The Gauss Class-Number Problems

H. M. Stark

1. Gauss

In Articles 303 and 304 of his 1801 Disquisitiones Arithmeticae [Gau86], Gauss put forward several conjectures that continue to occupy us to this day. Gauss stated his conjectures in the language of binary quadratic forms (of even discriminant only, a complication that was later dispensed with). Since Dedekind’s time, these conjectures have been phrased in the language of quadratic fields. This is how we will state the conjectures here, but we make some comments regarding the original versions also. Throughout this paper, \( k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \) will be a quadratic field of discriminant \( d \) and \( h(k) \) or sometimes \( h(d) \) will be the class-number of \( k \).

In Article 303, Gauss conjectures that as \( k \) runs through the complex quadratic fields (i.e., \( d < 0 \)), \( h(k) \to \infty \). He also surmises that for low class-numbers, his tables contain the complete list of fields with those class-numbers including all the one class per genus fields. This innocent addendum caused much heartache when in 1934 Heilbronn [Hei34] finally proved that \( k(d) \to \infty \) as \( d \to -\infty \) ineffectively. Thus it remained at that time impossible to even give an algorithm that would provably terminate at a predetermined time with a complete list of the complex quadratic fields of class-number one (or any other fixed class-number). By the “class-number \( n \) problem for complex quadratic fields”, we mean the problem of presenting a complete list of all complex quadratic fields with class-number \( n \). We will discuss complex quadratic fields and generalizations in Sections 3 – 5.

For real quadratic fields (i.e., \( d > 0 \)), Gauss surmises in Article 304 that there are infinitely many one class per genus real quadratic fields. By carrying over this surmise to prime discriminants, we get the common interpretation that Gauss conjectures there are infinitely many real quadratic fields with class-number one. We call this the “class-number one problem for real quadratic fields”. This is completely unproved and, to this day, it is not even known if there are infinitely many number fields (degree arbitrary) with class-number one (or even just bounded).

We will discuss two approaches each to the one class per genus problem for complex quadratic fields and the class-number one problem for real quadratic fields. Admittedly, I don’t have much hope currently for the first approaches to each problem but I think the questions raised are interesting. On the other hand, I
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think the second approaches to each problem will ultimately work. We discuss all
these in Sections 4 – 6 below.

It is particularly appropriate that this paper appear in these proceedings. From
Gauss and Dirichlet at the start to Landau, Siegel and Deuring, people connected
with Göttingen have made major contributions to the questions discussed here.

2. Dirichlet

Dirichlet introduced $L$-functions in order to study the distribution of primes
in progressions. A key fact in this study is that for every character $\chi \pmod{f}$,
$L(1, \chi) \neq 0$. Dirichlet knew that

$$\prod_{\chi} L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s},$$

where the product is over all characters $\chi \pmod{f}$ and the $a_n$ are non-negative
integers with $a_1 = 1$. Thus for real $s > 1$ where everything converges, we must
have (2.1)

$$\prod_{\chi \pmod{f}} L(s, \chi) \geq 1.$$ 

We now know that $L(s, \chi)$ has a first order pole at $s = 1$ when $\chi$ is the trivial
character and is analytic at $s = 1$ for other characters. It follows from (2.1) that at
most one of the $L(1, \chi)$ can be zero and that such a $\chi$ must be real since otherwise
$\chi$ and $\bar{\chi}$ would both contribute zeros to the product and the product would be zero
at $s = 1$. Echoes of this difficulty that there could be an exceptional real $\chi$
still persist today in the study of zeros near $s = 1$.

Of necessity, Dirichlet developed his class-number formula in order to finish
his theorem on primes in progressions. Although Kronecker symbols were still in
the future, Dirichlet discovered that every primitive real character corresponds to a
quadratic field (and conversely; the beginnings of class field theory!). We write $\chi_d$
to be the primitive real character which corresponds to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. The part of the class-
number formula which concerns us here gives a non-zero algebraic interpretation of
$L(1, \chi_d)$. Dirichlet showed that

$$L(1, \chi_d) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2\pi h(d)}{w_d \sqrt{|d|}} & \text{when } d < 0 \\
\frac{2h(d) \log(\varepsilon_d)}{\sqrt{d}} & \text{when } d > 0.
\end{cases}$$

Here when $d < 0$, $w_{-3} = 6$, $w_{-4} = 4$, $w_d = 2$ for $d < -4$, and when $d > 0$, $\varepsilon_d$ is the
fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.

