
RATIONAL CURVES AND POINTS ON K3 SURFACES

by

Fedor Bogomolov and Yuri Tschinkel

ABSTRACT. — We study the distribution of algebraic points on K3 surfaces.

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Preliminaries: abelian varieties | 3 |
| 3. Preliminaries: K3 surfaces | 6 |
| 4. Construction | 7 |
| 5. Surfaces of general type | 10 |
| 6. Higher dimensions | 11 |
| References | 13 |

1. Introduction

Let k be a field and \bar{k} a fixed algebraic closure of k . We are interested in connections between geometric properties of algebraic varieties and their arithmetic properties over k , over its finite extensions k'/k or over \bar{k} . Here we study certain varieties of intermediate type, namely K3 surfaces and their higher dimensional generalizations, Calabi-Yau varieties.

To motivate the following discussion, let S be a K3 surface over k . In positive characteristic, S may be unirational and covered by rational

curves. Examples are *supersingular* K3 surfaces over fields of characteristic two or the surface

$$x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + t^4 = 0$$

over fields of characteristic three. If k has characteristic zero, then S contains at most finitely many rational curves in each homology class of S (the counting of which is an interesting problem in enumerative geometry, see [4], [6], [7], [26]). Over uncountable fields, there may, of course, exist k -rational points on S not contained in any rational curve defined over \bar{k} . The following extremal statement, proposed by the first author in 1981, is however still a logical possibility:

Let k be either a finite field or a number field. Let S be a K3 surface defined over k . Then every \bar{k} -rational point on S lies on some rational curve $C \subset S$, defined over \bar{k} .

In this note we collect several representative examples illustrating this statement. One of our results is:

THEOREM 1.1. — *Let S be a Kummer surface over a finite field k . Then every $s \in S(\bar{k})$ lies on a rational curve $C \subset S$ defined over \bar{k} .*

Actually, such surfaces S are rationally connected in a very strong sense: there is a Zariski open subset $S^0 \subset S$ such that for every finite set of points $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subset S^0(\bar{k})$ there is a (singular) irreducible rational curve $C \subset S$ defined over \bar{k} which contains s_j , for all j . If S is not supersingular, then S is not uniruled. This resolves a problem raised by Katsura in [9], Question 12, and a question of Kollár in [2], Remark 12.

Using this theorem we produce examples of non-uniruled surfaces of general type (with nontrivial unramified Brauer groups) over finite fields which are “rationally chain connected” (any two algebraic points can be joined by a chain of rational curves).

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Brendan Hassett, Ching-Li Chai, Nick Katz, Barry Mazur and Bjorn Poonen for their interest and comments. We are very indebted to the referee for many comments which helped to improve the exposition.

2. Preliminaries: abelian varieties

In this section we collect some facts concerning abelian varieties. Our basic reference is [14].

Let A be an abelian variety over \bar{k} . Let $A[n] \subset A(\bar{k})$ be the set of the n -torsion points of A . If k is finite, then every point in $A(\bar{k})$ is a torsion point. For every torsion point $x \in A(\bar{k})$ let

$$\text{ord}(x) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid nx = 0\}$$

be the order of x . Let $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}(A)$ be the ring of \bar{k} -endomorphisms of A . Every abelian variety A defined over \bar{k} is isogenous to a product of *simple* abelian varieties (over \bar{k}).

An elliptic curve over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ is called *supersingular* if its p -rank is zero, and an abelian variety over k is called supersingular if it is \bar{k} -isogenous to a product of supersingular elliptic curves.

REMARK 2.1. — In our applications, we will use hyperelliptic curves contained in abelian varieties. Over an algebraically closed field, every (principally polarized) abelian surface is the Jacobian of a (possibly reducible) hyperelliptic curve (see [25]). This fails in higher dimensions: a generic principally polarized abelian variety of dimension ≥ 3 over \mathbb{C} does not contain hyperelliptic curves [17]. A similar result holds over *large* fields of positive characteristic, such as an algebraic closure of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(t)$ [16]. It could still be that over an algebraic closure of a *finite* field, every abelian variety of dimension ≥ 2 contains a hyperelliptic curve.

