

Assignment 2, due September 24

Corrections: [none yet]

1. Suppose times T_1, \dots, T_M are chosen randomly, independently, and with a uniform density in the interval $[0, R]$. Suppose $\lambda > 0$ is a *rate* parameter and we choose $M = \lambda R$ and take the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ (or $R = M/\lambda$ with $M \rightarrow \infty$). Define $T_{[1]} = \min T_k$, $T_{[2]}$ as the smallest $T_k > T_{[1]}$, and so on. Then $\{T_1, \dots, T_N\} = \{T_{[1]}, \dots, T_{[N]}\}$ and $T_{[1]} < T_{[2]} < \dots$. (The probability of two times being equal is zero.) As $R \rightarrow \infty$, the increasing sequence $T_{[k]}$ converges to a Poisson process with rate λ . The number of arrivals up to time t is

$$N_t = \# \{T_k < t\} .$$

- (a) Show that in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\Pr(N_t = n) = \frac{t^n e^{-\lambda t}}{n!} .$$

This is called the *Poisson* random variable.

- (b) Show that the probability density of $T_{[1]}$ converges to $u_1(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}$.
Hint: it may be easier to calculate the limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$ of $\Pr(N_t = 0)$.
- (c) Show that (in the Poisson limit $R \rightarrow \infty$), and $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\mathbb{E}[(\Delta N)^p] = \lambda \Delta t + O(\Delta t^2) .$$

Here, $\Delta N = N_{t+\Delta t} - N_t$. Conclude that N_t is not a diffusion.

2. Consider the Ornstein Uhlenbeck problem (5). The transition density is¹

$$X_{t_0+t} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(e^{-\gamma t} X_{t_0}, \frac{\sigma^2}{2\gamma} (1 - e^{-2\gamma t}) \right) .$$

If you know X_{t_0} , the conditional mean at time $t_0 + t$ is $X_{t_0} e^{-\gamma t}$ and the conditional variance is $\frac{\sigma^2}{2\gamma} (1 - e^{-2\gamma t})$. The conditional distribution is Gaussian with those parameters.

- (a) Show that this is consistent in the following sense. Suppose you start at X at time $t_0 = 0$ (to simplify the notation only) and go to Y at time t using the transition distribution given. Then you start at Y and go to Z time $t + s$ using the transition distribution for time s

¹We will derive this easily in a future lesson. For now, please just accept that it is true.

starting at Y . The result (if it's consistent) is that Z comes from X with the transition distribution given for time $t + s$. Hint: one way to do this is to calculate integrals using Gaussian probability density formulas. Another way is to use the following trick: if $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, s^2)$, then Y may be represented as $Y = \mu + s\xi$, where $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. In the Ornstein Uhlenbeck case, this becomes

$$Y = e^{-\gamma t} X + \left[\frac{\sigma^2}{2\gamma} (1 - e^{-2\gamma t}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi ,$$

$$Z = e^{-\gamma s} Y + \left[\frac{\sigma^2}{2\gamma} (1 - e^{-2\gamma s}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta .$$

(b) Show that a process with this transition density has the infinitesimal mean and infinitesimal variance of Ornstein Uhlenbeck ($a = -\gamma x$, and $v = \sigma^2$).

(c) Show that the fourth moment satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\Delta X)^4 | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = O(\Delta t^2) .$$

(d) Find the following value function directly

$$f(x, t) = \mathbb{E}_{x,t} [X_T^2]$$

(hint: you can get this from the transition distribution). Show that this f satisfies the backward equation for Ornstein Uhlenbeck and that it satisfies the final condition $f(x, T) = x^2$.

3. Let W_t be standard Brownian motion and consider the formula

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\sigma W_t + \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t} .$$

Show by direct calculation (not by the Ito calculus, if you know it) that the infinitesimal mean and variance are those of the geometric Brownian motion (6). That is

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta S | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mu S_t \Delta t + O(\Delta t^2) ,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[(\Delta S)^2 | \mathcal{F}_t] = \sigma^2 S_t^2 \Delta t + O(\Delta t^2) ,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[(\Delta S)^4 | \mathcal{F}_t] = O(\Delta t^4) .$$

Conclude that this formula defines a geometric Brownian motion. You may use the formula

$$e^{\sigma \Delta W} = 1 + \sigma \Delta W + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \Delta W^2 + \frac{1}{6} \sigma^3 \Delta W^3 + O(\Delta W^4) .$$

This is not actually true, but it's off only by stuff so technical that only a really pure mathematician would object. If you feel like doing the calculation actually correctly, fine.