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#### Abstract

Abtract A simple solution to the classical Archimedes' cattle problem is given. Unlike the previous ones, this is mainly based on elementary mathematics which, at least in principle, would have been available to the mathematicians of the classical era. The solution applies linear transformation and infinite descent in solving quadratic Diophantine equations in a manner which does not explicitly take advantage of continued fractions. The idea is to create a sequence of transformed equations, until an equation is obtained which can be solved easily. It turns out that this greatly simplifies the problem. The method of solving the Diophantine equations is especially suitable for a computer. The result can be easily used to produce several numerical solutions to the cattle problem.
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## 1 Introduction

The origin and the precise age of the famous Archimedes' cattle problem is not known for certainty, but it is generally believed to originate at least partly from Archimedes himself. The task given in the problem is to calculate the number of cattle of the Sun god Helios, starting from a few simple relations. An English translation of the original wording is shown in Appendix A.

The difficulty of the cattle problem is reflected by the fact that the first acceptable mathematical solution was given as late as 1880 by Amthor [1]. Amthor was then able to show that the total number of cattle consists of 206545 digits and he also calculated the first few of them. The complete calculation of the numerical result could not be performed at that time. This was only possible after the advent of computers and, for the first time, it was performed in 1965 by Williams, German and Zarnke [2]. These authors, however, only describe their calculations, but do not give the result in numbers. The smallest number of cattle was published in 1981 by Nelson [3], who also calculated several other solutions by means of a CRAY-1 supercomputer. Quite recently, Vardi [4] made a major step by presenting the first general solution to the problem in closed form and calculating the two smallest numerical results by means of a relatively slow workstation.

In this paper, a novel solution to the cattle problem is given. A key part of this work is a method of solving quadratic Diophantine equations of the type $A x^{2}+$ $B x y+C y^{2}=1$, where $A C<0$. The method does not directly rely on continued fractions, it is both explicitly and implicitly strictly limited to integer calculus, and it makes solving the equation into a routine-like procedure which is especially suitable for a computer. It can probably be applied to equations of other types (e.g. of higher orders) as well, but this has not yet been investigated.

The new solutions are expressed in terms of simple formulas by means of two parameters, which can be divided into two groups. The values of the first type are calculated by means of matrix multiplication, and the parameters of the second type are obtained from those of the first type using only basic arithmetic operations.

The present work is independent of the paper by Vardi [4]. There is also a fundamental difference between these two works: Vardi's formalism carries the irrational number $\sqrt{4729494}$ with it, whereas the present theory contains only integer numbers. This is probably the reason why the expressions derived in this paper are
more straightforward and give the numerical results more quickly when programmed into a computer.

## 2 The first part of the problem

The first part of the cattle problem is simple. It gives the relations of bulls of each colour, which can be written in terms of three equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{W} & =\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}\right) \mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{Y} \\
\mathfrak{B} & =\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{5}\right) \mathfrak{D}+\mathfrak{Y}  \tag{1}\\
\mathfrak{D} & =\left(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{7}\right) \mathfrak{W}+\mathfrak{Y} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ are the unknown numbers of white, black, dappled and yellow bulls, respectively. Since the unknowns must be positive integers, the solution of eq. (1) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{W} & =2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 53 \cdot Q \\
\mathfrak{B} & =2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 89 \cdot Q \\
\mathfrak{D} & =2^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 79 \cdot Q  \tag{2}\\
\mathfrak{Y} & =3^{4} \cdot 11 \cdot Q,
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q$ is an arbitrary positive integer.
The corresponding equations for the cows of each colour are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{w} & =\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}\right)(\mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{b}) \\
\mathfrak{b} & =\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{5}\right)(\mathfrak{D}+\mathfrak{d}) \\
\mathfrak{d} & =\left(\frac{1}{5}+\frac{1}{6}\right)(\mathfrak{Y}+\mathfrak{y})  \tag{3}\\
\mathfrak{y} & =\left(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{7}\right)(\mathfrak{W}+\mathfrak{w}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, again, $\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{y}$ refer to the numbers of white, black, dappled and yellow cows. By solving the number of cows from these equations and using the results in eq. (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{w} & =2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 23 \cdot 373 \cdot Q^{\prime} \\
\mathfrak{b} & =2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 17 \cdot 15991 \cdot Q^{\prime} \\
\mathfrak{d} & =2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 761 \cdot Q^{\prime}  \tag{4}\\
\mathfrak{y} & =3^{2} \cdot 13 \cdot 46489 \cdot Q^{\prime},
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q^{\prime}=Q / 4657$. In order to make the numbers of the different cows into integers, $Q^{\prime}$ must be a positive integer as well. Now the common factor $Q$ in eqs. (2) can no longer be quite arbitrary, but necessarily $Q=4657 Q^{\prime}$.

## 3 Diophantine equations for the second part of the problem

The additional conditions in the second part of the problem state that the total number of white and black bulls is a square number and that of the dappled and yellow bulls is a triangular number. This can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{W}+\mathfrak{B} & =m^{2} \\
\mathfrak{D}+\mathfrak{Y} & =\frac{1}{2} n(n+1), \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ and $n$ are unknown integers. Here one can notice that $\mathfrak{W}=\mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{D}=$ $\mathfrak{Y}=\mathfrak{w}=\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{d}=\mathfrak{y}=0$ satisfy all the above conditions. This trivial solution is not acceptable, however, since it is in contradiction with the problem, which states that the herds of bulls are 'mighty in number'.

Using eq. (2), eq. (5) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{W}+\mathfrak{B} & =2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot Q=m^{2} \\
\mathfrak{D}+\mathfrak{Y} & =7 \cdot 353 \cdot Q=\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and because $Q=4657 Q^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{W}+\mathfrak{B} & =2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot 4657 \cdot Q^{\prime}=m^{2} \\
\mathfrak{D}+\mathfrak{Y} & =7 \cdot 353 \cdot 4657 \cdot Q^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

In principle, it would now be possible to solve eqs. (7) for $Q^{\prime}$. Then the final solutions would be obtained by inserting each root $Q^{\prime}$ in eq. (4) and $Q=4657 Q^{\prime}$ in eq. (2). This leads to considerable difficulties, however, because even the smallest root is a very large number. It is easier to obtain the sequence of roots $Q$ by solving eqs. (6), since this sequence starts from an essentially smaller number. For the final solution, one then only accepts those roots $Q$ which are divisible by 4657 .

The first equation in (6) indicates that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=3 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot \tilde{m}^{2}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{m}$ is a new unknown positive integer. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot \tilde{m} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, inserting $Q$ in the second equation in (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(n+1)=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot 353 \cdot \tilde{m}^{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now easily seen that

$$
\begin{align*}
n & =p u^{2} \\
n+1 & =q v^{2} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u, v, p$ and $q$ are also positive integers and

$$
\begin{align*}
u v & =\tilde{m} \\
p q & =2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot 353 . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the Diophantine equation we have to solve is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p u^{2}+1=q v^{2} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation must be investigated using separately all values of $p$ and $q$ which satisfy the condition in eq. (12). For each pair of roots $u$ and $v$, the corresponding value of $Q$ can then be calculated using eqs. (12) and (8).

This solution method differs from that by Amthor [1] or Vardi [4], who derived a single equation of the form $A x^{2}+1=y^{2}$, known as Pell's equation. The reasons for this procedure will be explained later in the Discussion section.

When all possible values of $p$ and $q$ are taken into account, the present approach leads to 64 equations altogether. Nevertheless, the situation is not so complicated as it might look at first sight since, by means of a simple procedure, one can quickly show that 60 of them do not have solutions.

The method of rejecting most of the equations in (13) is as follows. We forget for a while the condition for $p q$ in eq. (12). Let $p_{0}$ be one of the prime numbers $2,3,7,11,29$ or 353 . If $p$ is divisible by $p_{0}$, the existence of a solution implies the existence of $v \in N$ such that $q v^{2}-1$ is divisible by $p_{0}$. Then, neither $q$ nor $v$ is divisible by $p_{0}$, so that their remainders $\operatorname{rem}[q]$ and $\operatorname{rem}[v]$ may have values $1,2, \ldots, p_{0}-1$. Thus, $q v^{2}-1$ cannot be divisible by $p_{0}$, unless rem $[q] \cdot(\operatorname{rem}[v])^{2}-1$ is divisible by $p_{0}$, at least with a single pair of the possible values of rem $[q]$ and $\operatorname{rem}[v]$. Correspondingly, if $q$ is divisible by $p_{0}$, there must exist $u \in N$ such that $p u^{2}+1$ is divisible by $p_{0}$, and the remainders rem $[p]$ and rem $[u]$ must satisfy the condition that $\operatorname{rem}[p] \cdot(\operatorname{rem}[u])^{2}+1$ is divisible by $p_{0}$. If, therefore, these conditions are broken for some pair $p$ and $q$ with any value of $p_{0}$, the corresponding eq. (13) does not have solutions.

The amount of the work necessary in calculating the possible remainders of $p$ and $q$ can be diminished with the following reasoning. Let $t$ be a primitive root of $p_{0}$ and put $p_{0}=2 k+1$ (we can omit the trivial case $p_{0}=2$ ). If $s$ is a positive integer, then the Fermat little theorem shows that $t^{s} \equiv 1\left(p_{0}\right) \Leftrightarrow s=2 k r$, where $r$
is a positive integer. Next, let $q \equiv t^{s_{1}}\left(p_{0}\right)$ and $v \equiv t^{s_{2}}\left(p_{0}\right)$. Now $q v^{2}-1$ is divisible by $p_{0}$, if and only if $s_{1}+2 s_{2}=2 k r$, which means that $s_{1}$ must be even.

The case where $p u^{2}+1$ is divisible by $p_{0}$ is similar. Let $p \equiv t^{s_{1}}\left(p_{0}\right)$ and $u \equiv t^{s_{2}}\left(p_{0}\right)$. Because $t^{k} \equiv-1\left(p_{0}\right)$ the necessary and sufficient condition for the divisibility of $p u^{2}+1$ by $p_{0}$ is $s_{1}+2 s_{2}=k+2 k r$. This means that $s_{1}$ must be even if $k$ is even, and $s_{1}$ must be odd, if $k$ is odd.

In summary, the possible non-zero remainders of $q$ are the remainders of $t^{2 s_{1}^{\prime}}$, where $0<s_{1}^{\prime} \leq k$. The non-zero remainders of $p$ are the same as for $q$ if $k$ is even. This means that $p_{0}$ has the form $4 h+1$, where $h$ is a non-negative integer. If $p_{0}=4 h+3$, the possible non-zero remainders of $p$ are those among the numbers $1,2, \ldots, p_{0}-1$ which are not remainders of $q$.