Let C be a smooth projective geometrically connected curve of genus $g = g(C) \geq 2$ over a field k . Let $J = J_C$ be the Jacobian of C . Throughout, we assume that $C(k) \neq \emptyset$ and choose a point $c_0 \in C(k)$ which we use to identify the degree n Jacobian $J^{(n)}$ with J and to embed C in J . Consider the maps

$$C^n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \mathrm{Sym}^{(n)}(C) \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} J^{(n)}(C),$$

$$c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \longrightarrow (c_1 + \dots + c_n) \longrightarrow [c],$$

Here $(c_1 + \dots + c_n)$ denotes the zero-cycle. The map ϕ_n is a finite cover of degree $n!$. For all $n \geq 2\mathbf{g} + 1$, the map φ_n is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-\mathbf{g}}$ -bundle and the map $C^n \rightarrow J^{(n)}(C)$ is surjective with geometrically irreducible fibers (see [13], Corollary 9.1.4, for example). For $x \in J(k) = J^{(n)}(k)$ put $\mathbb{P}_x := \varphi_n^{-1}(x) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^{(n)}(C)$.

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let C be a smooth projective geometrically connected curve over \mathbb{F}_q of genus $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}(C) \geq 2$, with Jacobian J . For every point $x \in J(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and every $n \geq 2\mathbf{g} + 1$ there exist a finite extension k/\mathbb{F}_q and a point $y \in \mathbb{P}_x(k)$ such that the degree n zero-cycle $c_1 + \dots + c_n$ on C corresponding to y is k -irreducible.*

Proof. — Let $x \in J(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be a point and $\mathbb{P}_x = \varphi_n^{-1}(x)$ the fiber over x . The restriction $\phi_{n,x}$ of ϕ_n to \mathbb{P}_x is a cover of degree $n!$.

We apply an equidistribution theorem of Deligne as in [13]. Let k/\mathbb{F}_q be a finite extension. In the terminology of [13], Theorem 9.4.4, let $T = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and put $t = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$, (t is a k -valued point of T). Let E/k be the (unique) degree n extension and $X_{t,\mathrm{prime}}(E)$ the subset of E -valued points of a natural \mathbb{G}_m -bundle X_t over a Zariski open subvariety of the fiber $(\varphi_n \circ \phi_n)^{-1}(x) \subset C^n$, defined in [13], p. 189. The image of $X_{t,\mathrm{prime}}(E)$ in $C^n(E)$ consists of n -tuples of distinct points (c_1, \dots, c_n) such that the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k)$ acts transitively on the set $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$. By Theorem 9.4.4 in [13], there exist constants $a(x) = a(x, T)$ and $c(x) = c(x, T)$ such that for any k with $\mathrm{Card}(k) \geq a(x)$ one has

$$(2.1) \quad |\#X_{t,\mathrm{prime}}(E)/\#X_t(E) - 1/n| \leq c(x)n!/(\#E)^{1/2}.$$

Note that $\#E = \mathrm{Card}(k)^n$. By effective Weil estimates as in Theorem 9.1.2 of [13], $X_t(E) \neq \emptyset$; combining this with the inequality (2.1) we find that for k sufficiently large $\#X_{t,\mathrm{prime}}(E) \neq \emptyset$, as claimed. \square

REMARK 2.3. — A similar result has been used in [18], Lemma 5.

COROLLARY 2.4. — *Let C be a curve of genus $\mathbf{g}(C) \geq 2$ over a (sufficiently large) finite field k , let J be its Jacobian and $x \in J(k)$ a point.*

Choose a point c_0 on $C(k)$ and use it to identify J with $J^{(n)}$, for all n , and to embed C in J . For every $n \geq 2g + 1$ there exist a point $c \in C(E)$, where E/k is the (unique) extension of k of degree n , and an endomorphism $\Phi = \Phi_n \in \text{End}_k(J)$ such that $\Phi(c) = x$.

Proof. — For any $n \geq 2g(C) + 1$ consider the surjective map φ_n . Let $x \in J^{(n)}(k)$ be a point and let \mathbb{P}_x be the projective space over x . Extending k , if necessary, we find a $y \in \mathbb{P}_x(k)$ such that the zero-cycle $(c_1 + \cdots + c_n)$ corresponding to y is irreducible over k , by Lemma 2.2.

