

Monte Carlo Methods, Fall 2007, Courant Institute, NYU
Homework 3, Due November 1

1. The central limit theorem applies to multivariate random variables. If Y_k is a sequence of mean zero i.i.d. two component random variables and $R_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$, then the distribution of R_n is approximately that of a two component Gaussian for large n . Of course, the covariance matrix of R_n is the same as the covariance matrix of the Y_k . Apply this to the case $Y = (Y_1, Y_2) = (Z, Z^3)$, where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Use this to estimate the probability that $\sum_k Z_k \leq \sum_k Z_k^3$ given that $\sum_k Z_k \geq 0$.
2. Consider the SDE $dX = X dW$ with initial condition $X(0) = 1$. The Euler approximation is

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + X_n \Delta W_n . \quad (1)$$

Here, we use the notations $\Delta W_n = W(t_{n+1}) - W(t_n)$, and $X_n = X^h(t_n)$, of course with $t_n = nh$.

- (a) Write a formula that we could use to implement (1) on the computer using $Z_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, a sequence of independent standard normals. Hint: Write ΔW_n as a constant multiple of Z_n , figure out the multiple and explain why this gives random variables of the correct distribution.
- (b) Use the result of (a) to write X_n as a product of n factors involving the Z_k .
- (c) Use the formula

$$1 + \epsilon \approx \exp\left(\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^3 - \dots\right)$$

to write $X_n = \exp(Y_n + U_n + V_n)$, where: Y_n is approximately (or exactly) a Gaussian with a certain $O(1)$ mean and variance, U_n is approximately Gaussian with mean zero and $O(\frac{1}{n})$ variance, and V_n is smaller than U_n (on average). What is the (asymptotic as $n \rightarrow \infty$) covariance between Y_n and $n^{-1/2}U_n$?

- (d) Use this to show that the error in the strong sense of the Euler approximation has the exact order of magnitude $1/\sqrt{n}$. Note that to do this you have to reinterpret the Z_k in terms of ΔW_k .
3. Consider the scalar SDE with zero drift $dX(t) = b(X(t))dW(t)$. The Milstein approximation is

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + b(X_n)\Delta W_n + \frac{1}{2}b'(X_n)b(X_n)(\Delta W_n^2 - \Delta t) . \quad (2)$$

- (a) Take (2) as the definition of $\Phi(x, W[0, h], h)$ in

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \Phi(X_n, W[t_n, t_{n+1}], h) .$$

Let Ψ be defined as in the notes. Show that

$$E \left[\left(\Psi(x, W[0, h], h) - \Phi(x, W[0, h], h) \right)^2 \right] \leq Ch^3 .$$

(b) Use this to show that

$$E \left[|X(t_n) - X_n| \right] \leq C(T)h ,$$

if $t_n \leq T$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

4. Consider the SDE with state dependent noise

$$dX = -\lambda X dt + (\sigma_0 + \sigma_2 X^2) dW , \quad (3)$$

and initial condition $X(0) = 0$. Choose parameters $\lambda = .4$, $\sigma_0 = .2$, and $\sigma_2 = 1$. Write a program to compute approximate sample paths for (3) using the Euler method and Milstein's method. (If the programs are well written, changing from Euler to Milstein should be a very quick minor change.) For each value of h , compute the approximations $X^h(t)$ and $X^{2h}(t)$. For each value of h , generate L sample paths of X^h and X^{2h} , and compute

$$M^h(T) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X^h(t) - X^{2h}(t)| .$$

Note that the maximum is achieved at one of the time step times $t_k = kh$. Take $T = 2$.

- Let $f(m, h)$ be the probability density of M^h (at a fixed T). What hypothesis about how f depends on m and h is equivalent to the statement that the random variables M^h scale with h^p but otherwise have the same distribution?
- What way of plotting $f(m, h)$ and $f(m, 2h)$ (as functions of m) would result in the two curves being identical, if the scaling hypothesis of part (a) is true? Note: It is common practice throughout science and engineering to test scaling hypotheses by attempting to *collapse* data from separate experiments or computations onto a single curve.
- Use Monte Carlo data on M^h for various h values to check whether M^h has the h^p scalings suggested by theory ($p = \frac{1}{2}$ for Euler, $p = 1$ for Milstein).