_{\alpha}) > \kappa_{\alpha} - 1/2$, for all $\alpha$, and for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, obtain upper bounds of the shape
   $$|\hat{H}_{\infty}(s; \psi_{\ell}, g)| \leq c'' \cdot (1 + \lVert \Im(s) \rVert)^N' \cdot (1 + \lVert O_\ell \rVert_\infty)^{-N},$$
   for some constants $c''$ and $N'$, to insure convergence of the sum
   $$\sum_{\ell} \hat{H}^S(s; \psi_{\ell}, g) \cdot \hat{H}_{S_{\ell}}(s; \psi_{\ell}, g) \cdot \hat{H}_{S_{\infty}}(s; \psi_{\ell}, g).$$

This is the content of Section 10 of [5]. We proceed to explain these steps in more detail.

Let $f$ be a polynomial function on $\mathfrak{g}$ and let
$$\text{div}(f) = E(f) - \sum_{\alpha} d_\alpha(f) D_\alpha,$$
$$A_0(f) := \{ \alpha \mid d_\alpha(f) = 0 \}.$$
Every nontrivial linear form $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ defines a nontrivial rational function $f = f_{\ell} \in F(X)$, by
$$x \mapsto \langle \ell, \log(x) \rangle.$$
We write $d_\alpha = d_\alpha(f)$ for the multiplicities of $f$ along the corresponding boundary strata $D_\alpha$. 
For \( v \in S_\ell \), we replace \( \psi_\ell \) by 1 and refer to Lemma 8.2 of [5] (see also Lemma 4.1.1 in [6] for a general integrability result of this type). This gives (1).

For \( v \not\in S_\ell \cup S_\infty \), we compute the integral defining \( \hat{H}_v(\mathbf{s}; \psi_\ell, g) \) on residue classes, as in the proof of Proposition 9.2. Let \( \tilde{x} \in X(\mathbf{k}_v) \) and \( A = \{ \alpha \mid \tilde{x} \in D_\alpha \} \). There are three cases:

**Case 1.** \( A = \emptyset \). — Since \( \psi_\ell \) is trivial on \( \text{G}(\mathfrak{o}_v) \), for \( v \not\in S_\ell \cup S_\infty \),

\[
\int_{\text{G}(\mathfrak{o}_v)} \hat{H}_v(\mathbf{s}; g_v)^{-1} \psi_\ell(g_v) dg_v = 1
\]

**Case 2.** \( A = \{ \alpha \} \) and \( \tilde{x} \not\in E \). — We introduce \( v \)-adic analytic coordinates \( x_\alpha \) and \( y_\beta \) around \( \tilde{x} \) such that locally

\[
f_\ell(x) = (\ell, \log(x)) = ux_\alpha^{-da}.
\]

Then

\[
\int_{\text{red}^{-1}(\tilde{x})} = \int_{m_v \times m_v^{n-1}} q^{-(s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha)n(x_\alpha)} \psi(u x_\alpha^{-da}) dx_\alpha dy
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{n_\alpha \geq 1} q^{-(1+s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha)n_\alpha} \int_{\mathfrak{o}_v^*} \psi(u x_\alpha^{-da} u_\alpha^{-da}) du_\alpha,
\]

where the last integral is elementary (see Lemma 10.3 of [5]).

**Case 3.** \( \# A \geq 2 \) or \( \# A = 1 \) and \( \tilde{x} \in E \). — Replacing \( \psi \) by 1 we find that, for real \( \mathbf{s} \), the contribution of these \( \tilde{x} \) is bounded by

\[
\sum_{\# A \geq 2} \frac{\# D_\alpha(\mathbf{k}_v)}{q^n} \prod_{\alpha \in A} \frac{q - 1}{q^{1+s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha} - 1} + \sum_{A = \{ \alpha \}} \frac{\# (D_\alpha \cap E)(\mathbf{k}_v)}{q^n} \frac{q - 1}{q^{1+s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha} - 1}.
\]

Combining the calculations of the cases above, we obtain

\[
\hat{H}_v(\mathbf{s}; \psi_\ell, g) = 1 + \sum_{\alpha \in A_0(\mathbf{j})} \frac{\# D_\alpha(\mathbf{k}_v)}{q^n} \frac{q - 1}{q^{1+s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha} - 1} + ET
\]

with “an error term” \( ET \) on the order of \( O(q^{-(1+\delta)}) \), for some \( \delta = \delta(\epsilon) \), when \( \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1/2 + \epsilon \), for all \( \alpha \). This implies (2).

For \( v \in S_\infty \) we use integration by parts with respect to suitable vector fields following the proof of Proposition 8.4 of [5]; this proves (3).