Landau [Lan18b] states that Remak made the remark that even without the
class-number formula, from (2.1) we are able to see that with varying moduli there
there can be at most one primitive real character $\chi$ with $L(1, \chi) = 0$ and thus the primes
in progressions theorem would hold outside of multiples of this one extraordinary
modulus. To see this, we apply (2.1) with $f$ the product of the conductors of the
two characters $\chi$ of interest.

In truth, (2.1) also holds when the product over $\chi$ is restricted to $\chi$ running over
all characters $\pmod{f}$ which are identically 1 on a given subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. This
is equivalent to $\chi$ running over a subgroup of the group of all characters $\pmod{f}$. This product too is the zeta function of an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, but the proof that (2.1) holds does not require such knowledge. In 1918, Landau already makes use of the product in (2.1) over just four characters: the trivial character, the two interesting real characters, and their product. The product of the four $L$-functions is just the zeta function of the biquadratic field containing the two interesting quadratic fields.

Landau also proves that if for some constant $c > 0$, $L(s, \chi_d) \neq 0$ for real $s$ in the range $1 - \frac{c}{\log(|d|)} < s < 1$, then

$$L(1, \chi_d) \gg \frac{1}{\log(|d|)}$$

as $|d| \to \infty$.

In particular, the Gauss conjectures for complex quadratic fields become consequences of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

When one looks at the two 1918 Landau papers $[\text{Lan18b}]$, $[\text{Lan18a}]$, one is struck by how amazingly close Landau is to Siegel’s 1935 theorem $[\text{Sie35}]$. All the ingredients are in the Landau papers!

### 3. Complex Quadratic Fields

The original Gauss class-number one conjecture is restricted to even discriminants and is much easier. For even discriminants, 2 ramifies and yet for $d > -8$, absolute value estimates show there is no integer in $k$ with norm 2. Thus the only even class-number one discriminants are $-4$ and $-8$. Gauss also allowed non-fundamental discriminants. These correspond to ring classes and it now becomes a homework exercise to show that the non-fundamental class-number one discriminants (even or odd) are $-12$, $-16$, $-27$, $-28$.

In 1934 Heilbronn $[\text{Hei34}]$ proved the Gauss Conjecture that $k(d) \to \infty$ as $d \to -\infty$. Then also in 1934, Heilbronn and Linfoot $[\text{HL34}]$ proved that besides the nine known complex quadratic fields of class-number one, there is at most one more. Heilbronn’s proof followed a remarkable 1933 theorem of Deuring $[\text{Deu33}]$ who proved that if there were infinitely many class-number one complex quadratic fields, then the Riemann hypothesis for $\zeta(s)$ would follow! Many authors promptly carried this over to other class-numbers. But Heilbronn realized that Deuring’s method would allow one to prove the generalized Riemann hypothesis for any $L(s, \chi)$ as well and this, together with Landau’s earlier result above, implies Gauss’s conjecture for complex quadratic fields.

These theorems are purely analytic in the sense that there is no use made of any algebraic interpretations of any special values of any relevant functions. These theorems are also noteworthy in that they are ineffective. Three decades later, the class-number one problem was solved by Baker $[\text{Bak66}]$ and Stark $[\text{Sta67}]$ completely. There was also the earlier discounted method of Heegner $[\text{Hee52}]$ from 1952 which at the very least could be turned into a completely valid proof of the same result. It is frequently stated that my proof and Heegner’s proof are the same. The two papers end up with the same Diophantine equations, but I invite anybody to read both papers and then say they give the same proof!