We have $y = \sum_{g \in G} c^g$, with $c := c_1 \in C(E)$, where E/k is the unique extension of k of degree n and $G := \text{Gal}(E/k)$. The group G is cyclic, generated by the Frobenius automorphism, which we denote by Fr . Thus

$$y = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{Fr}^j(c).$$

The Frobenius morphism “lifts” to an endomorphism of J , that is, there exists an endomorphism $\tilde{\text{Fr}} \in \text{End}_k(J)$ which acts on $J(E)$ in the same way as the Galois automorphism $\text{Fr} \in \text{Gal}(E/k)$. Put

$$\Phi := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\text{Fr}}^j,$$

as an element of $\text{End}_k(J)$. □

REMARK 2.5. — In particular, Corollary 2.4 implies that if $\text{ord}(x) = m$ then there exist infinitely many points in $C(\bar{k}) \subset J(\bar{k})$ whose order is divisible by m . Indeed, notice that $\text{ord}(c) = \text{ord}(c^g)$, for all $g \in \text{Gal}(E/k)$. Since the order of x is m the order $\text{ord}(c)$ is divisible by m .

A related result has been proved in [1]: Let ℓ be a prime, C a curve (defined over a finite field k), J its Jacobian, $C \subset J$ an Albanese embedding and $\lambda : J(\bar{k}) \rightarrow J(\bar{k})_\ell$ the projection onto the ℓ -primary part. Then the map $\lambda : C(\bar{k}) \rightarrow J(\bar{k})_\ell$ is surjective. It was noticed in [19], p. 112, that the method of [1] can be used to prove that any positive-dimensional subvariety of a geometrically simple abelian variety (over a finite field) contains infinitely many points of pairwise prime orders.

The argument in the proof of Corollary 2.4 gives a statement very much in the spirit of [12]:

COROLLARY 2.6. — *Let C be a curve of genus g over a sufficiently large finite field k and J its Jacobian. Then there exist a morphism $\lambda : C \rightarrow J$ (depending on k) and a field E/k such that $J(k) \subset \lambda(C(E))$.*

LEMMA 2.7. — *Let K be a number field (or any field where Hilbert’s irreducibility holds). Let C be a curve of genus $g = g(C) \geq 2$ over K and J its Jacobian. Assume that C has a point $c_0 \in C(K)$ and use this point to identify $J^{(n)} = J$ and the embedding $C \rightarrow J$. For any point $x \in J(K)$ and any $n \geq 2g+1$ there exist an extension K'/K of degree n and a point $c \in C(K')$ such that the cycle $\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(c)$ equals $x \in J^{(n)}(K) = J(K)$.*

Proof. — The inverse image of \mathbb{P}_x under $C^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{(n)}(C)$ is a geometrically irreducible, generically Galois cover of \mathbb{P}_x (see Corollary 9.1.4 in [13], for example). Hilbert’s irreducibility theorem (as in [22], Proposition 2 in Section 9.2 and “Hilbert’s theorem” in Section 9.6) implies the claim. \square

3. Preliminaries: K3 surfaces

In this section we assume that the ground field k is algebraically closed. A good general reference for the following material is [21] and [8].

DEFINITION 3.1. — *A smooth connected simply-connected projective algebraic surface with trivial canonical class is called a K3 surface. A K3 surface S with $\text{rk Pic}(S) = 22$ is called supersingular.*

EXAMPLE 3.2. — Examples of K3 surfaces are double covers of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified in a smooth curve of degree 6, smooth quartic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 or smooth intersections of 3 quadrics in \mathbb{P}^5 .

Another interesting series of examples is given by (generalized) Kummer surfaces: desingularizations of quotients of abelian surfaces by certain finite group actions (see Proposition 4.4).

REMARK 3.3. — If S is a K3 surface over a field of characteristic zero, then $\text{rk Pic}(S) \leq 20$. An example of a supersingular S over a field of positive characteristic is given by a desingularization of A/σ , where A is a supersingular abelian variety and σ the standard involution (multiplication by -1 map).

REMARK 3.4. — If S is uniruled then the Brauer group of S has trivial transcendental part, and all cycles are algebraic. This implies that $\text{rk Pic}(S) = 22$ (i.e., S is supersingular). In particular, this is possible only in positive characteristic [21], [3].

In characteristic 2, every supersingular K3 surface is unirational [20]. It is conjectured that all supersingular K3 surfaces are unirational (see [21], Section 5, or [9], Problem 12). A generalized Kummer surface $S \sim A/G$ is uniruled iff it is unirational iff the corresponding abelian surface A is supersingular [23], [11].