As in the proof of Proposition 10.2 of [5], we deduce from these estimates that \( \hat{H}(\mathbf{s}; \psi_\ell, g) \) has a meromorphic continuation to the domain
\[ \Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1/2, \text{ for all } \alpha: \]

\[ \hat{H}(s; \psi_\ell, g) = \phi(s; \psi_\ell, g) \prod_{\alpha \in A_0(f_\ell)} \zeta_F(1 + s_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha), \tag{9.1} \]

where \( \phi \) is a holomorphic function in this domain and \( \zeta_F \) is the Dedekind zeta function. Moreover, for any \( N > 0 \) there exist constants \( N' > 0 \) and \( c(\epsilon, N) \) such that for any \( s \in \mathbb{T}_{-1/2+\epsilon} \), one has the estimate

\[ |\phi(s; \psi_\ell, g)| \leq c(\epsilon, N)(1 + |\Im(s)|)^N'(1 + \|O_\ell\|)^{-N}. \]

This, in turn, implies the claimed properties of \( Z_1(s, g) \). \( \square \)

The proof of Proposition 9.4 (see Equation 9.1) and Corollary 9.3 imply:

**Corollary 9.5.** Let \( L = \sum_{\alpha \in A} l_\alpha D_\alpha, \ l_\alpha > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha. \) Then the function

\[ s \mapsto \hat{H}(sL; \psi_\ell, g) \]

has the following properties:

- is holomorphic for \( \Re(s) > a(L) \),
- admits a meromorphic continuation to \( \Re(s) < a(L) - \delta, \) for some \( \delta > 0 \),
- is holomorphic in this domain, except possibly at \( s = a(L) \), where it could have a pole of multiplicity at most \( b(L) \),
- the multiplicity of the pole is strictly smaller when \( \ell \neq 0 \).

We generalize the argument above to the infinite-dimensional representations occurring in the expansion (1.3) as follows:

Consider a stratification of the set of \( \text{Ad}^*(G) \)-orbits in \( \mathfrak{g}^* \) into finitely many affine strata \( Z_\sigma \subset \mathfrak{g}^* \) as in Sections 3.4 and 3.8; we may assume that for each \( \sigma \in \Sigma \) we have a finite set of \( \text{Ad}^*(G) \)-invariant polynomials \( \{P_{\sigma,j}\} \subset F[\mathfrak{g}^*] \) separating the orbits (see Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 3.10).

Passing to a finer stratification, if necessary, we may assume that for each \( \sigma \), we have a finite collection of polynomial functions \( Q_{\sigma,i} \in F[\mathfrak{g}^*] \) defining the \( F \)-morphism

\[ \text{pol}_\sigma : Z_\sigma \to \text{Gr}(k_\sigma, \mathfrak{g}) \]

from Proposition 2.10, i.e., for each \( \ell \in Z_\sigma \) the image

\[ m_\ell := \text{pol}_\sigma(\ell) \subset \text{Gr}(k_\sigma, \mathfrak{g}) \]
is a polarizing subalgebra for $\ell$. The corresponding family of subgroups $\{M_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_\sigma}$ defines an equidimensional family of equivariant compactifications

$$M_\ell \subset Y_\ell \subset X,$$

with boundaries

$$D_\ell := Y_\ell \setminus M_\ell.$$

Considerations in Section 5.1 imply

$$\mathcal{R}(K) = \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{R}(K)_\sigma$$

We restrict the height zeta function to a 1-parameter function

$$Z(s; g) := Z(-sK_X; g)$$

and decompose

(9.2) $$Z(s; g) = Z_0(s; g) + Z_1(s; g) + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} Z_\sigma(s; g),$$

where the sum is over packets of infinite-dimensional automorphic representations. We will establish the meromorphic properties of each term in this sum. The pole or highest order at $s = 1$ will be provided only by the trivial representation, i.e., $Z_0(s; g)$.

For each stratum $\sigma \in \Sigma'$, fix integral models over $\mathfrak{o}_{S_\sigma}$, for some finite set of nonarchimedean places $S_\sigma$, i.e., we assume that the polynomials $P_{\sigma,j}$ and $Q_{\sigma,i}$ have coefficients in $\mathfrak{o}_{S_\sigma}$. We may assume that $S_\sigma$ contains $S_X$. Then there is a sublattice $\mathfrak{d}_\sigma \subset g^*(F)$ of $\mathfrak{o}_{S_\sigma}$-integral points in $g^*(F)$ such that if $g_\ell \in \mathcal{R}(K)_\sigma$ then $\ell \in \mathcal{Z}_\sigma(\mathfrak{d}_\sigma) = \mathcal{Z}_\sigma(F) \cap \mathfrak{d}_\sigma$. We can refine the expansion (9.2):

(9.3) $$Z(s; g) = Z_0(s; g) + Z_1(s; g) + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{Z}_\sigma(\mathfrak{d}_\sigma)} Z_{\ell}(s; g),$$

with $Z_{\ell} \in \mathcal{H}_{\ell\ell}$, as in Section 8.3.