As an aside, I believe that I was the modern rediscoverer of Heegner’s paper, having come across it in 1963 while working on my PhD thesis. Fortunately for me, if not for mathematics, it was reaffirmed at a 1963 conference in Boulder, which
I did not attend, that Heegner was incorrect and as a result I graduated in 1964 with degree in hand. Back then, it was commonly stated that the problem with Heegner’s proof was that it relied on the unproved conjecture of Weber that for \(d \equiv -3 \pmod{8}\) and \(3 \nmid d\), the classical modular function \(f(z)\) evaluated at \(z = \sqrt{d}\) is an algebraic integer lying in the ring class field of \(k \ (\text{mod} \ 2)\). The assertion that Heegner relied upon this conjecture in his class-number one proof turned out to be absolutely false (although he did make use of Weber’s conjecture in other unrelated portions of his paper) and I believe the first outline since Heegner’s paper of what is actually involved in Heegner’s class-number one proof occurs in my 1967 paper \([\text{Sta67}]\). In addition to Heegner \([\text{Hee52}]\) and Stark \([\text{Sta67}]\). I refer the reader to Birch \([\text{Bir69}]\), Deuring \([\text{Deu68}]\), and Stark \([\text{Sta69a}], \ [\text{Sta69b}]\). In particular, Birch also proves Weber’s conjecture. I don’t think this is the place to go further into this episode.

The Gauss class-number problem for complex quadratic fields has been generalized to CM-fields (totally complex quadratic extensions of totally real fields). Since the mid 1970’s we now expect that there are only finitely many CM fields with a given class-number. This has been proved effectively for normal CM fields and conditionally under each of various additional conjectures including the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for number field zeta functions, Artin’s conjecture on \(L\)-functions being entire, and more recently under the Modified Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (MGRH) which allows real exceptions to GRH. In particular, this latter result allows Siegel zeroes to exist and would still result in effectively sending the class-number \(h(K)\) of a CM field \(K\) to \(\infty\) as \(K\) varies! It also turns out that at least some of the implied complex exceptions to GRH that hamper an attempted proof without MGRH are very near to \(s = 1\). All this was prepared for a history lecture at IAS in the Fall of 1999; this part of the lecture was delivered in the Spring of 2000. It is still unpublished, but will appear someday \([\text{Sta}]\).

### 4. Zeros of Epstein zeta functions

From the point of view of this exposition, none of the proofs of Heegner, Baker or Stark qualify as a purely analytic proof. Harder to classify is the Goldfeld \([\text{Gol76}]\), Gross-Zagier \([\text{GZ86}]\) combined effective proof of the Gauss conjecture that \(h(d) \to \infty\) as \(d \to -\infty\). Goldfeld showed that the existence of an explicit \(L\)-function of an elliptic curve with a triple zero at \(s = 1\) would imply Gauss’s conjecture and Gross-Zagier prove the existence of such an \(L\)-function by giving a meaning to the first derivative at \(s = 1\) of the \(L\)-function of a CM curve. For the sake of argument, I will say that this result also is not purely analytic although there remains the chance that it could be made so.

I believe that it is highly desirable that a purely analytic proof of the class-number one result be found. This is because such a proof would have a chance of extending to other fixed class-numbers and, if we were really lucky, might even begin to effectively approach the strength of Siegel’s theorem. In particular, we might at long last pick up the one class per genus complex quadratic fields.

There are two potential purely analytic approaches to the class-number one problem. Both originated from the study of Epstein zeta functions. Let

\[
Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2,
\]
be a positive definite binary quadratic form with discriminant $d = b^2 - 4ac < 0$. We define the Epstein zeta functions
\[
\zeta(s, Q) = (1/2) \sum_{m,n \neq 0,0} Q(m,n)^{-s}.
\]
This series converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$ and has an analytic continuation to the entire complex $s$-plane with a first order pole at $s = 1$ whose residue depends only on $d$ and not on $a, b, c$. I will begin with a well known “folk theorem”.

**Theorem 4.1.** (Folk Theorem.) Let $c > 1/4$ be a real number and set
\[
Q(x, y) = x^2 + xy + cy^2,
\]
with discriminant $d = 1 - 4c < 0$. Then for $c > 41$, $\zeta(s, Q)$ has a zero $s$ with $\sigma > 1$.