For each value of $p_{0}$, it is now easy to check which values of the remainders $\operatorname{rem}[p]$ and $\operatorname{rem}[q]$ do not break the above conditions. These are shown in Table 1 for $p_{0}=2,3,7,11$ and 29. Then, because eq. (12) implies that either $p$ or $q$ must be divisible by $p_{0}$, one can test all possible pairs $p$ and $q$ to see which of them give the allowed remainders for each value of $p_{0}$. If a calculated remainder does not appear on the appropriate row in the table, eq. (13) does not have solutions with these values of $p$ and $q$. The checking is not tedious, since nearly one half of the equations will already be rejected by the test $p_{0}=3$.

In this manner, one can find out that, out of the original 64 equations, only the following four are left for further study:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \cdot 11 \cdot 353 \cdot u^{2}+1 & =3 \cdot 7 \cdot 29 \cdot v^{2}  \tag{14}\\
11 \cdot 29 \cdot 353 \cdot u^{2}+1 & =2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot v^{2}  \tag{15}\\
3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 353 \cdot u^{2}+1 & =2 \cdot 29 \cdot v^{2}  \tag{16}\\
2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot 353 \cdot u^{2}+1 & =v^{2} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The last one is the same as Pell's equation, which was solved in the previous solutions of the cattle problem.

When $p_{0}=353$, the above testing procedure is too laborious. A corresponding check for $p_{0}=353$ can also be done by means of the reasoning presented in Appendix B. However, this does not lead to any more rejections and therefore eqs. (14)-(17) remain for solving. A similar reasoning would have been possible for the other values of $p_{0}$ as well, but testing the remainders is quicker. One should finally notice that the above arguments do not prove that those equations we have left would necessarily have solutions.

Table 1. Allowed remainders of $p$ and $q$ when divided by $p_{0}$.

| $p_{0}$ | Remainders of $p$ | Remainders of $q$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0,1 | 0,1 |
| 3 | 0,2 | 0,1 |
| 7 | $0,3,5,6$ | $0,1,2,4$ |
| 11 | $0,2,6,7,8,10$ | $0,1,3,4,5,9$ |
| 29 | $0,1,4,5,6,7,9,13$, | $0,1,4,5,6,7,9,13$, |
|  | $16,20,22,23,24,25,28$ | $16,20,22,23,24,25,28$ |

## 4 The smallest solutions of the Diophantine equations

The main difficulty in the cattle problem is in finding the solutions of the Diophantine equations. Previously this has been carried out by means of continued fractions. In this work, a different formalism is introduced which does not explicitly use continued fractions, although the two methods seem to have a close relationship.

Let us investigate the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} x_{2}^{2}+B_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} x_{1}^{2}-1=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}, B_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ are integers and $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are unknowns. Our purpose is not to develop a method of solving Diophantine equations of the form (18) in a general case. Rather, the problem is considered as an individual exercise so that all possibilities are not considered which may be encountered in analysing eq. (18). We restrict ourselves to a case where $A_{1} C_{1}<0$ and $\left(B_{1}^{2}-4 A_{1} C_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \notin Z$. In addition, we consider the roots $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ to be positive integers and $x_{2} \leq x_{1}$. When $A_{1} C_{1}<0$, one can easily see from eq. (18) which one of the unknowns is greater, and therefore the latter condition is not a limitation but only a choice in notation.

The basic idea is to replace the greater unknown by a new smaller non-negative unknown in such a manner that the form of the equation remains the same and the above restrictions are preserved. Then the procedure can be repeated until the roots of the transformed equation are so small that they are directly seen.

When $x_{1}$ is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=h_{1} x_{2}+x_{3}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{1}$ is an arbitrary integer and $x_{3}$ a new unknown, eq. (18) will be transformed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2} x_{3}^{2}+B_{2} x_{2} x_{3}+C_{2} x_{2}^{2}-1=0 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients in eq. (20) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)=C_{1} \\
& B_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)=B_{1}+2 h_{1} C_{1}  \tag{21}\\
& C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)=A_{1}+h_{1} B_{1}+h_{1}^{2} C_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have shown that the form of eq. (18) remains the same in transformation (19). It is also easy to show by direct calculation that $B_{2}^{2}-4 A_{2} C_{2}=B_{1}^{2}-4 A_{1} C_{1}$, so that this expression of coefficients remains invariant in transformation (19). Since $\left(B_{1}^{2}-4 A_{1} C_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \notin Z, C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right) \neq 0$ for any integer $h_{1}$.

Because the roots are non-negative, the value of $h_{1}$ can be chosen in such a way that $0 \leq x_{3} \leq x_{2}$ (the reason for having the two equalities in this relation will be explained later). The value of $h_{1}$ satisfying this condition is obtained in the following manner.

Eq. (18) has a solution $x_{2}=0$ only when $C_{1}=1$. Then $x_{1}=1$. When $x_{2}>0$, there is such a real number $k_{1} \geq 1$ that $x_{1}=k_{1} x_{2}$. By inserting $x_{1}$ in eq. (18) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)=A_{1}+k_{1} B_{1}+k_{1}^{2} C_{1}=\frac{1}{x_{2}^{2}} \leq 1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we consider two different cases. In the first one, $A_{1} \geq 1$ and $C_{1} \leq-1$. Because $C_{2}(k)$ is a polynomial of second degree and $C_{2}(0)=A_{1} \geq 1$, it is easy to see that $C_{2}(k)>C_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)>0$, when $0<k<k_{1}$ and $C_{2}(k)<C_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)$ when $k>k_{1}$. In the case $x_{2}<x_{1}$, we have $k_{1}>1$. Then, for each natural number $h_{1}<k_{1}$, $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)>0$ and, since $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)$ is an integer, necessarily $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right) \geq 1$. In the case $k_{1}=1$, obviously $C_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is an integer, so that $x_{2}=x_{1}=1$ and $C_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)=1$. Hence, there is in any case a natural number $k_{2}$ such that $C_{2}\left(k_{2}\right) \geq 1$.

We choose $h_{1}$ to be the largest natural number for which $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right) \geq 1$. Because $C_{2}\left(h_{1}+1\right) \neq 0$, necessarily $C_{2}\left(h_{1}+1\right) \leq-1$. This means that $h_{1} \leq k_{1}<h_{1}+1 \Rightarrow$ $h_{1} x_{2} \leq k_{1} x_{2}=x_{1}=h_{1} x_{2}+x_{3}<h_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}$, which gives $0 \leq x_{3}<x_{2}$. Hence, it has been possible to choose $h_{1}$ in the desired way.

In the second case, $A_{1} \leq-1$ and $C_{1} \geq 1$. Then $C_{2}(k)<C_{2}\left(k_{1}\right) \leq 1$ when $0<k<k_{1}$, and $C_{2}(k)>C_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)$ when $k>k_{1}$. In the case $x_{2}<x_{1}$, we have $k_{1}>1$. Then, since $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)$ is a non-zero integer for each natural number $h_{1}$, necessarily $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)<0$ when $h_{1}<k_{1}$. If $x_{1}=x_{2}$, then $k_{1}=1 \Rightarrow C_{2}(1)=1 \Rightarrow x_{2}=x_{1}=1$. In addition, $C_{2}(0)=A_{1}<0$. Hence, there is such an integer $k_{2} \geq 0$ that $C_{2}\left(k_{2}\right)<0$.

Let $h_{1}$ be the greatest integer, for which $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)<0 \Rightarrow C_{2}\left(h_{1}+1\right) \geq 1$. Now $h_{1}<k_{1} \leq h_{1}+1 \Rightarrow h_{1} x_{2}<k_{1} x_{2}=x_{1}=h_{1} x_{2}+x_{3} \leq h_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} \Rightarrow 0<x_{3} \leq x_{2}$. Therefore this $h_{1}$ is such a number that $0<x_{3} \leq x_{2}$.

When $h_{1}$ is chosen in the way described above, one can show that eq. (20) fulfills similar basic assumptions as those (i.e. $0 \leq x_{2} \leq x_{1}$ and $A_{1} C_{1}<0$ ) made in eq. (18). It was shown above that $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are non-negative and $x_{3} \leq x_{2}$. Because $A_{2}=C_{1}, A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ have different signs. It was also shown above that the assumption $C_{1} \leq-1$ led to $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right) \geq 1$ and the assumption $C_{1} \geq 1$ to $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)<0$. Hence also $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ have different signs so that the signs of $A_{2}$ and $C_{2}$ must be opposite. The original assumptions are therefore also valid for eq. (20).

The above criterion of choosing $h_{1}$ can be expressed in a very brief and clear form: $h_{1}$ is the greatest integer which changes the sign of $C$, i.e. the signs of $C_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)$ and $C_{1}$ are opposite. The restriction $0 \leq x_{3} \leq x_{2}$ in transformation (19) was made in order to obtain such a clear criterion. In the case $A_{1} \leq-1, C_{1} \geq 1$ the criterion led to the relation $0<x_{3} \leq x_{2}$, so that $x_{3}=x_{2}$ is also possible.

Since the form of the equation, as well as the assumptions on the relative signs of the coefficients have been preserved in the transformation from eq. (18) to eq.
(20), the transformation can be repeated to eq. (20) in a similar way. Therefore the transformation can be repeated infinitely. The choice of $h_{1}$ can always be made, also in the case when the equation has no solutions. If solutions exist, the calculation must, after a finite number of transformations, lead to a situation where $x_{i}=1$ and $x_{i+1}=0$. These numbers are the roots of the equation $A_{i} x_{i+1}^{2}+B_{i} x_{i} x_{i+1}+$ $C_{i} x_{i}^{2}-1=0$ if and only if $C_{i}=1$. Because in all calculated transformations $x_{j}=h_{j} x_{j+1}+x_{j+2}, j=i-1, \ldots, 1$, the values of the coefficients $h_{j}$ are known, this sequence allows a simple calculation of the values of the unknowns $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. If the equation has no solutions, none of the transformations leads to the value $C_{i}=1$. Namely, if this would happen, one could calculate the solution according to the lines explained above.

Next, we carry out the above process on eqs. (14)-(17). The values of the coefficients $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ for eqs. (14) and (15) are written in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. In the table captions, the equations are rewritten in the form where $x_{1}>x_{2}$ as in the above theory.

The calculations indicate that the algorithm makes a loop so that, after a certain number of operations, the same numbers will reappear. However, the loop does not close at the starting equation but at its first transformation. The length of the loop is the same in both cases, it is observed that $A_{94}=A_{2}, B_{94}=B_{2}$ and $C_{94}=C_{2}$.

A second feature in the algorithm is that, after 47 transformations, the same numbers appear in the return part of the loop in such a way that $A$ and $C$ have exchanged their values and also the sign of $B$ is changed. In order to show this more clearly, the loops are presented in two sets of columns; the right hand columns contain the return part.