By Proposition 8.10,

$$Z_{\ell}(s; g) = Z^{\ell}(s; g) \cdot Z_{S_{\ell}}(s; g) \cdot Z_{\rho_{\ell,\infty}}(s; g),$$

where, by Proposition 5.14

(9.4) $$Z^{\ell}(s; g) = \prod_{v \notin (S \cup \infty)} \int_{M_v(F_v)} H_v(-K_X; h_v g_v)^{-s} \psi_v(h_v g_v) dh_v,$$

and the other factors are contributions from places in $S_{\ell} \setminus (S \cup \infty)$ and the places at infinity. The computation of the local integrals in (9.4) is analogous to the one explained in the proof of Proposition 9.4, except that we cannot guarantee that $Y_\ell$, the Zariski
closure of $M_\ell$ in $X$, is smooth, with normal crossing boundary. However, the height integral can be computed on a desingularization $\bar{Y}_\ell$ of $Y_\ell$, constructed in Proposition 7.7. By Corollary 9.5, the analytic properties of the function

$$s \mapsto Z^S_{\bar{Y}_\ell}(s; g)$$

are governed by the invariants

$$(a(-K_X|_{\bar{Y}_\ell}), b(-K_X|_{\bar{Y}_\ell})),$$

computed on the resolution $\bar{Y}_\ell$ as in Section 7.2. Proposition 7.5 insures that

$$(9.5) \quad Z^S_{\bar{Y}_\ell}(s; g) = \frac{1}{(s-1)^{b-1}} \cdot \phi_\ell(s; g),$$

where $\phi_\ell$ is holomorphic for $\Re(s) > 1 - \delta$, for some $\delta > 0$, i.e., $Z^S_{\bar{Y}_\ell}(s; g)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to this domain, with a possible pole at $s = 1$ of order strictly smaller than $b = b(-K_X)$, the rank of the Picard group of $X$.

Propositions 7.5 and 7.7 provide uniform control on the geometry of the occurring desingularizations $Y_\ell$. In particular, only finitely many pairs $(a(-K_X|_{\bar{Y}_\ell}), b(-K_X|_{\bar{Y}_\ell}))$ arise, and the number and degrees of the corresponding boundary components are also uniformly bounded. The set of places of bad reduction of integral models of $Y_\ell$ is controlled by values of polynomials parametrizing orbits and defining the corresponding polarizing subalgebras in the stratum $\sigma$, i.e., by polynomial expressions in $P_{\sigma, j}(\ell)$. As in the proof of Proposition 9.4, we obtain the bound

$$(9.6) \quad |\phi_\ell(s; g)| \leq c \cdot |s|^N \cdot \|O_\ell\|_\infty^\epsilon,$$

for some constants $c, N, \epsilon > 0$, independent of $\ell$ and $g \in G(F) \backslash G(A_F)$.

By Proposition 5.9, the sets $S_{qe}$ and the dimensions of $B_{S_{qe}}$ are controlled in terms of an $\Ad^*(G)$-invariant polynomial $Pf \in \mathfrak{o}_{S_{qe}}[g^*]$ (the Pfaffian). For $v \in S_{qe}$ we can use the trivial estimate, replacing $\omega_X$ by 1, and using the integrability of height functions as in Lemma 8.2 of [5], to obtain:

**Lemma 9.6.** There exist $\delta, \epsilon, c > 0$ such that for all $\varrho_\ell \in \mathcal{R}(K)$ the function $Z_{S_{qe}}$ is holomorphic in the domain $\Re(s) > 1 - \delta$ and satisfies

$$(9.7) \quad |Z_{S_{qe}}(s; g)| \leq c \cdot (1 + \|O_\ell\|_\infty^\epsilon).$$

We now address contributions from archimedean places.
Lemma 9.7. Let $X$ be an equivariant compactification of a unipotent group $G$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of $G$. For all $v \in S_\infty$, all $\epsilon > 0$, and all $\partial_v \in \mathfrak{g}(F_v)$ there exist constants $c_v = c_v(\epsilon, \partial_v)$ and $N = N(\partial_v) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{G(F_v)} |\partial_v H_v(s; g_v)^{-1}|_v dg_v < c_v \cdot \|s\|^N$$

for all $s$ with $\Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1 + \epsilon$, for all $\alpha$.