**Remark 4.2.** This implies that for $d < -163$, $h(d) > 1!$

**Folk Proof.** Davenport and Heilbronn [DH36] prove the cases where $c$ is transcendental and where $c$ is rational, the exception being any integral $d$ with $h(d) = 1$. But we now know that there are no class-number one fields past $-163$ (hence the 41), and so this covers the case of rational $c$. Finally, Cassels [Cas61] proved the case where $c$ is an irrational algebraic number. $\square$

There are three problems here. First, the only “proof” of this theorem uses the class-number one determination as part of the proof, thereby rendering it useless as an analytic proof of the class-number one theorem. A second difficulty is that Davenport and Heilbronn only prove the transcendental case for Hurwitz zeta functions, but their proof carries over, with slight complications. They also deal with integral quadratic forms, which would not be a problem except that they restrict themselves to fundamental discriminants. In principle, their method should go through, with more serious complications this time, for non-fundamental discriminants so long as class-number one non-fundamental discriminants are avoided (the last such is $-28$). The third difficulty is that this folk theorem has not actually been proved because Cassels did not prove the algebraic case! Cassels did prove the algebraic case of a similar theorem for Hurwitz zeta functions, but no one has managed to carry over his proof to Epstein zeta functions. So the challenge is clear: prove the folk theorem, but better still, FIND A PURELY ANALYTIC PROOF OF THE FOLK THEOREM. As a warmup problem, but one which I still have no idea how to prove, let alone purely analytically, one could deal with

$Q(x, y) = x^2 + cy^2$ with $c > 7$.

Once such a theorem is proved, the next step would be to generalize it to the sum of $h$ Epstein zeta functions of the same discriminant, but with real coefficients. At the moment, I don’t even have any approach to the case of the one Epstein zeta function of the folk theorem. In particular, an attempt to track a particular zero of $\zeta(s, Q)$ as $c$ grows seems likely to end on the line $\sigma = 1/2$ and stay there.

5. Zero Spacing of Zeta Functions of Complex Quadratic Fields

The other purely analytic approach seems to me to be more hopeful. In his 1933 and 1935 papers, Deuring [Deu33], [Deu35], found a very useful expansion of an Epstein zeta function with
\[
Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2, \quad d = b^2 - 4ac < 0
\]
in the case that \(|d|/a^2\) is large. We can easily see where the two main terms come from. We have

\[
(5.1) \quad \zeta(s, Q) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (am^2)^{-s} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m} (am^2 + bmn + cn^2)^{-s}.
\]

We approximate the inner sum on the right by the integral,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (at^2 + bnt + cn^2)^{-s} dt = a^{-s} \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2a} n \right)^{1-2s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^2 + 1)^{-s} du.
\]

The integral on the right evaluates to

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^2 + 1)^{-s} du = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s-1/2)}{\Gamma(s)}.
\]

This gives the approximation,

\[
\zeta(s, Q) = a^{-s} \zeta(2s) + a^{s-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2} \right)^{1-2s} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s-1/2)}{\Gamma(s)} \zeta(2s-1) + R(s)
\]

where \(R(s)\) is the error made in approximating the sum by the integral. Equivalent, with

\[
\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{R}(s) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s) R(s),
\]

we have

\[
(5.2) \quad \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, Q) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2} \right)^s \xi(2s) a^{-s} + \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2} \right)^{1-s} \xi(2s-1) a^{s-1} + \tilde{R}(s)
\]

The main terms interchange on the right when \(s\) is replaced by \(1-s\). We are entitled to suspect that we have stumbled upon the functional equation for \(\zeta(s, Q)\); this is indeed the truth and can be derived from this expansion if one uses the Poisson summation formula on the sum on \(m\) in (5.1). The Poisson summation formula leads to the same main terms and an expansion of \(\tilde{R}(s)\) in \(K\)-Bessel functions in a form where \(K_{s-1/2}\) appears and is invariant under \(s \mapsto 1-s\). Deuring used the Euler MacLaurin summation formula to estimate \(R(s)\). On \(\sigma = 1/2\), the two main terms have the same absolute value and as \(t\) increases, the arguments of the two main terms spin in opposite directions in a manner which is practically linear over short ranges in \(t\). Deuring realized in [Deu35] that this leads to the zeros of \(\zeta(s, Q)\) lying practically in arithmetic progressions in \(t\).

