

ENTROPY IN BIOLOGY

Charles S. Peskin

Spring 2020

Lecture 3 — appendix

Uniqueness & positivity of the steady state of a finite continuous-time Markov chain under the assumption that any state can be reached from any other state by a sequence of allowed transitions.

Note: This is usually proved by considering the embedded discrete-time Markov chain, but here we stay within the continuous-time framework.

CS Peskin 2/6/2020

Steady state of a finite continuous-time Markov chain

We consider a continuous-time Markov chain with n states indexed by $1 \dots n$

Let r_{ij} be the probability per unit time of a transition $i \rightarrow j$ when the system is in state i . Then

$$(1) \quad r_{ij} \geq 0$$

We assume, moreover, that it is possible to get from any state to any other state by means of a sequence of allowed transitions.

This means that for any pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$, there exists a sequence of states

$$(2) \quad k_0 \dots k_s$$

such that $i = k_0$, $j = k_s$, and

$$(3) \quad r_{k_{l-1} \rightarrow k_l} > 0 \quad \text{for } l = 1 \dots s$$

Let p_i be the steady-state probability that the system is in state i . Then

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i r_{ij} - p_j r_{ji}) = 0, \quad j=1 \dots n$$

and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$$

We claim that there exists a unique $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ satisfying (4-5), and also that $p_i > 0$ for $i=1 \dots n$.

To prove this, we start by putting (4) in matrix form.

Let the $n \times n$ matrix R be defined by

$$(6) \quad R_{ij} = r_{ij}, \quad i \neq j$$

$$(7) \quad R_{jj} = - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n r_{ij}, \quad j=1 \dots n$$

Then (4) is equivalent to

$$(8) \quad pR = 0$$

Remark: If there ~~are~~ ^{are} any nonzero diagonal elements of r , they cancel out of (4) and therefore have no effect on p . Consistent with this, they are discarded in the construction of R .

For future reference, it will be useful to interchange the indices i and j in (7). This gives

$$(9) \quad R_{ii} = - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n r_{ij} = - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n R_{ij}, \quad i=1 \dots n$$

and of course this can also be written as

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n R_{ij} = 0, \quad i=1 \dots n$$

Because of (10), the rank of R is at most $(n-1)$, and we claim that it is equal to $(n-1)$.
 To show this, we consider ~~that~~^{the} equation

$$(11) \quad Rx = 0$$

which can be written as

$$(12) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n R_{ij} (x_j - x_i) = 0, \quad i=1 \dots n$$

Now let

$$(13) \quad x_* = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$$

$$(14) \quad I_* = \{i : x_i = x_*\}$$

Since the set $\{1 \dots n\}$ is finite, it is obvious that x_* exists and that I_* is not empty.

We claim that

$$(15) \quad I_* = \{1 \dots n\}$$

If not, since I_* is not empty, we can choose a pair of states (i, j) such that

$$(16) \quad i \in I_* \text{ and } j \notin I_*$$

By hypothesis there is a walk as described by (2-3) from i to j , and along this walk there must be at least one step from i' to j' such that

$$(17) \quad i' \in I_* \text{ and } j' \notin I_*$$

For this step (as for every step along the walk), we have

$$(18) \quad R_{ij'} > 0$$

Now consider equation (12) in the special case $i = i'$. Since $i' \in I_*$, it reads

$$(19) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i'}}^n R_{ij} (x_j - x_{*}) = 0$$

Since every term on the left-hand side of (19) is non-negative, every term must separately be equal to zero. In particular

$$(20) R_{ij'} (x_{j'} - x_{*}) = 0$$

and since $R_{ij'} > 0$, this implies that

$$(21) x_{j'} = x_{*}$$

contrary to the statement that $j' \notin I_{*}$.

This contradiction proves that $I_{*} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, i.e., that

$$(22) x_i = x_{*}, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

17

This shows that the null space of R is at ~~least~~^{most} one-dimensional, and indeed it is exactly one-dimensional, since it follows immediately from (12) that any x with all components equal satisfies $Rx=0$.

Thus, $\text{rank}(R) = n-1$, as claimed.

Now let p be a nontrivial solution of $pR=0$. Such a p exists, since $\text{rank}(R) = n-1$. Since p is nontrivial, there is at least one value of i , say i_0 , such that $p_{i_0} \neq 0$. If $p_{i_0} < 0$, we

change the sign of p , and if $p_{i_0} > 0$, we

leave p alone. Either way, we end up

with a solution of $pR=0$ with at least one positive component. Now let

$$(23) \quad I_+ = \{i : p_i > 0\}$$

Then I_+ is non-empty, since $i_0 \in I_+$.

We claim that

$$(24) \quad I_+ = \{1 \dots n\}$$

If not, then

$$(25) \quad I_+^c = \{i: p_i \leq 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

In equation (4), sum both sides over $j \in I_+$, and split the sum over i into two parts, $i \in I_+$ and $i \in I_+^c$. The result is

$$(26) \quad \sum_{i \in I_+} \sum_{j \in I_+} (p_i r_{ij} - p_j r_{ji})$$

$$+ \sum_{i \in I_+^c} \sum_{j \in I_+} (p_i r_{ij} - p_j r_{ji}) = 0$$

In this equation, the first double-sum is zero by symmetry. In the second double sum $p_i \leq 0$ and $p_j > 0$, since $i \in I_+^c$ and $j \in I_+$. Thus

every term is non-positive, so every term must be zero.

This gives

$$(27) \quad p_i r_{ij} = p_j r_{ji}$$

for all (i, j) such that

$$(28) \quad i \in I_+^c \text{ and } j \in I_+$$

Equation (27) cannot be true, however, if

(i, j) satisfies (28) and if $r_{ji} > 0$,

since the left-hand side of (27) is then

non-positive but the right-hand side

is positive. Thus, we have a contradiction

if $\exists (i, j)$ satisfying (28) with $r_{ji} > 0$.

But there must exist such a pair (i, j)

because of the hypothesis that it is

possible to get from any node to any

other node by a sequence of allowed transitions.

If there is no pair (i, j) satisfying (28) with $r_{ji} > 0$, then it is impossible to get from a node in I_+ to a node in I_+^c . Since the assumption that I_+ is non-empty leads to a contradiction, we conclude that $I_+^c = \emptyset$ and therefore that $I_+ = \{1 \dots n\}$, as claimed. Thus, all of the components of p are positive.

Recall that we started with an arbitrary non-trivial solution of $pR=0$ and that we changed the sign of p if necessary to make one component positive. Thus, the actual conclusion of the foregoing is that any non-trivial solution of $pR=0$ has all of its components positive or all of its components negative (and in particular none of its components are zero).

11

Now let \tilde{p} be any nontrivial solution of $\tilde{p}R=0$.

As shown above, such a \tilde{p} exists, and has all of its components positive or all of them negative. Since $\text{rank}(R)=n-1$, the most general solution of $pR=0$ is

$$(29) \quad p = \alpha \tilde{p}$$

with any real scalar α . Then p satisfies the normalization condition (5) if and only if

$$(30) \quad \alpha = 1 / \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{p}_j$$

The denominator in (30) cannot be zero, since all of the components of \tilde{p} are positive or all of them are negative. It follows that the vector p with components

$$(31) \quad p_i = \tilde{p}_i / \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{p}_j$$

is the unique solution to (4-5), and also that $p_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. \square