Proof. We use integration by parts with respect to $\partial_v$ as in the proof of Proposition 6.4 in [5]. Assume that $F_v = \mathbb{R}$. Let $x \in X(\mathbb{R})$ and $A \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the set of all $\alpha$ such that $x \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$. Let $f_\alpha = 0$ be a local equation for $D_\alpha$ in a neighborhood $U$ of $x$. Then there exist functions $\varphi_\alpha \in C^\infty(U)$ such that for all $g \in U \cap G(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$H_v(s; g)^{-1} = \prod_{\alpha \in A} \exp(-s_\alpha h_\alpha(g))$$

where

$$h_\alpha(g) = \log |f_\alpha(g)| + \varphi_\alpha(g).$$

Moreover, for any $\partial_v \in \mathfrak{g}(F_v)$ the derivative $\partial_v h_\alpha(g)$ extends to a $C^\infty$-function on the compactification $X(\mathbb{R})$ (compare Proposition 2.2 in [5]). In particular, it is bounded on $G(\mathbb{R}) \subset X(\mathbb{R})$ and the claim follows. Complex places are treated in the same way. \qed

Fix a stratum $\sigma$ and consider an $\ell \in \sigma$. By Proposition 6.4, differential operators corresponding to the $\text{Ad}(G)^*$-invariant polynomials $P_{\sigma, j}$, act in the representation space $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ by multiplication by $P_{\sigma, j}(2\pi i \ell)$. We apply Lemma 9.7:

Corollary 9.8. For all $\epsilon > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $c = c(\epsilon, N)$ such that for all $s$ with $\Re(s_\alpha) > \kappa_\alpha - 1 + \epsilon$, for all $\alpha$, for all $g_\ell \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R})$, and all eigenfunctions $\omega_{\ell, \lambda}$ as in Lemma 8.9 one has

$$| \int_{G(\mathbb{R})} H_\infty(s; g_\infty)^{-1} \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(g_\infty) dg_\infty | \leq c \cdot \|s\|^N \cdot |\mathcal{O}_\ell|^{-n}$$

Proof. Proceed by integration by parts, using the Laplacian $\Delta = \Delta_\ell$ as in Section 10. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\Delta$-eigenfunction $\omega_{\ell, \lambda} \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell, \infty}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$ we have

$$\lambda^N \cdot \int_{G(\mathbb{R})} H_\infty(s; g_\infty)^{-1} \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(g_\infty) dg_\infty = \int_{G(\mathbb{R})} H_\infty(s; g_\infty)^{-1} \Delta^N \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(g_\infty) dg_\infty$$

$$= \int_{G(\mathbb{R})} \Delta^N H_\infty(s; g_\infty)^{-1} \omega_{\ell, \lambda}(g_\infty) dg_\infty,$$
which is majorized by
\[
\|\omega\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma \setminus G_\infty)} \cdot \int_{G_\infty} |\Delta^N H_\infty(s; g_\infty)^{-1}| dg_\infty.
\]
The third property in 10.2 bounds the norm of \(\omega_{\ell, \lambda}\) and Lemma 9.7 the integral. Similarly, applying differential operators corresponding to \(P_{\sigma,j}\) which act by \(P_{\sigma,j}(2\pi i \ell)\) on the eigenfunctions, and using the definition of \(\|O_\ell\|_\infty\) we obtain the claim.

To establish analytic properties of the height zeta function we return to Equation 9.2 and consider
\[
\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} Z_\sigma(s; g).
\]
We have, formally,
\[
Z_\sigma(s; g) = \sum_{\ell \in \sigma} Z_{\ell^\sigma}(s; g).
\]
By Proposition 8.10
\[
Z_{\ell^\sigma}(s; g) = Z^{\ell^e}(s; g) \cdot Z_{\ell^\sigma}(s; g) \cdot Z_{\ell^*, \infty}(s; g).
\]
We combine Equations (9.5), (9.6), (9.7) and Corollary 9.8 to derive that there exists a \(\delta > 0\) such that for all \(\ell \in \sigma\) we have
\[
Z_{\ell^\sigma}(s; g) = \frac{1}{(s - 1)^{b-1}} \cdot \Phi_\ell(s; g),
\]
where \(\Phi_\ell\) is holomorphic in \(s\), for \(\Re(s) > 1 - \delta\) and continuous in \(g\). Moreover, for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) there exist constants \(c = c(\delta, N)\) and \(N'\) such that
\[
|\Phi_\ell(s; g)| \leq c \cdot |s|^{N'} \cdot \|O_\ell\|_\infty^{-n},
\]
in this domain.