When the same calculations are made in eqs. (16) and (17), it is noticed that their loops are the same as those in Tables 3 and 2, respectively. The difference is that these equations enter the loops at their turning points. Therefore the values of $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}$ and $h_{1}$ of these equations are written below the right hand columns. The algorithm starting from these values continues up the right hand columns and back down the left hand columns.

Inside the loops, one can find two values of $i, 47$ and 93 , such that $B_{i}$ is divisible by $C_{i}$. Then, based on eqs. (21), one can choose such an $\tilde{h}_{i}$ that $\tilde{B}_{i+1}=0$. If this choice is made at $i=93$, the original equation will be restored both in Table 2 and Table 3. If the same procedure is made at $i=47$, the loop of eq. (14) will give Pell's equation (17) and, correspondingly, the loop of eq. (15) will give eq. (16). All this suggests that Diophantine equations of the form (13) are joined together in pairs. Therefore the equations may have deep connections which would not be visible without this algorithm, but the connection is not investigated any further here.

Because the length of both loops is 92 steps, this suggests that the length only depends on the product of $p$ and $q$, although this has not been studied.

It is seen that, in Table $2, C_{i}=1$ at $i=47$ but, in Table $3, C_{i}$ is never unity. This means that eqs. (14) and (17) have solutions, but eqs. (15) and (16) have no solutions at all.

It is now a simple matter to calculate the smallest positive roots of eq. (14). Since the numerical values of the coefficients $h_{i}$ are known, $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ can be calculated recursively using the transformation $x_{i}=h_{i} x_{i+1}+x_{i+2}$, starting from $x_{i+1}=x_{47}=$
$1, x_{i+2}=x_{48}=0$ and $h_{i}=h_{46}=1$. The result is that the smallest positive roots of eq. (14) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& v=r_{1}=300426607914281713365 \\
& u=r_{2}=84129507677858393258 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The smallest non-negative solutions of eq. (17) are readily seen and they are

$$
\begin{align*}
& v=1 \\
& u=0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 2. Eq. (14): $-7766 x_{2}^{2}+609 x_{1}^{2}-1=0$.

| $i$ | $A_{i}$ | $B_{i}$ | $C_{i}$ | $h_{i}$ |  | $i$ | $A_{i}$ | $B_{i}$ | $C_{i}$ | $h_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -7766 | 0 | 609 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 609 | 3654 | -2285 | 1 | $\Longleftarrow$ | 93 | -2285 | -3654 | 609 | 6 |
| 3 | -2285 | -916 | 1978 | 1 |  | 92 | 1978 | 916 | -2285 | 1 |
| 4 | 1978 | 3040 | -1223 | 3 |  | 91 | -1223 | -3040 | 1978 | 1 |
| 5 | -1223 | -4298 | 91 | 47 |  | 90 | 91 | 4298 | -1223 | 3 |
| 6 | 91 | 4256 | -2210 | 1 |  | 89 | -2210 | -4256 | 91 | 47 |
| 7 | -2210 | -164 | 2137 | 1 |  | 88 | 2137 | 164 | -2210 | 1 |
| 8 | 2137 | 4110 | -237 | 17 |  | 87 | -237 | -4110 | 2137 | 1 |
| 9 | -237 | -3948 | 3514 | 1 |  | 86 | 3514 | 3948 | -237 | 17 |
| 10 | 3514 | 3080 | -671 | 5 |  | 85 | -671 | -3080 | 3514 | 1 |
| 11 | -671 | -3630 | 2139 | 1 |  | 84 | 2139 | 3630 | -671 | 5 |
| 12 | 2139 | 648 | -2162 | 1 |  | 83 | -2162 | -648 | 2139 | 1 |
| 13 | -2162 | -3676 | 625 | 6 |  | 82 | 625 | 3676 | -2162 | 1 |
| 14 | 625 | 3824 | -1718 | 2 |  | 81 | -1718 | -3824 | 625 | 6 |
| 15 | -1718 | -3048 | 1401 | 2 |  | 80 | 1401 | 3048 | -1718 | 2 |
| 16 | 1401 | 2556 | -2210 | 1 |  | 79 | -2210 | -2556 | 1401 | 2 |
| 17 | -2210 | -1864 | 1747 | 1 |  | 78 | 1747 | 1864 | -2210 | 1 |
| 18 | 1747 | 1630 | -2327 | 1 |  | 77 | -2327 | -1630 | 1747 | 1 |
| 19 | -2327 | -3024 | 1050 | 3 |  | 76 | 1050 | 3024 | -2327 | 1 |
| 20 | 1050 | 3276 | -1949 | 1 |  | 75 | -1949 | -3276 | 1050 | 3 |
| 21 | -1949 | -622 | 2377 | 1 |  | 74 | 2377 | 622 | -1949 | 1 |
| 22 | 2377 | 4132 | -194 | 21 |  | 73 | -194 | -4132 | 2377 | 1 |
| 23 | -194 | -4016 | 3595 | 1 |  | 72 | 3595 | 4016 | -194 | 21 |
| 24 | 3595 | 3174 | -615 | 6 |  | 71 | -615 | -3174 | 3595 | 1 |
| 25 | -615 | -4206 | 499 | 8 |  | 70 | 499 | 4206 | -615 | 6 |
| 26 | 499 | 3778 | -2327 | 1 |  | 69 | -2327 | -3778 | 499 | 8 |
| 27 | -2327 | -876 | 1950 | 1 |  | 68 | 1950 | 876 | -2327 | 1 |
| 28 | 1950 | 3024 | -1253 | 2 |  | 67 | -1253 | -3024 | 1950 | 1 |
| 29 | -1253 | -1988 | 2986 | 1 |  | 66 | 2986 | 1988 | -1253 | 2 |
| 30 | 2986 | 3984 | -255 | 16 |  | 65 | -255 | -3984 | 2986 | 1 |
| 31 | -255 | -4176 | 1450 | 2 |  | 64 | 1450 | 4176 | -255 | 16 |
| 32 | 1450 | 1624 | -2807 | , |  | 63 | -2807 | -1624 | 1450 | 2 |
| 33 | -2807 | -3990 | 267 | 15 |  | 62 | 267 | 3990 | -2807 | 1 |
| 34 | 267 | 4020 | -2582 | 1 |  | 61 | -2582 | -4020 | 267 | 15 |
| 35 | -2582 | -1144 | 1705 | 1 |  | 60 | 1705 | 1144 | -2582 | 1 |
| 36 | 1705 | 2266 | -2021 | 1 |  | 59 | -2021 | -2266 | 1705 | 1 |
| 37 | -2021 | -1776 | 1950 | 1 |  | 58 | 1950 | 1776 | -2021 | 1 |
| 38 | 1950 | 2124 | -1847 | 1 |  | 57 | -1847 | -2124 | 1950 | 1 |
| 39 | -1847 | -1570 | 2227 | 1 |  | 56 | 2227 | 1570 | -1847 | 1 |
| 40 | 2227 | 2884 | -1190 | 3 |  | 55 | -1190 | -2884 | 2227 | 1 |
| 41 | -1190 | -4256 | 169 | 25 |  | 54 | 169 | 4256 | -1190 | 3 |
| 42 | 169 | 4194 | -1965 | 2 |  | 53 | -1965 | -4194 | 169 | 25 |
| 43 | -1965 | -3666 | 697 | 5 |  | 52 | 697 | 3666 | -1965 | 2 |
| 44 | 697 | 3304 | -2870 | 1 |  | 51 | -2870 | -3304 | 697 | 5 |
| 45 | -2870 | -2436 | 1131 | 2 |  | 50 | 1131 | 2436 | -2870 | 1 |
| 46 | 1131 | 2088 | -3218 | 1 |  | 49 | -3218 | -2088 | 1131 | 2 |
| 47 | -3218 | -4348 | 1 | 4348 | $\Longrightarrow$ | 48 | 1 | 4348 | -3218 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -4729494 | 0 | 1 | 2174 |

Table 3. Eq. (15): $-112607 x_{2}^{2}+42 x_{1}^{2}-1=0$.

| $i$ | $A_{i}$ | $B_{i}$ | $C_{i}$ | $h_{i}$ |  | $i$ | $A_{i}$ | $B_{i}$ | $C_{i}$ | $h_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -112607 | 0 | 42 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 42 | 4284 | -3365 | 1 | $\Longleftarrow$ | 93 | -3365 | -4284 | 42 | 102 |
| 3 | -3365 | -2446 | 961 | 3 |  | 92 | 961 | 2446 | -3365 | 1 |
| 4 | 961 | 3320 | -2054 | 1 |  | 91 | -2054 | -3320 | 961 | 3 |
| 5 | -2054 | -788 | 2227 | 1 |  | 90 | 2227 | 788 | -2054 | 1 |
| 6 | 2227 | 3666 | -615 | 6 |  | 89 | -615 | -3666 | 2227 | 1 |
| 7 | -615 | -3714 | 2083 | 1 |  | 88 | 2083 | 3714 | -615 | 6 |
| 8 | 2083 | 452 | -2246 | 1 |  | 87 | -2246 | -452 | 2083 | 1 |
| 9 | -2246 | -4040 | 289 | 14 |  | 86 | 289 | 4040 | -2246 | 1 |
| 10 | 289 | 4052 | -2162 | 1 |  | 85 | -2162 | -4052 | 289 | 14 |
| 11 | -2162 | -272 | 2179 | 1 |  | 84 | 2179 | 272 | -2162 | 1 |
| 12 | 2179 | 4086 | -255 | 16 |  | 83 | -255 | -4086 | 2179 | 1 |
| 13 | -255 | -4074 | 2275 | 1 |  | 82 | 2275 | 4074 | -255 | 16 |
| 14 | 2275 | 476 | -2054 | 1 |  | 81 | -2054 | -476 | 2275 | 1 |
| 15 | -2054 | -3632 | 697 | 5 |  | 80 | 697 | 3632 | -2054 | 1 |
| 16 | 697 | 3338 | -2789 | 1 |  | 79 | -2789 | -3338 | 697 | 5 |
| 17 | -2789 | -2240 | 1246 | 2 |  | 78 | 1246 | 2240 | -2789 | 1 |
| 18 | 1246 | 2744 | -2285 | 1 |  | 77 | -2285 | -2744 | 1246 | 2 |
| 19 | -2285 | -1826 | 1705 | 1 |  | 76 | 1705 | 1826 | -2285 | 1 |
| 20 | 1705 | 1584 | -2406 | 1 |  | 75 | -2406 | -1584 | 1705 | 1 |
| 21 | -2406 | -3228 | 883 | 4 |  | 74 | 883 | 3228 | -2406 | 1 |
| 22 | 883 | 3836 | -1190 | 3 |  | 73 | -1190 | -3836 | 883 | 4 |
| 23 | -1190 | -3304 | 1681 | 2 |  | 72 | 1681 | 3304 | -1190 | 3 |
| 24 | 1681 | 3420 | -1074 | 3 |  | 71 | -1074 | -3420 | 1681 | 2 |
| 25 | -1074 | -3024 | 2275 | 1 |  | 70 | 2275 | 3024 | -1074 | 3 |
| 26 | 2275 | 1526 | -1823 | 1 |  | 69 | -1823 | -1526 | 2275 | 1 |
| 27 | -1823 | -2120 | 1978 | 1 |  | 68 | 1978 | 2120 | -1823 | 1 |
| 28 | 1978 | 1836 | -1965 | 1 |  | 67 | -1965 | -1836 | 1978 | 1 |
| 29 | -1965 | -2094 | 1849 | 1 |  | 66 | 1849 | 2094 | -1965 | 1 |
| 30 | 1849 | 1604 | -2210 | 1 |  | 65 | -2210 | -1604 | 1849 | 1 |
| 31 | -2210 | -2816 | 1243 | 2 |  | 63 | 1243 | 2816 | -2210 | 1 |
| 32 | 1243 | 2156 | -2870 | 1 |  | 63 | -2870 | -2156 | 1243 | 2 |
| 33 | -2870 | -3584 | 529 | 7 |  | 62 | 529 | 3584 | -2870 | 1 |
| 34 | 529 | 3822 | -2037 | 2 |  | 61 | -2037 | -3822 | 529 | 7 |
| 35 | -2037 | -4326 | 25 | 173 |  | 60 | 25 | 4326 | -2037 | 2 |
| 36 | 25 | 4324 | -2210 | 1 |  | 59 | -2210 | -4324 | 25 | 173 |
| 37 | -2210 | -96 | 2139 | 1 |  | 58 | 2139 | 96 | -2210 | 1 |
| 38 | 2139 | 4182 | -167 | 25 |  | 57 | -167 | -4182 | 2139 | 1 |
| 39 | -167 | -4168 | 2314 | 1 |  | 56 | 2314 | 4168 | -167 | 25 |
| 40 | 2314 | 460 | -2021 | 1 |  | 55 | -2021 | -460 | 2314 | 1 |
| 41 | -2021 | -3582 | 753 | 5 |  | 54 | 753 | 3582 | -2021 | 1 |
| 42 | 753 | 3948 | -1106 | 3 |  | 53 | -1106 | -3948 | 753 | 5 |
| 43 | -1106 | -2688 | 2643 | 1 |  | 52 | 2643 | 2688 | -1106 | 3 |
| 44 | 2643 | 2598 | -1151 | 3 |  | 51 | -1151 | -2598 | 2643 | 1 |
| 45 | -1151 | -4308 | 78 | 55 |  | 50 | 78 | 4308 | -1151 | 3 |
| 46 | 78 | 4272 | -2141 | 2 |  | 49 | -2141 | -4272 | 78 | 55 |
| 47 | -2141 | -4292 | 58 | 74 | $\Longrightarrow$ | 48 | 58 | 4292 | -2141 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -81543 | 0 | 58 | 37 |

