

Assignment 3, due March ??

Check Wednesday for a revised version with Problem 5 added

1. (*An exercise in von Neumann analysis*) Consider solving the diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u = D \partial_x^2 u$$

using the three point centered difference approximation to ∂_x^2 and second order explicit two stage Runge Kutta in time. What is the maximum value of the CFL parameter

$$\lambda = \frac{D \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$$

given by von Neumann stability analysis. Hint: Show that all second order two stage Runge Kutta methods are the same (in exact arithmetic) for linear problems.

2. Find a third order three stage explicit Runge Kutta method. Find the equations that need to be satisfied for such a method to be third order. Make sure your analysis works for general multi-variate nonlinear ODE. Find one or more solutions.
3. For solving the initial value problem for $\partial_t u + A \partial_x u = 0$, we saw that centered second order differencing in space with forward Euler in time is unstable. That scheme is

$$U_{k+1,j} = U_{kj} - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} A (U_{k,j+1} - U_{k,j-1}) .$$

Friedrichs (the “F” of CFL) suggested replacing U_{kj} on the right by

$$\bar{U}_{kj} = \frac{1}{2} (U_{k,j+1} + U_{k,j-1}) .$$

- (a) Suppose we let $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ and $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ with $r = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}$ fixed. (Warning: r is not dimensionless and is not the CFL number.)
- (b) Show that the Friedrichs scheme is consistent and find its order of accuracy (hint: not great).
- (c) Show that this is not a “method of lines” scheme by showing that the method gives the wrong answer if the time step is too small relative to the space step. Specifically, assume that $\Delta t = \Delta x^2$ (forget units) and show that as $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ the scheme is consistent with a different PDE, one that has a second derivative term in space.

- (d) Compute the symbol and use von Neumann analysis to show that the Friedrichs scheme is stable for the “Kreiss equation” $\partial_t w + s \partial_x w$ as long as $|\frac{r}{s}| \leq 1$.
- (e) (Harder) (The argument of Friedrichs, probably) Show directly that if A is symmetric and $r \leq \|A\|$ then

$$\|U_{k+1}\|_2^2 = \sum_j \|U_{k+1,j}\|_2^2 = \sum_j U_{k+1,j}^t U_{k+1,j} \leq \|U_{k+1}\|_2^2 .$$

Hint: Use a vector identity like $a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2 = (a_{k+1} - a_k)(a_{k+1} + a_k)$.

4. (Conservation form of Lax Wendroff). We saw (asserted) that many hyperbolic evolution problems may be formulated as *systems of conservation laws* in the form

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 . \quad (1)$$

For example we gave the model of a gas (compressible, inviscid) as

$$u(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(x, t) \\ \rho(x, t)v(x, t) \end{pmatrix} , \quad f(u) = f(\rho, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho v \\ \rho v^2 + p(\rho) \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2)$$

We now treat the discrete values $U_{k,j}$ not as *point values*, but *cell averages*

$$U_{kj} \approx \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{x_j - \frac{1}{2}\Delta x}^{x_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta x} u(x, t_k) dx .$$

We saw in an earlier assignment that cell averages are second order accurate approximations to the values at the cell centers. The conservation equation leads to the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_j - \frac{1}{2}\Delta x}^{x_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta x} u(x, t_{k+1}) dx &= \int_{x_j - \frac{1}{2}\Delta x}^{x_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta x} u(x, t_k) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(u(x_k + \frac{1}{2}\Delta x)) dt + \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(u(x_k - \frac{1}{2}\Delta x)) dt \end{aligned}$$

A *conservation form* scheme takes the form

$$U_{k+1,j} = U_{kj} - \Delta t \left(F_{k,j+\frac{1}{2}} + F_{k,j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) .$$

Conservation form schemes differ in their approximations to the *numerical fluxes* $F_{k,j+\frac{1}{2}}$. One such scheme is the Lax Wendroff two-step predictor/corrector method. This is motivated by the (locally third order, globally second order) midpoint rule for the flux integrals

$$\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(u(x_k + \frac{1}{2}\Delta x)) dt = \Delta t f(u(x_k + \frac{1}{2}\Delta x, t_k + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t)) + O(\Delta t^3) .$$

The scheme *predicts* the midpoint values using the Lax Friedrichs method

$$\tilde{U}_{k+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} (U_{k,j+1} + U_{k,j}) - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} [f(U_{k,j+1}) - f(U_{k,j})] . \quad (3)$$

The *corrector* step is

$$U_{k+1,j} = U_{k,j} - \Delta t \left[F \left(\tilde{U}_{k+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2}} \right) - F \left(\tilde{U}_{k+\frac{1}{2},j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] . \quad (4)$$

- (a) Suppose that $u(x, t)$ is a small perturbation of a constant \bar{u} . Write this as $u(x, t) = \bar{u} + \dot{u}(x, t)$. Show that, to leading order, \dot{u} satisfies the *linearized* equation

$$\partial_t \dot{u} + A \partial_x \dot{u} = 0 ,$$

where $A = f'(\bar{u})$. If u has n components, then A is the $n \times n$ Jacobian matrix of first derivatives of the components of f with respect to the components of u .

- (b) For the simplified (no entropy) gas dynamics system (2), the conserved quantities are (mass) density $u_1(x, t) = \rho(x, t)$, and momentum density $u_2(x, t) = \rho(x, t)v(x, t)$. Calculate the 2×2 matrix $A = f'(\bar{\rho}, 0)$ for this case and show that this system is equivalent to the equation we had in class (same wave speeds).
- (c) Show that the predictor step (3) is the same as applying the Friedrichs scheme on a grid of size $\frac{1}{2}\Delta x$ for a time step of size $\frac{1}{2}\Delta t$ to the conservation law formulation (1).
- (d) Show that for linear problems, $f(u) = Au$, this two step scheme is the same as the Lax Wendroff scheme we saw last week.