4. Construction

Unless stated otherwise, the ground field k is algebraically closed of characteristic $\neq 2$. We recall the classical construction of special K3 surfaces, called *Kummer* surfaces. Let A be an abelian surface,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : A &\rightarrow A \\ a &\mapsto -a \end{aligned}$$

the standard involution. The set of fixed points of σ is exactly $A[2]$. The blowup $S := \widehat{A/\sigma}$ of the image of $A[2]$ in the quotient A/σ is a smooth K3 surface S , called a *Kummer* surface:

$$A/\sigma \rightarrow S, \quad \widehat{A/\sigma} \rightarrow S.$$

LEMMA 4.1. — *Rational curves C in A/σ correspond to hyperelliptic curves $\tilde{C} \subset A$ containing a point $P \in A[2]$ and such that the hyperelliptic involution on \tilde{C} coincides with σ .*

Proof. — The hyperelliptic involution on \tilde{C} acts as an involution $\sigma : x \rightarrow -x$ on the Jacobian $J = J_{\tilde{C}}$ and hence also on the abelian subvariety which is the image of J in A . In particular, the involution σ on A induces the standard hyperelliptic involution on C . Hence C/σ is rational and defines a rational curve in A/σ . Conversely, if $C \in A/\sigma$ is rational then the preimage of C in A is irreducible (since A doesn't contain rational curves). Thus $C = \tilde{C}/\sigma$ and \tilde{C} is hyperelliptic and all ramification

points of the map $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ are contained among the two-torsion points $A[2] \cap \tilde{C}$. \square

THEOREM 4.2. — *Let S be a Kummer surface over a finite field k , C a curve of genus 2 defined over k , J its Jacobian and $S \sim J/\sigma$ the associated Kummer surface. Then every algebraic point $s \in S(\bar{k})$ lies on some rational curve, defined over \bar{k} .*

Proof. — Let $s \in S(\bar{k})$ be an algebraic point (on the complement to the 16 exceptional curves) and $x \in J(\bar{k})$ one of its preimages. We have proved in Corollary 2.4 that for every $x \in J(\bar{k})$ (and any Albanese embedding $C \rightarrow J$) there is an endomorphism $\Phi \in \text{End}_{\bar{k}}(J)$ such that $\Phi \cdot C(\bar{k})$ contains x (note that Φ commutes with the involution σ). The image of the curve $\Phi \cdot C$ in S contains s . \square

Combining Theorem 4.2 with Corollary 2.4 we obtain

COROLLARY 4.3. — *Let S be a Kummer surface over a finite field k . There are infinitely many rational curves (defined over \bar{k}) through every point in the complement of the 16 exceptional curves in $S(\bar{k})$. If S is non-uniruled, these curves do not form an algebraic family.*

In addition to quotients A/σ , there exist generalized Kummer K3 surfaces obtained as desingularizations of abelian surfaces under actions of other finite groups. Such actions have been classified:

PROPOSITION 4.4 (see [11]). — *Let A be an abelian surface over a field k and G a finite group acting on A such that the quotient A/G is birational to a K3 surface. If $\text{char}(k) > 0$ then G is one of the following:*

- a cyclic group of order 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12;
- a binary dihedral group $(2, 2, n)$ with $n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$;
- a binary tetrahedral group $(2, 3, 3)$;
- a binary octahedral group $(2, 3, 4)$;
- a binary icosahedral group $(2, 3, 5)$.

If $\text{char}(k) = 0$ then G is one of the following:

- a cyclic group of order 2, 3, 4, 6;
- a binary dihedral group $(2, 2, n)$ with $n = 2, 3$;
- a binary tetrahedral group $(2, 3, 3)$.

The groups listed above do indeed occur.

COROLLARY 4.5. — *If $S \sim A/G$ is a generalized Kummer K3 surface over a finite field k (of characteristic ≥ 7) then every algebraic point on S lies on infinitely many rational curves, defined over \bar{k} .*

Proof. — By Remark 3.4, a supersingular generalized Kummer K3 surface is uniruled and the claim follows. By Lemma 6.2 in [11], if S is not supersingular and G is divisible by two then G has a unique element of order two, acting as the standard involution. An argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 applies to show that every algebraic point lies on a rational curve. The generalized Kummer K3 surfaces with $G = \mathbb{Z}/5$ are supersingular [11].