## 5 The general solutions of the Diophantine equations

In the previous section, the smallest non-negative solutions of eqs. (14) and (17) were derived. Once these are known, it is a fairly simple task to calculate all the other roots. We first investigate eq. (14).

Let us assume that $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are roots of eq. (14), different from the roots $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ in eq. (23). Therefore, when the algorithm in Table 2 is carried out, necessarily at $i=47$, where $C_{i}=1, x_{i} \neq 1$ and $x_{i+1} \neq 0$. Since $C_{i} \neq 1$ within the range $48 \leq i \leq 93$, also $x_{i+1}>0$ within this range. If we next choose a transformation coefficient $\tilde{h}_{93}=3$ instead of $h_{93}=6$, eqs. (21) will lead to a transformed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-7766 \tilde{x}_{94}^{2}+609 \tilde{x}_{95}^{2}-1=0, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{x}_{94}=x_{94}$ and $x_{93}=3 \tilde{x}_{94}+\tilde{x}_{95}$. Also, $\tilde{x}_{94}>0$ and $\tilde{x}_{95}>0$.
Since the transformation in eq. (19) is linear at each step of the cycle, the linear relations

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} & =a_{1} \tilde{x}_{95}+a_{2} \tilde{x}_{94} \\
x_{2} & =a_{3} \tilde{x}_{95}+a_{4} \tilde{x}_{94} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

must be valid. When the numeric values of the transformation coefficients $h_{i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, 92$ and $\tilde{h}_{93}$ are known, the coefficients $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots 4$ can be easily calculated. The results are

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=109931986732829734979866232821433543901088049 \\
& a_{2}=392567302329690546856394748066206816187916440 \\
& a_{3}=30784636507697855142356992218944109072681060  \tag{27}\\
& a_{4}=a_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

By inserting eqs. (27) and (26) in eq. (14), it is observed that $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are roots of eq. (14), if and only if $v=\tilde{x}_{95}$ and $u=\tilde{x}_{94}$ are roots of eq. (14). Then the roots $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ can be expressed in terms of smaller roots ( $\tilde{x}_{95}, \tilde{x}_{94}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=\mathbf{L}\binom{\tilde{x}_{95}}{\tilde{x}_{94}}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{L}$ is

$$
\mathbf{L}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & a_{2}  \tag{29}\\
a_{3} & a_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The above reasoning can be repeated for the roots ( $\tilde{x}_{95}, \tilde{x}_{94}$ ). This will give a new pair of smaller roots. The algorithm can be continued in the similar way, until it leads to such roots ( $\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}$ ) that the algorithm in the next loop gives $x_{47}=1$, $x_{48}=0$. By calculating backwards from these values one can obtain ( $\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}$ ) and, since the calculation is the same as in calculating the smallest roots in Section 4, also $\left(\tilde{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2}\right)=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$. This indicates that the above reasoning, started from any pair of roots, necessarily leads to the same pair of smallest roots. Therefore all roots of eq. (14) can be derived from the smallest roots and the $n$th pair of roots $\left(r_{2 n-1}, r_{2 n}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{r_{2 n-1}}{r_{2 n}}=\mathbf{L}^{n-1}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n=1, \mathbf{L}^{0}$ is a unit matrix. Hence all positive roots of eq. (14) are given by eq. (30), where $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the numbers in eq. (23).

Next we investigate eq. (17) which is of the form $p q u^{2}+1=v^{2}$, where $p=7766$ and $q=609$. Then $p q u^{2}=(v-1)(v+1)$. We only consider non-trivial solutions $u \neq 0, v \neq 1$. Because $p q$ is divisible by 2 , but not by $4, v$ must be odd and $u$ must be even so that

$$
\begin{align*}
v & =2 v^{\prime}+1 \\
u & =2 u^{\prime} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime}$ are unknown integers. Then, obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
p q u^{\prime 2}=v^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}+1\right) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since eq. (32) is similar to eq. (10), the analysis in Section 3 applies here also. This means that there are only two alternatives, corresponding to eqs. (14) and (17), respectively:

1. In the first case $v^{\prime}=p u_{0}^{2}$ and $v^{\prime}+1=q v_{0}^{2}$. This leads to eq. (14) so that also $u=u_{0}$ and $v=v_{0}$ are roots of eq. (14). By inserting $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}+1$ in eq. (32), we obtain $u^{\prime}=u_{0} v_{0}$. Then eq. (17) has a solution $v=2 p u_{0}^{2}+1=2 q v_{0}^{2}-1$, $u=2 u_{0} v_{0}$, where $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ are arbitrary roots of eq. (14).
2. In the second case $v^{\prime}=p q u_{1}^{2}, v^{\prime}+1=v_{1}^{2}$, which leads back to eq. (17). Hence $v=v_{1}, u=u_{1}$ are roots of eq. (17). Also in this case, eq. (32) gives $u^{\prime}=u_{1} v_{1}$. This means that eq. (17) has a solution $v=2 p q u_{1}^{2}+1=2 v_{1}^{2}-1, u=2 u_{1} v_{1}$, where $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ are smaller roots of eq. (17).

Because, in the second case, $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ are not the trivial solution 0 and 1 , the above reasoning can be repeated. This leads to smaller numbers $u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$, which are roots of either eq. (14) or eq. (17). If $u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$ are roots of eq. (17), the same process can be repeated again. There are necessarily a finite number of steps in this
process, because it gives two number sequences which are bounded from below. Therefore the sequence must finally end in such a solution of eq. (17) that the following pair is a solution of eq. (14).

In conclusion, all positive solutions of eq. (17) are obtained in the following manner. Starting from an arbitrary solution $v_{0}, u_{0}$ of eq. (14), one can first make use of item 1 and calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1} & =2 \cdot 609 v_{0}^{2}-1  \tag{33}\\
u_{1} & =2 u_{0} v_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

which are roots of eq. (17). Then item 2 indicates that a sequence of other roots of eq. (17) is obtained recursively from the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{n}=2 v_{n-1}^{2}-1  \tag{34}\\
& u_{n}=2 u_{n-1} v_{n-1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=2,3, \ldots$ By a direct substitution, one can easily see that all pairs $v_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ are indeed roots of eq. (17). The smallest non-trivial solution of eq. (17) is obtained from eq. (33) using $v_{0}=r_{1}$ and $u_{0}=r_{2}$.

The above discussion means that every pair of roots of eq. (17) can be calculated by means of eqs. (33) and (34) starting from some pair of roots of eq. (14). Hence the solutions of eqs. (14) and (17) make a two-dimensional system in the following way. The solutions of eq. (14) make a sequence as indicated by eq. (30), and a sequence of solutions of eq. (17) emerges from every element in this sequence. One should also notice that applying eqs. (34) to the trivial solution $u=0, v=1$, gives the same trivial solution.

Although this method gives all positive roots of eqs. (14) and (17), it does not reveal all relations between the roots. These relations become clearer in the following analysis.

When moving from one equation to another along the algorithmic chain, a linear transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i} & =b_{1} x_{j+1}+b_{2} x_{j} \\
x_{i+1} & =b_{3} x_{j+1}+b_{4} x_{j} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

will be formed, where the coefficients $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ and $b_{4}$ are non-negative. Inserting the expressions of $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ in $A_{i} x_{i+1}^{2}+B_{i} x_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} x_{i}^{2}$ gives $A_{j} x_{j+1}^{2}+B_{j} x_{j} x_{j+1}+$ $C_{j} x_{j}^{2}$, so that the quadratic form remains in transformation (35). One should notice that the transformation can also be applied if one or both of the equations is (14) or (17).