From Stirling’s formula, when

\[
s = \frac{1}{2} + it,
\]
we have

\[
\arg \left( \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2} \right)^s \xi(2s) \right) = \arg \left( \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s)\zeta(2s) \right) = t \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2\pi} \right) + t \log(t) - t + \arg[\zeta(1+2it)] + O\left( \frac{1}{t} \right).
\]

If \( t \) goes from \( t_0 \) to \( t_0 + \varepsilon \), where \( \varepsilon \) is suitably small, then to a first approximation, the right side grows by

\[
\varepsilon \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2\pi} t \right) + O(\varepsilon).
\]

In particular, the right side grows by \( \pi \) when \( \varepsilon \) is approximately

\[
\frac{\pi}{\log(t\sqrt{|d|})}.
\]

For our particular \( Q \), we find that the two main terms have the same absolute values on \( \sigma = 1/2 \) and the sum of the two main terms has zeros almost precisely in arithmetic progressions over short ranges of \( t \). As a result, with \( a = 1 \), if one can estimate \( \tilde{R}(1/2 + it) \) as small enough, we find that \( \zeta(s, Q) \) also has zeros almost precisely in arithmetic progressions over short ranges of \( t \). The methods of Deuring allowed such estimates out to \( t \) about \( \sqrt{|d|} \), but more recent work takes \( t \) out to high powers of \( |d| \) and even further. The number in (5.4) is the average spacing of the zeros of \( \zeta_k(s) \). One consequence is that if we can get \( t \) out to even small powers of \( |d| \), we cannot have a class-number one field if \( \zeta(1/2 + it) \) has zeros significantly closer than the average spacing at this height. And if we can get \( t \) out to high powers of \( |d| \), then we can’t have a class-number one field if \( \zeta(1/2 + it) \) has zeros closer than \( 1/2 \) the average spacing.

For fields of higher class numbers,

\[
\zeta_k(s) = \sum_Q \zeta(s, Q)
\]

where the sum is over the reduced quadratic forms of discriminant \( d \). We write each \( Q(x, y) \) as

\[
Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \quad \text{with} \quad d = b^2 - 4ac < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a > 0
\]

if \( b \leq a < (|d|/4)^{1/2} \), then \( Q \) is reduced; if \( a > (|d|/3)^{1/2} \), then \( Q \) is not reduced. In the intermediate range \( (|d|/4)^{1/2} \leq a \leq (|d|/3)^{1/2} \), \( Q \) may or may not be reduced, but \( Q \) is within one or two reduction steps of being reduced and the corresponding reduced form has an \( a \) of about the same size. Our expansion of \( \zeta_k(s) \) then takes the shape,

\[
\left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s)\zeta_k(s) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2} \right)^s \xi(2s) \sum_Q a^{-s} + \left( \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2} \right)^{1-s} \xi(2-2s) \sum_Q a^{s-1} + \tilde{R}_k(s).
\]
The sum $\sum_{Q} a^{-s}$ is somewhat troublesome for class-numbers up towards $|d|^{1/2-\varepsilon}$, but for a one class per genus field, we can take

\[(5.6) \prod_{p | |d|} (1 + p^{-s})\]

as a very good approximation to $\sum_{Q} a^{-s}$. When the arguments all line up correctly, the product (5.6) can cause difficulties in deducing a zero spacing result, but this only happens rarely. On average, we still end up with the approximate arithmetic progressions and again, the higher we can do this the more we can hope that close zeros of $\zeta(s)$ will provide the desired contradiction.