It remains to consider $G = \mathbb{Z}/3$. In this case, the abelian variety A is isogenous to $E \times E$ with an action of $\mathbb{Z}/3$ which is obtained from the cyclic permutation action on E^3 divided by the diagonal. The quotient surface $A/\mathbb{Z}/3$ is birationally equivalent to a K3-surface (it is simply-connected, has a nontrivial holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form and Kodaira dimension 0). In order to apply our general argument we need to find a generating curve $C \in A$ with a rational quotient $C/\mathbb{Z}/3$. Consider the action of \mathfrak{S}_3 on \mathbb{P}^1 with $\mathbb{Z}/3$ -invariant points $0, \infty$. Let S be an \mathfrak{S}_3 -orbit in \mathbb{P}^1 and C_S the double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified in S . Then $g(C_S) = 2$ and \mathfrak{S}_3 acts on the hyperelliptic curve C_S . The automorphism group of C_S , for a generic orbit S , is equal to $\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$. There is an action of \mathfrak{S}_3 on $J = J_{C_S}$, note that J is isogenous to $E \times E$. For any subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/2 \subset \mathfrak{S}_3$ the quotient $C_S/\mathbb{Z}/2$ is an elliptic curve. Since all such subgroups are conjugated it is the same elliptic curve. Any elliptic curve (over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$) can be obtained in this way: realize it as the double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified in

$$\{1, (x + 1/x)/2, (\zeta x + 1/\zeta x)/2, (\zeta^2 x + 1/\zeta^2 x)/2\}$$

corresponding to the \mathfrak{S}_3 -orbit

$$\{x, \zeta x, \zeta^2 x, 1/x, \zeta/x, \zeta^2/x\},$$

where ζ is a third root of 1 and $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is an arbitrary point not equal to $0, \infty$ and any cubic root of 1 or -1 . The quotient $C_S/\mathbb{Z}/3$ is rational.

Applying the argument of Corollary 2.4 and endomorphisms (sums of powers of the Frobenius, they commute with the $\mathbb{Z}/3$ -action) we obtain our claim. \square

REMARK 4.6. — There exist K3 surfaces that are not generalized Kummer K3 surfaces but are dominated by such. Clearly, they satisfy the conclusion of Corollary 4.5.

REMARK 4.7. — We do not know whether or not *every* algebraic K3 surface contains infinitely many rational curves (elliptic K3 surfaces do, see [5]). It is known that primitive classes in $\text{Pic}(S)$ of a *general* K3 surface S over \mathbb{C} are represented by rational curves with at worst nodal singularities (see [26], [7], for example). In particular, a general polarized S with $\text{rk Pic}(S) \geq 2$ has infinitely many rational curves. See, however, [10] for examples of surfaces with $\text{rk Pic}(S_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 1$.

REMARK 4.8. — Theorem 4.2 can fail if $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(t)$ as we now show. Let S_0 be a non-supersingular Kummer surface over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ (and therefore not uniruled, by Remark 3.4). Let S be a base extension of S_0 to k . Choose a non-rational curve C_0 in S_0 . View the function field $k_0 = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(C_0)$ as a finite extension of k . Restricting the diagonal map $C_0 \rightarrow S_0 \times C_0$ to the generic point gives a point $s \in S(k_0)$. If the conclusion of Theorem 4.2 were valid for S , then over some finite extension k'_0 of k_0 , there would be a non-constant rational curve through s and hence a dominant rational map $\mathbb{P}^1 \times C'_0 \rightarrow S_0$, where C'_0 is a curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with function field k'_0 . Therefore, S_0 is uniruled – contradiction.

5. Surfaces of general type

Using similar ideas we can construct non-uniruled surfaces S of general type over finite fields k with nontrivial Brauer group of finite height [3] such that every algebraic point $s \in S(\bar{k})$ lies on a rational curve and any two points can be connected by a chain of rational curves. (However, the degrees of these curves cannot be bounded, *a priori*).

For simplicity, let us assume that $p := \text{char}(k) \geq 5$. Let S_0 be a unirational surface of general type over k , for example

$$x^{p+1} + y^{p+1} + z^{p+1} + t^{p+1} = 0$$

([21], Section 5). Let $\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow S_0$ be the corresponding (purely inseparable) covering of degree a power of p .