Let $b_{i}, i=1, \cdots, 4$ be the coefficients by which we move from $p^{\prime} x^{2}+1=q^{\prime} y^{2}$ to $p^{\prime} \tilde{x}^{2}+1=q^{\prime} \tilde{y}^{2}$. This means going around one or more full loops starting either from eq. (14) or from eq. (17). Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
y & =b_{1} \tilde{y}+b_{2} \tilde{x} \\
x & =b_{3} \tilde{y}+b_{4} \tilde{x} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression $q^{\prime} y^{2}-p^{\prime} x^{2}$ remains invariant in this transformation and the coefficients $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ and $b_{4}$ are all non-zero. Therefore, if $\tilde{y}=1$ and $\tilde{x}=0, q^{\prime} b_{1}^{2}-p^{\prime} b_{3}^{2}=q^{\prime}$. If $\tilde{y}=0$ and $\tilde{x}=1, q^{\prime} b_{2}^{2}-p^{\prime} b_{4}^{2}=-p^{\prime}$. If $\tilde{x}=\tilde{y}=1, q^{\prime}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)^{2}-p^{\prime}\left(b_{3}+b_{4}\right)^{2}=q^{\prime}-p^{\prime}$.

It is easy to see that $q^{\prime} b_{1} b_{2}=p^{\prime} b_{3} b_{4}$. On the other hand, $q^{\prime 2} b_{2}^{2}-p^{\prime 2} b_{3}^{2}=$ $q^{\prime 2} b_{1}^{2} b_{2}^{2}-p^{\prime 2} b_{3}^{2} b_{4}^{2}=0 \Rightarrow q^{\prime} b_{2}=p^{\prime} b_{3}$. Hence $b_{1}=b_{4}$. This gives $q^{\prime}=q^{\prime} b_{1}^{2}-p^{\prime} b_{3}^{2}=$ $q^{\prime} b_{1}^{2}-q^{\prime} b_{2} b_{3} \Rightarrow b_{1}^{2}-b_{2} b_{3}=1$. Because the coefficients $b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ are of the form $b_{2}=p^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ and $b_{3}=q^{\prime} b^{\prime} \Rightarrow b_{1}^{2}-p^{\prime} q^{\prime} b^{\prime 2}=1$, where $b^{\prime}$ is the greatest common divisor of $b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$. Hence we have shown that the coefficients of the transformation are of the form $b_{1}, b_{2}=p^{\prime} b^{\prime}, b_{3}=q^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ and $b_{4}=b_{1}$, where $v=b_{1}, u=b^{\prime}$ are roots of equation $v^{2}-p^{\prime} q^{\prime} u^{2}=1$.

If, conversely, we assume that, in eqs. (36), $b_{1}=b_{4}, b_{2}=p^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ and $b_{3}=q^{\prime} b^{\prime}$, where $b_{1}$ and $b^{\prime}$ satisfy the conditions $p^{\prime} q^{\prime} b^{\prime 2}+1=b_{1}^{2}$ and $p^{\prime} \tilde{x}^{2}+1=q^{\prime} \tilde{y}^{2}$, an easy calculation shows that $p^{\prime} x^{2}+1=q^{\prime} y^{2}$. Therefore two arbitrary pairs of roots $\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}^{\prime}\right)$ of equation $p^{\prime} x^{2}+1=q^{\prime} y^{2}$ have a relation

$$
\binom{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{r}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & p^{\prime} b^{\prime}  \tag{37}\\
q^{\prime} b^{\prime} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{r}{r^{\prime}},
$$

where $b_{1}$ and $b^{\prime}$ are certain roots of eq. (17).
In the case of eq. (14), $q^{\prime}=q=609$ and $p^{\prime}=p=7766$. By applying eq. (37) one can then see that the $n$th pair of roots of eq. (14) is given by

$$
\binom{r_{2 n-1}}{r_{2 n}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & p b^{\prime}  \tag{38}\\
q b^{\prime} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}=\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n-1}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}
$$

Since the linear transformation $\mathbf{L}$ is equivalent to a single loop (back to the original equation) in the transformation sequence, expression $q^{\prime} y^{2}-p^{\prime} x^{2}$ remains invariant in this transformation. Hence the expression also remains invariant in the transformation $\mathbf{L}^{n-1}$. It was shown above that $q^{\prime} y^{2}-p^{\prime} x^{2}$ remains invariant in the transformation $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n-1}$ and therefore, according to eq. (30), $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n-1}=\mathbf{L}^{n-1}$. The elements of ma$\operatorname{trix} \mathbf{L}$ can be calculated using eq. (33) in the following way: $a_{1}=a_{4}=2 \cdot 609 r_{1}^{2}-1$, $a_{2}=7766 \cdot 2 r_{1} r_{2}$ and $a_{3}=609 \cdot 2 r_{1} r_{2}$.

In the case of eq. (17), $q^{\prime}=1$ and $p^{\prime}=p q=7766 \cdot 609$. In the same way as above, the relation of the roots $\left(r_{2 n-1}, r_{2 n}\right)$ and the smallest non-negative solution $(1,0)$ is

$$
\binom{r_{2 n-1}}{r_{2 n}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & p q b^{\prime}  \tag{39}\\
b^{\prime} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{b}_{1} & p q \tilde{b}^{\prime} \\
\tilde{b}^{\prime} & \tilde{b}_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{n}\binom{1}{0} .
$$

Here $\tilde{b}_{1}$ and $\tilde{b}^{\prime}$ are the smallest positive roots of eq. (17).

## 6 Choosing the solutions of the Diophantine equations

The solution of the cattle problem implies the choice of such roots of the Diophantine equations that $Q$ in eqs. (2) will be divisible by 4657. Eqs. (8) and (12) indicate that this condition is fulfilled if and only if one of the roots of eq. (14) or (17) is divisible by 4657 . Since, in the continuation, we only investigate divisibility by a single prime number $t_{0}=4657$, we adopt a convention that remainders and congruences always refer to $t_{0}$. Also, the remainder of $u$ and the matrix composed of the remainders of the elements of $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n}$ are denoted by $\operatorname{rem}[u]$ and $\operatorname{rem}\left[\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n}\right]$, respectively.

We first investigate eq. (14). All its solutions are obtained from eq. (30), where matrix $\mathbf{L}^{n-1}$ is the same as matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n-1}$ in eq. (38). From eq. (38) we see that the pairs of roots $\left(r_{2 n_{1}-1}, r_{2 n_{1}}\right)$ and ( $r_{2 n_{2}-1}, r_{2 n_{2}}$ ) of eq. (14) satisfy the condition $r_{2 n_{1}-1} \equiv r_{2 n_{2}-1}$ and $r_{2 n_{1}} \equiv r_{2 n_{2}}$, when the remainders rem $\left[\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n_{1}-1}\right]$ and $\operatorname{rem}\left[\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n_{2}-1}\right]$ are the same.

Next we investigate how many different remainder matrices rem $\left[\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n-1}\right]$ exist. The remainders of the elements of $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n-1}$ depend only on $b_{1}$ and $b^{\prime}$ which are also roots of eq. (17). First we find out how many different remainders rem $[v]$ and rem $[u]$ exist which satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
609 \cdot 7766(\operatorname{rem}[u])^{2}+1 \equiv(\operatorname{rem}[v])^{2} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $609 \cdot 7766$ in congruence (40) can of course be replaced by its remainder $t_{1}=2639$. According to the Fermat little theorem, $t_{1}^{4656} \equiv 1$ and, because $4656=$ $16 \cdot 3 \cdot 97$, it is easy to show that $t_{1}$ is a primitive root of 4657 . Hence, each non-zero remainder is congruent with $t_{1}^{s}$, where $s$ is a positive integer.

Let now rem $[u]$ be an arbitrary remainder and $s_{1}$ such a number that $1 \leq s_{1} \leq$ 4656 and $t_{1}^{s_{1}} \equiv \operatorname{rem}[u]$. The problem is whether such a remainder rem $[v]$ exists that $t_{1}(\operatorname{rem}[u])^{2}+1 \equiv(\operatorname{rem}[v])^{2}$. We first assume that rem $[v]$ exists.

Let $\operatorname{rem}[v] \equiv t_{1}^{s_{2}}$, where $1 \leq s_{2} \leq 4656$. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}^{2 s_{1}+1}+1 \equiv t_{1}^{2 s_{2}} \equiv t_{1}^{3 \cdot 4656+2 s_{2}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $3 \cdot 4656$ was added to the exponent to make sure that it is greater than $2 s_{1}+1$. For the same reason we put $1 \equiv t_{1}^{3 \cdot 4656}$. Thus congruence (41) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}^{3 \cdot 4656-\left(2 s_{1}+1\right)}+1 \equiv t_{1}^{3 \cdot 4656+2 s_{2}-\left(2 s_{1}+1\right)} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponent on the right hand side is odd and the exponent on the left hand side is of the form $1+2\left[3 \cdot 2328-\left(s_{1}+1\right)\right]$, so that we have found such a remainder $\operatorname{rem}\left[u^{\prime}\right] \equiv t_{1}^{3 \cdot 2328-\left(s_{1}+1\right)}$ that congruence (40) does not have a solution rem $[v]$.

On the other hand, if rem $[u]$ is such a remainder that congruence (40) does not have a solution rem[v], there is such an $s_{2}$ within the range $0 \leq s_{2} \leq 2327$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}^{2 s_{1}+1}+1 \equiv t_{1}^{2 s_{2}+1} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way as above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} t_{1}^{2\left[3 \cdot 2328-\left(s_{1}+1\right)\right]}+1 \equiv t_{1}^{3 \cdot 4656+2 s_{2}-2 s_{1}} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the exponent on the right hand side is even, we have found remainders $\operatorname{rem}\left[u^{\prime}\right]$ and rem $\left[v^{\prime}\right]$ which satisfy congruence (40).

If $s_{1}$ is replaced by $s_{1}^{\prime}=3 \cdot 2328-\left(s_{1}+1\right)$ in eq. (44), it will be noticed that $3 \cdot 2328-\left(s_{1}^{\prime}+1\right)=s_{1}$. Then the remainders $\operatorname{rem}[u] \equiv t_{1}^{s_{1}}$ and $\operatorname{rem}\left[u^{\prime}\right] \equiv t_{1}^{s_{1}^{\prime}}$ are joined together in pairs. In addition, we notice that no value of rem[u] can make $\operatorname{rem}[v]$ zero, because in such a case we would have $t_{1}(\operatorname{rem}[u])^{2} \equiv-1 \equiv t_{1}^{2328}$. This congruence cannot be valid since the exponent on one side is even and on the other side odd.

It was shown above that the remainders of $u$ can be arranged in pairs in such a way that one remainder in each pair has a rem $[v]$ which satisfies congruence (40) and the other remainder does not. Because the number of these pairs is $\left(t_{0}-1\right) / 2$ and because there are two values of $\operatorname{rem}[v] \neq 0$ for each rem $[u]$ satisfying congruence (40), we have found $t_{0}-1$ pairs of remainders (rem $[u]$, rem $[v]$ ) satisfying congruence (40). In addition, the pairs $(\operatorname{rem}[u], \operatorname{rem}[v])=(0,1)$ and $(\operatorname{rem}[u], \operatorname{rem}[v])=(0,4656)$ satisfy (40), so that the total number of pairs is $t_{0}+1$.