10. Appendix: Elliptic operators

Let \(U \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) be an open subset and
\[
\Delta := \sum_{|J| \leq m} f_J(x) \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^J
\]
a partial differential operator (we use the standard multi-index notations \(J = (j_1, \ldots, j_n)\) etc). Assume that \(f_J \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\) for all \(J\). The principal symbol \(P_\Delta\) of \(\Delta\) is defined as
\[
P_\Delta(x, \xi) = \sum_{|J|=m} f_J(x) \xi^J
\]
(here $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$). The operator $\Delta$ is called elliptic in $U$ if for all $x \in U$ the equality $P_\Delta(x, \xi) = 0$ implies $\xi = 0$.

Let $M$ be a $C^\infty$-manifold equipped with a Riemannian metric and $\mathcal{T}(M)$ the tangent bundle of $M$. Consider the maps

$$
\mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(C^\infty(M)) \\
\partial \rightarrow (f \mapsto \partial f) \\
C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(C^\infty(M)) \\
g \rightarrow (f \mapsto g \cdot f).
$$

The subalgebra $\mathcal{D}(M)$ of $\text{End}_\mathbb{C}(C^\infty(M))$ generated by the above endomorphisms is called the algebra of (finite order) differential operators of $M$.

**Lemma 10.1.** Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ be an operator of the form

$$
\Delta = \sum_j \partial_j^2,
$$

where $\partial_j \in C^\infty(\mathcal{T}(M))$ (and $j$ runs over a finite set).

The operator $\Delta$ is elliptic iff there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $x \in M$ and all $\xi_x \in T^*_x(M)$ one has

$$
\sum_j (\partial_j(x), \xi_x)^2 \geq c \cdot \|\xi_x\|^2,
$$

(where $\| \cdot \|$ is the Riemannian metric on $M$).

A crucial ingredient in the proof of analytic properties of the height zeta function is the following basic fact about elliptic operators on compact manifolds.

**Proposition 10.2.** Let $M$ be a compact manifold and $\Delta$ an elliptic operator on $C^\infty(M)$. Then

- the set $\text{Spect}(\Delta)$ of eigenvalues of $\Delta$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$;
- there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that the spectral zeta function

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spect}(\Delta) \setminus \{0\}} n_\lambda \lambda^{-s}
$$

converges absolutely and uniformly in compacts in the domain $\Re(s) > c_1$ (here $n_\lambda$ is the dimension of the $\lambda$-eigenspace);
- there exist constants $c_2, n > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in \text{Spect}(\Delta)$ and all $\lambda$-eigenvectors $\omega$ one has the estimate

$$
\|\omega\|_{L^2(M)} \leq c_2 (1 + \lambda^n) \|\omega\|_{L^\infty(M)}.
$$
We are interested in the case when $M = \Gamma \backslash G_\infty$, where $G_\infty$ is a $C^\infty$-Lie group and $\Gamma$ is a discrete cocompact subgroup. Denote by $g_\infty$ the Lie algebra of $G_\infty$ and by 
\[ \exp : g_\infty \to G_\infty \]
the exponential map. Choose a basis $\vec{\partial}_1, \ldots, \vec{\partial}_r$ of $g_\infty$. Each $\vec{\partial}_j$ can be regarded as a left-invariant vector field on $G_\infty$.

**Lemma 10.3.** The operator
\[ \Delta := \sum_{j=1}^r \partial_j^2 \]
is an elliptic operator on $G_\infty$.

**Proof.** We may assume that the metric on $G_\infty$ is left invariant under the $G_\infty$-action. Thus it suffices to check the estimate from Lemma 10.1 at the identity $e \in G_\infty$. Choose a basis $\{\vec{\partial}_j\}$ of $g_\infty^* = T_e^*(G_\infty)$ dual to $\{\partial_j\}$ and write
\[ \xi_e = \sum_{j=1}^r \xi_j \vec{\partial}_j. \]
Then
\[ \sum_{j=1}^r (\vec{\partial}_j(e), \xi_e)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^r \xi_j^2 = \|\xi_e\|^2 \]
and we can take $c = 1$. \qed

Consider the map
\[ g_\infty \ni \vec{\partial} \mapsto \vec{\partial} = \partial = (f \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} f(x \cdot \exp(t\vec{\partial}))). \]

**Lemma 10.4.** The operator
\[ \Delta := \sum_{j=1}^r \partial_j^2 \]
is an elliptic operator on $M$.

**Proof.** The manifold $M = \Gamma \backslash G_\infty$ is locally isomorphic to $G_\infty$. \qed
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