Let S_1 be a non-supersingular, and therefore, non-uniruled, Kummer K3 surface admitting an abelian cover onto \mathbb{P}^2 of degree prime to p with

Galois group G for example, a double cover (here we may have to enlarge the ground field k).

LEMMA 5.1. — *For any n coprime to p , and any finite purely inseparable extension L/K we have a natural isomorphism, induced by inclusion $K \hookrightarrow L$,*

$$K^*/(K^*)^n = L^*/(L^*)^n.$$

Proof. — Indeed, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that K^* contains the p^m -powers of all elements of L^* . Since p^m and n are coprime the claimed isomorphism follows. \square

Let $L = \bar{k}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. By Kummer theory, the extension of function fields $\bar{k}(S_1)$ over L is obtained by adjoining the n -th roots of the elements of a finite subset T of L^* , for some positive integer n prime to p . By Lemma 5.1, we may multiply each element of T by an element of $(L^*)^n$ in order to assume that $T \subset K^*$. Adjoining the n -th roots of the elements of T to $\bar{k}(S_0)$ gives the function field of a surface S over \bar{k} . In particular, we have rational maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_1 & \rightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & \rightarrow & S_0, \end{array}$$

where S is a surface of general type (since the corresponding function field is a separable abelian extension of degree coprime to p). At the same time there is a surjective purely inseparable map $S_1 \rightarrow S$. Surjectivity implies that there is a rational curve (defined over \bar{k}) passing through every algebraic point of S , to get *every* point we may need to pass to a blowup \tilde{S}_1 of S_1 resolving the indeterminacy of the dominant map $S_1 \rightarrow S$ (exceptional curves are rational over \bar{k}). By pure inseparability, if we had a dominant map $C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow S$ then we would also have a dominant map $C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow S_1$ (seen on the level of function fields), contradicting the assumption that S_1 is not uniruled.

6. Higher dimensions

Arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 give us the following result: Let k be a finite field, C a hyperelliptic curve of genus ≥ 2 over k , J its Jacobian, σ the standard involution on J and $S = J/\sigma$ the associated

Kummer variety. Then every rational point $s \in S(\bar{k})$ lies on some rational curve defined over \bar{k} . Similar results hold for some other classes of non-uniruled higher-dimensional varieties.

DEFINITION 6.1. — *A smooth projective variety V is called Calabi-Yau if its canonical class is trivial and $h^0(\Omega_V^i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \dim X - 1$.*

EXAMPLE 6.2. — Let E an elliptic curve over k with an automorphism ρ of order 3 and $A := E^3$. The quotient A/ρ (diagonal action) admits an desingularization V which is a Calabi-Yau variety.

There are many embeddings $\iota : E \hookrightarrow A$ and, in particular, every torsion point in A lies on some $\iota(E)$.

If k is finite then every point in $V(\bar{k})$ lies on some \bar{k} -rational curve in V . Moreover, E^2/ρ (diagonal action) is a rational surface. Hence every point in $V(\bar{k})$ lies in fact on a rational surface defined over \bar{k} .

EXAMPLE 6.3. — Let C be the Klein quartic curve and J its Jacobian. Then the quotient of J/σ , where σ is an automorphism of order 7, admits a desingularization V which is a Calabi-Yau threefold (see [15], for example). Again, over finite fields, one can show that every algebraic point of V lies on a rational curve.

EXAMPLE 6.4. — The following varieties have been considered in [24]: Let S be a K3 surface with an involution σ and E an elliptic curve with the standard involution τ . There exists a nonsingular model V of $E \times S/(\tau \times \sigma)$, which is a Calabi-Yau threefold. If we choose S and E , defined over a finite field, so that every algebraic point of S lies on a rational curve, then the same property holds for V .

CONJECTURE 6.5. — Let X be any smooth projective variety over a finite field k . Assume that X has trivial canonical class and that $X_{\bar{k}}$ has trivial algebraic fundamental group. Then every algebraic point of X lies on a rational curve $C \subset X$, defined over \bar{k} .

REMARK 6.6. — If A is a general abelian variety of dimension $n \geq 3$ (over \mathbb{C} or over an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$) and σ is the standard involution, then A/σ contains no rational curves, has trivial fundamental group and has Kodaira dimension zero (see Remark 2.1). However, the canonical class of a desingularization is nontrivial, for $n \geq 3$. This

also shows that the presence of rational curves is highly unstable under deformations.