Hence we have shown that there are at most $t_{0}+1$ different remainder matrices for the matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n-1}$ in eq. (38). It is easily calculated that rem $\left[\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{4658}\right]=$ $\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{4658}\right]=\mathbf{I}$ (a unit matrix). If $n^{\prime}$ is the smallest positive integer which makes $\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{n^{\prime}}\right]$ into a unit matrix, then 4658 is divisible by $n^{\prime}$. Because $4658=2 \cdot 17 \cdot 137$, it can be easily shown that $n^{\prime}=4658$. Similarly, one can show that the smallest positive integer $n^{\prime \prime}$ which makes $\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{n^{\prime \prime}}\right]=-\mathbf{I}$ is equal to 2329 . In these calculations one can make use of the fact that $\mathbf{L}^{n_{1}} \mathbf{L}^{n_{2}}=\mathbf{L}^{n_{1}+n_{2}}$ and $\left(\mathbf{L}^{n_{1}}\right)^{n_{2}}=\mathbf{L}^{n_{1} n_{2}}$.

Let

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{L}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & p b^{\prime} \\
q b^{\prime} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be a coefficient matrix in eq. (37), such that the remainders of the roots ( $r, r^{\prime}$ ) and $\left(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}^{\prime}\right)$ of eq. (14) are the same. Hence $b_{1} r+p b^{\prime} r^{\prime} \equiv r$ and $q b^{\prime} r+b_{1} r^{\prime} \equiv r^{\prime}$. Thus $\left[\left(b_{1}-1\right)^{2}-p q b^{\prime 2}\right] r \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 2\left(1-b_{1}\right) r \equiv 0$. Also, $\left[\left(b_{1}-1\right)^{2}-p q b^{\prime 2}\right] r^{\prime} \equiv 0$. Because $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ are not both divisible by $t_{0}, b_{1}-1$ is divisible by 4657. Then $p b^{\prime} r^{\prime}$ and $q b^{\prime} r$ are divisible by $t_{0}$ so that $b^{\prime}$ is divisible by 4657. The remainders of the roots
of eq. (14) will be identical only when $\operatorname{rem}[\tilde{\mathbf{L}}]$ is a unit matrix. Because rem $\left[\mathbf{L}^{4658}\right]$ is a unit matrix, eq. (30) indicates that the remainders of the roots of eq. (14) are periodic and the length of the period is 4658 . The same pair of residuals cannot appear more than once within a single period.

The inverse of

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{L}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & p b^{\prime} \\
q b^{\prime} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & -p b^{\prime} \\
-q b^{\prime} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbf{L}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 q r_{1}^{2}-1 & -2 p r_{1} r_{2} \\
-2 q r_{1} r_{2} & 2 q r_{1}^{2}-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\mathbf{L}^{-1}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}=\binom{r_{1}}{-r_{2}}
$$

so that

$$
\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{4657}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right]=\binom{\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{1}\right]}{t_{0}-\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{2}\right]} .
$$

Because rem $\left[\mathbf{L}^{2329}\right]=\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{-2329}\right]=-\mathbf{I}$, also

$$
\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{2328}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right]=\binom{t_{0}-\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{1}\right]}{\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{2}\right]}
$$

This information allows us to find the roots of eq. (14), which are divisible by $t_{0}$. Let us assume that $v_{0}$ is divisible by $t_{0}$ in the pair of roots $\left(v_{0}, u_{0}\right)$. There is an integer $n$ that

$$
\binom{v_{0}}{u_{0}}=\mathbf{L}^{n}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}} .
$$

We know that

$$
\mathbf{L}^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & p c^{\prime} \\
q c^{\prime} & c_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{L}^{-n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & -p c^{\prime} \\
-q c^{\prime} & c_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c^{\prime}$ are certain roots of eq. (17). Because

$$
\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}=\mathbf{L}^{-n}\binom{v_{0}}{u_{0}}
$$

and $\operatorname{rem}\left[v_{0}\right]=0$, we have $\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{1}\right]=\operatorname{rem}\left[-p c^{\prime} u_{0}\right]$ and $\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{2}\right]=\operatorname{rem}\left[c_{1} u_{0}\right]$. Since

$$
\mathbf{L}^{2 n}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}=\mathbf{L}^{n}\binom{v_{0}}{u_{0}}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{2 n}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right] & =\binom{\operatorname{rem}\left[p c^{\prime} u_{0}\right]}{\operatorname{rem}\left[c_{1} u_{0}\right]}=\binom{t_{0}-\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{1}\right]}{\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{2}\right]} \\
& =\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{2328}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right]=\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{2328+k \cdot 4658}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, necessarily $n=1164+2329 \cdot k$, where $k$ is a non-negative integer.
By a direct calculation one can easily show that $v_{0}$ is divisible by $t_{0}$, when

$$
\binom{v_{0}}{u_{0}}=\mathbf{L}^{1164}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}} .
$$

Because

$$
\operatorname{rem}\left[\mathbf{L}^{2329}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right]=\binom{t_{0}-\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{1}\right]}{t_{0}-\operatorname{rem}\left[r_{2}\right]}
$$

the divisibility is also valid when $k$ is a positive integer. Therefore $v_{0}$ is divisible by $t_{0}$ if and only if $n=1164+2329 \cdot k$.

Further, if we assume that $u_{0}$ is divisible by $t_{0}$, a similar reasoning shows that $2 n=4657+k \cdot 4658$. Then $n$ cannot be an integer and therefore $u_{0}$ cannot be divisible by $t_{0}$.

Next, we investigate eq. (17) and assume that $\left(v_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ are its roots. In investigating congruence (40), it already became clear that the root $v_{n}$ of eq. (17) cannot be divisible by $t_{0}$. Therefore it is sufficient to investigate the divisibility of $u_{n}$. We remember that either eq. (33) or (34) is valid for $u_{n}$. In the latter case, $u_{n}=2 u_{n-1} v_{n-1}$, where ( $v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}$ ) are also roots of eq. (17). Then, if $u_{n}$ is divisible by $t_{0}, u_{n-1}$ must also be divisible by $t_{0}$. By repeating this reasoning, we finally meet $u_{1}=2 u_{0} v_{0}$, where ( $v_{0}, u_{0}$ ) are roots of eq. (14). Since $u_{1}$ is divisible by $t_{0}$ and $u_{0}$ is not, necessarily $v_{0}$ is divisible by $t_{0}$. It is now easy to see that every $u_{n}$, calculated according eqs. (33) and (34) from a $v_{0}$ which is divisible by $t_{0}$, is also divisible by $t_{0}$. Hence the solutions of eq. (17) we are searching for are those which are derived using eqs. (33) and (34) from the chosen solutions of eq. (14).

## 7 The complete solution to Archimedes' cattle problem

According to the preceding theory, all solutions of Archimedes' cattle problem can be calculated according to the following procedure:

Constants $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are defined in eqs. (23) and $\mathbf{L}$ is the matrix defined in eqs. (29) and (27). Let $k$ be an arbitrary non-negative integer and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{v_{0}(k)}{u_{0}(k)}=\mathbf{L}^{1164+2329 k}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to eqs. (33) and (34), we next calculate $v_{n}(k)$ and $u_{n}(k)$ from the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{n}(k)= \begin{cases}2 \cdot 609 v_{0}(k)^{2}-1 & \text { when } n=1 \\
2 v_{n-1}^{2}(k)-1 & \text { when } n=2,3, \ldots \\
2 u_{n-1}(k) v_{n-1}(k) & \text { when } n=1,2, \ldots\end{cases}  \tag{46}\\
& u_{n}(k)=
\end{align*}
$$

Next eqs. (12) and (8) give the value of $Q=3 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot u^{2} v^{2}$, where $u$ and $v$ are accepted solutions of eq. (14) or (17). Hence the solutions $v_{n}(k)$ and $u_{n}(k)$ define the coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(k)=3 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot u_{n}^{2}(k) v_{n}^{2}(k) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}^{\prime}(k)=Q_{n}(k) / 4657 . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the numbers of bulls and cows of different colours are obtained by inserting $Q_{n}(k)$ in eqs. (2) and $Q_{n}^{\prime}(k)$ in eqs. (4).

The smallest solution of the Cattle Problem is now obtained by first calculating a vector

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\binom{v}{u}= & \left(\begin{array}{l}
109931986732829734979866232821433543901088049 \\
30784636507697855142356992218944109072681060
\end{array}\right. \\
& 392567302329690546856394748066206816187916440 \\
109931986732829734979866232821433543901088049 \tag{49}
\end{array}\right)^{1164} \times
$$

The total number of cattle is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=6299 \cdot 957 \cdot u^{2} v^{2}+21054639 \cdot 957 \cdot u^{2} v^{2} / 4657 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the first and second term give the number of bulls and cows, respectively.

## 8 Calculation of $h_{i}$ and its connection to continued fractions

In Section 4, the necessary conditions for the coefficients $h_{i}$ were given, but no method was presented for calculating their values. In the following, such a calculation method is derived. Connections between the theory and continued fractions are also examined.

Our task is to determine the value of $h_{i}$ for the equation $A_{i} x_{i+1}^{2}+B_{i} x_{i+1} x_{i}+$ $C_{i} x_{i}^{2}=1$, where $A_{i} C_{i}<0$ and $\left(B_{i}^{2}-4 A_{i} C_{i}\right)^{1 / 2} \notin Z$. Then equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}+B_{i} z+C_{i} z^{2}=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

has one and only one positive irrational real root $z_{i}$. We denote the integer part of a number by $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$. Because the expression on the left-hand side of eq. (51) has opposite signs for $z=h_{i}$ and $z=h_{i}+1$, necessarily $h_{i}=\left\lfloor z_{i}\right\rfloor$.

We can now obtain a formula for determining the value of $h_{i}$. We adopt the notations $\Delta$ and $\delta$ for the integer and decimal parts of $\left(B_{i}^{2}-4 A_{i} C_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}$, respectively, and notice that $\Delta$ and $\delta$ are constants, because $\left(B_{i}^{2}-4 A_{i} C_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is invariant. The positive root of eq. (51) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i}=\frac{-B_{i} \pm(\Delta+\delta)}{2 C_{i}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sign in the numerator is chosen to be the same as the sign of $C_{i}$. If $C_{i}>0$, $\left(-B_{i}+\Delta\right) /\left(2 C_{i}\right)=\left\lfloor\left(-B_{i}+\Delta\right) /\left(2 C_{i}\right)\right\rfloor+\mu /\left(2 C_{i}\right)$, where $\mu \in Z$ and $0 \leq \mu<2 C_{i}$. Because $0<\delta<1$, we see that $0<(\mu+\delta) /\left(2 C_{i}\right)<1$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}=\left\lfloor z_{i}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{-B_{i}+\Delta}{2 C_{i}}\right\rfloor . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $C_{i}<0$, we have $\left(-B_{i}-\Delta\right) /\left(2 C_{i}\right)=\left\lfloor\left(-B_{i}-\Delta\right) /\left(2 C_{i}\right)\right\rfloor+\mu /\left(2 C_{i}\right)$, where $\mu \in Z$ and $2 C_{i}<\mu \leq 0$. Because $-1<-\delta<0$, obviously $0<(\mu-\delta) /\left(2 C_{i}\right)<$ 1 , so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}=\left\lfloor z_{i}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{-B_{i}-\Delta}{2 C_{i}}\right\rfloor . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Depending on the sign of $C_{i}$, the value of $h_{i}$ can always be calculated either from eq. (53) or (54).