With the expansion (5.2) and Rouché’s theorem, Deuring [Deu35] proved that when $|d|/a^2$ is large, except for two real zeros, one near $s = 1$ and its reflection near $s = 0$, all zeros of a single $\zeta(s,Q)$ up to height roughly $(|d|/a^2)^{1/2}$ are simple and on the line $\sigma = 1/2$. I rediscovered this result complete with the application of Rouché’s theorem, when working on my PhD thesis in 1963. I spent a fruitless year then trying to prove that $\zeta(s)$ has occasional close zeros, with no luck whatsoever before using the expansion (5.2) and numerical values of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ to push the hypothetical tenth class number one discriminant out to $10^{107}$. Proving that $\zeta(s)$ has close zeros has been one of my favorite problems for 43 years and it would appear that everyone since has been fixated on this as well. However, it is not necessary to get close zeros. For instance, suppose that one could simply show that between $T$ and $2T$ there are pairs of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ whose distance is within 1% of the average spacing for $\zeta(s)$. This would provide an analytic solution of the class-number one problem and likely lead to a solution of the one class per genus question also. One simply chooses a height $t$ as a suitable power of $d$ so that the average spacing of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ is not an integral multiple of the average spacing of zeros of $\zeta(s,Q)$. Other variations are possible as well. This certainly has to be explored.

6. Real Quadratic Fields

Here again, because he allows non-fundamental discriminants, the original Gauss version of his class-number one conjecture was proved long ago by using a carefully constructed family of orders in a fixed real quadratic field of class-number one [Dic66]!

I have already in the commentaries to Heilbronn’s collected works sketched a beginning potential approach to getting small class-numbers of real quadratic fields by finding Euclidean rings of $S$-integers in quadratic fields. This was motivated by a suggestion of Heilbronn [Hei51] that a certain explicit family of quartic fields may contain infinitely many Euclidean fields. In truth I am dubious about the Euclidean $S$-integer approach getting more than infinitely many $S$-integer Euclidean rings with small $|S|$ (and at the moment, I don’t see how to even approach that much either).

But there is another approach to class-number one real quadratic fields which I believe will eventually succeed. The Cohen-Lenstra heuristics [CL84] predict that the probability $a_p$ of a real quadratic field having class number divisible by an odd
prime $p$ is
\[ a_p = 1 - \prod_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-j}) . \]
They then predict that for real quadratic fields $k$ the probability of the odd part of the class group being the identity is
\begin{equation}
\prod_{p \geq 3} (1 - a_p) = .7544598... 
\end{equation}
In particular for prime discriminants where there is no two part of the class group, this should be the probability that the $h(k) = 1$ for prime discriminant fields.
Since the product in (6.1) is convergent, the sum of the $a_p$ is convergent as well. This means that to estimate the number of fields with discriminant up to $x$ such that the odd part of the class group is one, we can do inclusion-exclusion up to some point and then just exclude fields with $p|h(k)$ for primes past that point. The inclusion-exclusion part would complicate life since we would require lower bounds on densities of fields being put back in. However, the $a_p$ are so small that
\[ \sum_{p \geq 3} a_p = .265802... < 1 . \]
This suggests that it might be possible to take the total number of quadratic fields of prime discriminant up to $x$, say, and subtract the number of fields with class-number divisible by 3 up to $x$ and then subtract the number of fields with class-number divisible by 5 up to $x$, . . . , and still have a positive result at the end. What makes this interesting is that all we would need to make this work is an upper bound on the number of quadratic fields with class-number divisible by $p$. Since upper bound density estimates are often easier to come by than lower bounds, there is a chance this approach could succeed. If successful, we would not come up with the Cohen-Lenstra predicted density, but we would get a positive lower estimate of the density which at best would be .734197... Of course, one would need some sort of error term in an upper estimate of number of real quadratic fields of discriminant less than $x$ whose class-numbers are divisible by $p$. And if we wanted, say, narrow class-number one rather than class-number a power of 2, we would have to restrict our quadratic field discriminants to being prime.
In turn, from class-field theory, we would like an estimate of the number of fields of degree $p$ and certain types of Galois groups. Again, since a good upper bound is all that is needed, we could likely relax the conditions that the degree $p$ fields have to satisfy for larger $p$. The closer we get to counting just the number of fields of degree $p$ with prime power (for example, a prime to the $(p - 1)/2$ power) discriminants, without worrying about what the Galois group is, the more possible it is that such an estimate could ultimately be derived.
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