An interesting test of Conjecture 6.5 would be the case of a smooth quintic in \mathbb{P}^4 .

References

- [1] G. W. ANDERSON and R. INDIK – “On primes of degree one in function fields”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **94** (1985), no. 1, p. 31–32.
- [2] C. ARAUJO and J. KOLLÁR – “Rational curves on varieties”, Higher dimensional varieties and rational points (Budapest, 2001), Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., vol. 12, Springer, Berlin, 2003, p. 13–68.
- [3] M. ARTIN – “Supersingular $K3$ surfaces”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **7** (1974), p. 543–567 (1975).
- [4] A. BEAUVILLE – “Counting rational curves on $K3$ surfaces”, *Duke Math. J.* **97** (1999), no. 1, p. 99–108.
- [5] F. BOGOMOLOV and Y. TSCHINKEL – “Density of rational points on elliptic $K3$ surfaces”, *Asian J. Math.* **4** (2000), no. 2, p. 351–368.
- [6] J. BRYAN and N. C. LEUNG – “The enumerative geometry of $K3$ surfaces and modular forms”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (2000), no. 2, p. 371–410.
- [7] X. CHEN – “A simple proof that rational curves on $K3$ are nodal”, *Math. Ann.* **324** (2002), no. 1, p. 71–104.
- [8] F. R. COSSEC and I. V. DOLGACHEV – *Enriques surfaces. I*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 76, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1989.
- [9] S. J. EDIXHOVEN, B. J. J. MOONEN and F. OORT – “Open problems in algebraic geometry”, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **125** (2001), no. 1, p. 1–22.
- [10] J. S. ELLENBERG – “ $K3$ surfaces over number fields with geometric Picard number one”, Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002), Progr. Math., vol. 226, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004, p. 135–140.
- [11] T. KATSURA – “Generalized Kummer surfaces and their unirationality in characteristic p ”, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **34** (1987), no. 1, p. 1–41.

- [12] N. M. KATZ – “Space filling curves over finite fields”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **6** (1999), no. 5-6, p. 613–624.
- [13] ———, *Twisted L-functions and monodromy*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 150, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2002.
- [14] D. MUMFORD – *Abelian varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 5, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1970.
- [15] K. OGUIO – “On the complete classification of Calabi-Yau threefolds of type III₀”, Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, p. 329–339.
- [16] F. OORT and J. DE JONG – “Hyperelliptic curves in abelian varieties”, *J. Math. Sci.* **82** (1996), no. 1, p. 3211–3219, Algebraic geometry, 5.
- [17] G. P. PIROLA – “Curves on generic Kummer varieties”, *Duke Math. J.* **59** (1989), no. 3, p. 701–708.
- [18] B. POONEN – “Néron-Tate projection of algebraic points”, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (2001), no. 9, p. 435–440.
- [19] F. POP and M. SAIDI – “On the specialization homomorphism of fundamental groups of curves in positive characteristic”, Galois groups and fundamental groups (L. Schneps, ed.), MSRI Publications, vol. 41, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, p. 107–118.
- [20] A. N. RUDAKOV and I. R. SHAFAREVICH – “Supersingular $K3$ surfaces over fields of characteristic 2”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **42** (1978), no. 4, p. 848–869.
- [21] ———, “Surfaces of type $K3$ over fields of finite characteristic”, Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 18, Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1981, p. 115–207.
- [22] J.-P. SERRE – *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem*, third ed., Aspects of Mathematics, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [23] T. SHIODA – “Some results on unirationality of algebraic surfaces”, *Math. Ann.* **230** (1977), no. 2, p. 153–168.
- [24] C. VOISIN – “Miroirs et involutions sur les surfaces $K3$ ”, *Astérisque* (1993), no. 218, p. 273–323, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Orsay (Orsay, 1992).

- [25] A. WEIL – “Zum Beweis des Torellischen Satzes”, *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen. Math.-Phys. Kl. IIa.* **1957** (1957), p. 33–53.
- [26] S.-T. YAU and E. ZASLOW – “BPS states, string duality, and nodal curves on $K3$ ”, *Nuclear Phys. B* **471** (1996), no. 3, p. 503–512.