The connection of the theory to continued fractions is revealed by the following line of thought. Inserting $z_{i}=h_{i}+1 / z_{i+1}^{\prime}$ in eq. (51), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}+\left(B_{i}+2 h_{i} C_{i}\right) z_{i+1}^{\prime}+\left(A_{i}+h_{i} B_{i}+h_{i}^{2} C_{i}\right)\left(z_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to eq. (21) this can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i+1}+B_{i+1} z_{i+1}^{\prime}+C_{i+1}\left(z_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

were $A_{i+1}, B_{i+1}$ and $C_{i+1}$ are the coefficients of the next quadratic equation in the algorithmic chain. This indicates that $z_{i+1}^{\prime}=z_{i+1}$, i.e. a root of eq. (51) for the index $i+1$. Starting from $i=1$, it is now easy to see that the choice of the numbers $h_{i}=\left\lfloor z_{i}\right\rfloor$ is equivalent to finding a continued fraction expansion of the positive root of equation $A_{1}+B_{1} z+C_{1} z^{2}=0$. Then it is also clear that the coefficients $h_{i}$, obtained in solving Pell's equation $y^{2}-A x^{2}=1$ with the present method, create the continued fraction expansion of $A^{1 / 2}$.

Finally, we compare the usual method of calculating the continued fraction expansion of a quadratic irrational number $z_{1}$ with the present theory. Unconventionally, we start the indexing from 1 instead of 0 , and denote the terms of the expansion by $h_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $z_{1}$ be the positive root of equation $A_{1}+B_{1} z+C_{1} z^{2}=0$ with $C_{1}>0$. Now $z_{1}=\left(m_{1}+\sqrt{D}\right) / q_{1}$, where $m_{1}=-B_{1}$ and $q_{1}=2 C_{1}$ and $D$ is the discriminant of the quadratic equation. In calculating continued fractions the first term is given by $h_{1}^{\prime}=\left\lfloor z_{1}\right\rfloor$, i.e. $h_{1}=h_{1}^{\prime}$.

The next step in the usual method is to calculate $m_{2}=h_{1}^{\prime} q_{1}-m_{1}$ and $q_{2}=$ $\left(D-m_{1}^{2}\right) / q_{1}$. In the present algorithm, the corresponding step is to carry out the transformation to equation $A_{2}+B_{2} z+C_{2} z^{2}=0$ using the coefficient $h=h_{1}=h_{1}^{\prime}$. Then it is noticed that $m_{2}=2 h_{1} C_{1}+B_{1}=B_{2}$ and $q_{2}=\left[B_{1}^{2}-4 A_{1} C_{1}-\left(2 h_{1} C_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.B_{1}\right)^{2}\right] /\left(2 C_{1}\right)=-2 C_{2}$. In calculating continued fractions, the following task is to create a new irrational number $z_{2}^{\prime}=\left(m_{2}+\sqrt{D}\right) / q_{2}$. The same step in the present algorithm is to solve the positive root of equation $A_{2}+B_{2} z+C_{2} z^{2}=0$. Since $C_{2}>0$, this gives $z_{2}=\left(B_{2}+\sqrt{D}\right) /\left(-2 C_{2}\right)$ and, obviously, $z_{2}^{\prime}=z_{2}$.

Now, of course, $h_{2}^{\prime}=\left\lfloor z_{2}^{\prime}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor z_{2}\right\rfloor=h_{2}$. By repeating the above operations, we see that $m_{3}=B_{3}$ and $q_{3}=2 C_{3}$ and, in general, $m_{i}=B_{i}$ and $q_{i}=(-1)^{i+1} \cdot 2 C_{i}$. Hence, the same auxiliary quantities are actually calculated in both methods. The standard method is clearly better in calculating the continued fraction expansion of a number, since it does not imply finding a quadratic equation with integer coefficients, which would have the number as its root.

## 9 Computer calculations

The algorithm was programmed using Mathematica 3.0 on a laptop Macintosh PowerBook 3400c computer with a PowerPC 603 ev processor at $240 \mathrm{MHz}, 144$ MB RAM and 2.8 GB disk.

The calculation time was measured by means of the Timing command. All output was suppressed by means of semicolons, so that the times do not include the conversion of the computer internal presentation into strings of ASCII characters. Only after calculation were the results or parts of the results output in files or on the screen. The results were always checked by studying that they fulfilled the seven equations and the numbers of cows are integers. The latter is needed because the calculation of the numbers of cows involves a division. The conditions of square and triangular numbers were also checked for the first three solutions. This was not done for the longer solutions, because the time and memory consumption in calculating the square roots is too high.

The time needed for a full computation of the smallest result was about 7.6 s . This time can be compared with the time taken by the square and triangular number checks, which was about 225 s each. All the other checks took only a fraction of a second. The time needed to write each number in a file was 190-200 s. Hence the total time is about half an hour. This mainly consists of producing the ASCII output, the actual computing time being only a tiny fraction of it.

A large number of solutions, up to the length of nearly 5 million digits, were calculated. Only the first three of them were completely written in files; of the others, the first and last digits were printed. The lengths and the fifty first and fifty last digits of the total number of cattle for the first 20 solutions as well as the computing times are shown in Table 4. It is seen that the number of digits is approximately a multiple of 206545 or 206546 . Also, the last three digits for the first five solutions is $800,200,200,800$ and 000 , and after this, the cycle is repeated again and again. All the computing times are for complete calculations from the very beginning, i.e. without making use of the previous results. An apparent feature in these times is that, after the fifth solution, every second time is smaller than the previous one. This results from the fact that, once a solution of eq. (14) is found, a sequence of solutions of eq. (17) is easily calculated. For instance, in order to obtain solutions $2,4,8$ and 16 , it is sufficient to calculate only $\mathbf{L}^{1164}$ but, for solutions 3, $5,7,9,11,13,15,17$ and 19 , higher powers of $\mathbf{L}$ must be computed.

In order to compare the speeds of the two algorithms, a programme for the method used by Vardi [4] was also written. The calculation of $\varepsilon^{4658}=a_{1}+$ $b_{1} \sqrt{4729494}$ in the way described by Vardi took about 10.7 s . Instead of this, however, a complex number $a_{1}+i b_{1} \sqrt{4729494}$ was calculated, which took 20.7 s . This was done because it provides an easy way of extracting $a_{1}$, needed in the continuation. The additional 10 s is not an essential increase, because it is only a small part of the total time. The remaining multiplications, divisions and additions increased the total time to 438 s . Hence the numerical efficiency of the two methods is reflected by the times 7 s and 7 min in the same task. The time reported by Vardi for the smallest solution was 1.5 h with a Sun workstation. This must contain writing the nine resulting numbers in a file. With the present laptop computer, the total time of computing and writing in files was about 36 min .

## 10 Discussion

The most essential point in the above solution of the cattle problem is solving quadratic Diophantine equations of a certain specific type. Unlike some previous methods, the present one does not use continued fractions, although it has a close connection to them. This relationship is reflected by the fact that the coefficients $h_{i}$ in Table 2 are the same as the partial quotients in the continued fractions presentation of $\sqrt{7766 \cdot 609}$. The reason for this connection was explained in Chapter 8.

The benefits of the new method are evident. Only the basic arithmetic operations of integer numbers are needed in understanding the solution and in making the calculations. No advanced theorems of number theory are applied. The numerical solution is especially suitable for a computer. Another benefit is that, even if the number of steps in the algorithm were very great, the calculation will not get more elaborate when proceeding along the chain. This is because $B_{i}^{2}-4 A_{i} C_{i}$ remains constant and $A_{i}$ and $C_{i}$ will always have opposite signs so that the numerical values of the coefficients will not grow excessively. Therefore the algorithm is also applicable when the roots are very big.

The method makes use of the well known ideas of linear transformation and infinite descent in a new way. Unlike the old solutions, the present one is based on transformations of Diophantine equations. This leads to the fact that the calculations are limited to integer numbers, and the concepts of irrational numbers or even fractions are not needed at all.

The purpose of this paper is not to create a brief and elegant solution to the cattle problem but, rather, to present it in the form it was originally discovered. It seems probable, for instance, that the discussion associated with the rejection of equations in Chapter 3 could be omitted. The line of thought is roughly as follows. We know that Pell's equation $y^{2}-D x^{2}=1$ always has a solution. Let us suppose that there are two equations $q y^{2}-p x^{2}=1$ and $q^{\prime} y^{2}-p^{\prime} x^{2}=1$ such that both equations have a solution and $q p=q^{\prime} p^{\prime}=D$. Then one can show that there is an exit to the same Pell equation from the algorithm loop of each of these equations. Conversely, both loops can be developed starting from the same Pell equations, and therefore the loops are identical. Hence the two equations are necessarily identical. This means that, in addition to Pell's equation, there can be at most one equation

Table 4. The number of digits, the 50 first and last digits and the computing times of the twenty first solutions.

| No of digits |  | The first/last 50 digits | Time/s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 206545 | 77602714064868182695302328332138866642323224059233.. | 7.6 |
|  |  | 05994630144292500354883118973723406626719455081800 |  |
| 2 | 413091 | 44009490043932285816719235169348369864194234934020. | 16.6 |
|  |  | 11581193275201859189229977601612293349744397607200 |  |
| 3 | 619637 | 24958343754678123578712999230980999881561136361147. | 42.3 |
|  |  | 44919334686973352593735579708551482776099647416200 |  |
| 4 | 826183 | 14154195432731766172032653110432770554478706512805. | 44.3 |
|  |  | 29497196996042055318793576061599829319808330908800 |  |
| 5 | 1032728 | 80270249627607350627294754707634710725064411374829. | 96.8 |
|  |  | 80699303632338364623950738864748504565921321045000 |  |
| 6 | 1239274 | 45522283522934483532429086649904673863640229366397. | 90.5 |
|  |  | 29173911528967704349900291189294413031960053344800 |  |
| 7 | 1445820 | 25816268253259718324690345064768807693002781855400. | 167.5 |
|  |  | 77893495392969120303854296342149319432846317888200 |  |
| 8 | 1652366 | 14640735370590271106027979075734871733820823638582. | 126.1 |
|  |  | 49782035518048443581883698403835052875802227315200 |  |
| 9 | 1858911 | 83029479740778480390992214567494033971458643169338. | 248.4 |
|  |  | 80611991400119558164544000428857887778833160825800 |  |
| 10 | 2065457 | 47087078152320997072282938915190218666297665303416. | 203.8 |
|  |  | 86570434640037157265986582633249636788416084180000 |  |
| 11 | 2272003 | 26703683268219368825480577944889988732920773505237. | 316.6 |
|  |  | 21017329543901176145353890642532008685065245697800 |  |
| 12 | 2478549 | 15143999756846876938673801002103600654442055000072. | 227.6 |
|  |  | 52109101586725248914580549990213380909696848259200 |  |
| 13 | 2685094 | 85883556336335680939605742561053086924100922738733. | 429.7 |
|  |  | 87338942332263137435109633401710976139323897304200 |  |
| 14 | 2891640 | 48705661432949561361357338946050302491455632914808. | 352.9 |
|  |  | 56066134324557929672530134983582390963742024832800 |  |
| 15 | 3098186 | 27621602514117834486805751043626655217904227982861. | 530.7 |
|  |  | 31104306025398924814315115126268750833676689405000 |  |
| 16 | 3304732 | 15664563481972963809538732671608027827276540861217. | 373.7 |
|  |  | 69581836924762033719965210978567445461511752140800 |  |
| 17 | 3511277 | 88835739691549075450127051132588835004913462225449. | 678.1 |
|  |  | 67523439543398286074167078250401030418369028720200 |  |
| 18 | 3717823 | 50379882309690068540226701421929494094046458818823. | 531.3 |
|  |  | 24058601259500490631702992399535840646118017383200 |  |
| 19 | 3924369 | 28571074551199738707258038519334066987791101057040. | 816.8 |
|  |  | 05306789951035666709718661605336793986024602929800 |  |
| 20 | 4130915 | 16203021197872170587157008485464224005358445875774. | 563.2 |
|  |  | 24538558925624110968323032772706512285357136720000 |  |

which has a solution and satisfies the above conditions. This equation could be found by applying the algorithm to Pell's equation.

Excluding the final results in Chapter 9, all calculations in this work were carried out by a simple pocket calculator. This was done in order to show that no computer is needed in deriving the theory. It also proves that the calculations could actually be done by means of an abacus. The use of an abacus would be even easier since one can always construct an abacus which is big enough for all numbers needed. In this work the small number of digits in the calculator made it necessary to divide the numbers into smaller parts.

The reason why the cattle problem was not solved starting from Pell's equation was to show how Diophantine equations of a more general form can be solved and what happens if no solutions exist. In addition, this procedure revealed the relationship between eqs. (14) and (17) and their roots. The method also gives a simple way of deriving a great number of new solutions to the cattle problem starting from a single solution. A small practical benefit is that eq. (14) leads to roots ( $r_{1}, r_{2}$ ), whereas Pell's equation implies a longer calculation of much greater coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$. In the case of eq. (14), the same coefficients are obtained in a simple way from the roots $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$.

It is surprising how difficult the cattle problem has turned out to be, although it can indeed be solved by means of simple methods. The only tools used in the present solution which are not known to have been available to ancient Greeks are Fermat little theorem and matrix algebra. The importance of these implements is not so essential in this work that one could not manage without them, although they clearly make the analysis easier.

Because the theory of quadratic forms by Gauss is similar to the treatment of quadratic forms in the present algorithm, Gauss has actually been very close to the solution of the cattle problem. Certainly the reason why he did not do it was, at least partly, that he had no interest in individual problems which seemed to have no wider importance. In addition, he also produced so much mathematical theory and much else that lack of time may be an explanation.

Much consideration has been put on the question whether Archimedes himself had known a solution to the cattle problem. This work overturns at least the argument which states that, at Archimedes' time, mathematics was too undeveloped to allow finding the solution. Also, Archimedes admits the difficulty of the problem but gives to understand that the solution is possible. Because it is not evident that the problem has a solution at all, it is not likely that Archimedes would have made such a statement without knowing the solution.

Vardi [4] proposes that Archimedes would hardly have been able to solve the complete cattle problem due to the tremendous size of the answer, and he would have presented the problem because he believed (without being able to prove it) that all problems leading to Pell's equation do have a solution. However, it is sufficient for a complete solution that one is able to present an algorithm which leads to the answer, the actual numerical answer is not essential. It is remarkable that the wording of the original problem hints in this direction: In the first part of the problem the exact numbers of bulls and cows of various colours are explicitly asked, whereas in the second part of the problem the task is to 'find out all these things and gather them together in one's mind'. The latter can be interpreted to mean finding an algorithm rather than a numerical answer. Although it is not shown in this work, one should notice that the present method can be used as a basis for proving that Pell's equation always has a solution. One should also notice that the transformation in the present algorithm is similar to the Euclidean formula for calculating continued fractions. Archimedes could hardly have been unaware of the Euclidean algorithm, and applying it to the cattle problem might have been a small step for him.

The cattle problem has been considered to be difficult because of the large numbers it contains. These large numbers, however, make no obstruction for finding
the method of solution. The problem was perhaps created to contain large numbers only in order to ensure that the solution cannot be found by means of guesswork or trial and error.

My personal opinion is that Archimedes did know the solution of the cattle problem and the above method of solving the Diophantine equations.

## Appendix A

The English translation of Archimedes' cattle problem, according to Thomas [5], is as follows:

If thou art diligent and wise, $O$ stranger, compute the number of cattle of the Sun, who once upon a time grazed on the fields of the Thrinacian isle of Sicily, divided into four herds of different colours, one milk white, another glossy black, the third yellow, and the last dappled. In each herd were bulls, mighty in number according to these proportions:

Understand, stranger, that the white bulls were equal to a half and a third of the black together with the whole of the yellow, while the black were equal to the fourth part of the dappled and a fifth, together with, once more, the whole of the yellow. Observe further that the remaining bulls, the dappled, were equal to a sixth part of the white and a seventh, together with all the yellow.

These were the proportions of the cows: The white were precisely equal to the third part and a fourth of the whole herd of the black, while the black were equal to the fourth part once more of the dappled and with it a fifth part, when all, including the bulls, went to pasture together. Now the dappled were equal in number to a fifth part and a sixth of the yellow herd. Finally, the yellow were in number equal to a sixth part and a seventh of the white herd.

If thou canst accurately tell, O stranger, the number of cattle of the Sun, giving separately the number of well-fed bulls and again the number of females according to each colour, thou wouldst not be called unskilled or ignorant in numbers, but not yet shalt thou be numbered among the wise. But come, understand all these conditions regarding the cows of the Sun.

When the white bulls mingled their number with the black, they stood firm, equal in depth and breadth, and the plains of Thrinacia, stretching far in all ways, were filled with their multitude. Again, when the yellow and the dappled bulls were gathered into one herd they stood in such a manner that their number, beginning from one, grew slowly greater till it completed a triangular figure, there being no bulls of other colours in their midst nor none of them lacking.

If thou art able, O stranger, to find all these things and gather them together in your mind, giving all the relations, thou shalt depart crowned with glory and knowing that thou hast been adjudged perfect in this species of wisdom.

## Appendix B

The effect of prime number 353 on the solvability of eqs. (14)-(17) is investigated in this appendix. Because 353 is a factor of $p$ in these equations, only the numbers $q$ must be studied.

It follows from the Fermat little theorem that, for each natural number $a$ which is not divisible by 353 , there is the smallest natural number $k$ that $a^{k} \equiv 1(353)$. This number $k$ must be a factor of 352 . It is easy to show by calculation that, when $a=5, k=352$. Therefore, for each natural number $a^{\prime}$ which is smaller than 353 , there is such a natural number $k_{1}$ that $5^{k_{1}} \equiv a^{\prime}(353)$.
Eq. (14): In this case $q=3 \cdot 7 \cdot 29=609$. Take a $k_{1}$ for which $5^{k_{1}} \equiv 609(353)$. Because $609^{11} \equiv 1(353)$, it follows that $5^{11 k_{1}} \equiv 1(353) \Rightarrow 11 k_{1}=352 k^{\prime}$, where $k^{\prime}$ is a certain unknown natural number. Thus $k_{1}=32 k^{\prime}$.

Take now $v=5^{k^{\prime \prime}}$. Can we choose $k^{\prime \prime}$ in such a way that $609 v^{2} \equiv 1(353)$ ? Now $609 v^{2} \equiv 5^{32 k^{\prime}} \cdot 5^{2 k^{\prime \prime}}=5^{32 k^{\prime}+2 k^{\prime \prime}} \equiv 1(353)$. The latter congruence is valid when $32 k^{\prime}+2 k^{\prime \prime}=352 k^{\prime} \Rightarrow k^{\prime \prime}=160 k^{\prime}$. Hence it has been possible to find a $v$ such that $609 v^{2}-1$ is divisible by 353 . Therefore eq. (14) will not be rejected.
Eq. (15): In this case $q=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7=42$. With the same reasoning as above: $5^{k_{1}} \equiv 42(353) .42^{4} \equiv 1(353) \Rightarrow 5^{4 k_{1}} \equiv 1(353) \Rightarrow 4 k_{1}=352 k^{\prime} \Rightarrow k_{1}=88 k^{\prime}$. $v=5^{k^{\prime \prime}} \Rightarrow 42 v^{2} \equiv 5^{88 k^{\prime}+2 k^{\prime \prime}}(353) \Rightarrow 42 v^{2} \equiv 1(353)$, when $88 k^{\prime}+2 k^{\prime \prime}=352 k^{\prime} \Rightarrow$ $k^{\prime \prime}=132 k^{\prime}$. Hence equation (15) will not be rejected.
Eq. (16): In this case, $q=2 \cdot 29=58 . \quad 5^{k_{1}} \equiv 58(353) . \quad 58^{11} \equiv 1(353) \Rightarrow$ $5^{11 k_{1}} \equiv 1(353) \Rightarrow 11 k_{1}=352 k^{\prime} \Rightarrow k_{1}=32 k^{\prime} . v=5^{k^{\prime \prime}} \Rightarrow 58 v^{2} \equiv 5^{32 k^{\prime}+2 k^{\prime \prime}}$ (353). $5^{32 k^{\prime}+2 k^{\prime \prime}} \equiv 1$ (353) when $32 k^{\prime}+2 k^{\prime \prime}=352 k^{\prime} \Rightarrow k^{\prime \prime}=160 k^{\prime}$. Hence, equation (16) will not be rejected.
Eq. (17): In this case, $q=1$. Obviously, the smallest solution of the equation is $u=0, v=1$. Hence, equation (17) cannot be rejected.
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