

①

# Quadratic reciprocity

$$\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y^2 \pmod p, \text{ some } y \in \mathbb{F}_p \\ -1 & \text{if } x \neq y^2 \pmod p \text{ any } y \in \mathbb{F}_p \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

= Legendre symbol

$$\varepsilon(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

only odd n needed.

## Facts

①  $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{xy}{p}\right)$  multiplicative character

②  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \varepsilon(p)$

-1 not a square mod 3, 7, 11  
 $-1 = 2^2 = 4 \pmod 5$   
 $-1 = 5^2 = 25 = 26 - 1 \pmod{13}$

## Quadratic reciprocity theorem (Gauss, Legendre)

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) (-1)^{\varepsilon(p)\varepsilon(q)}$$

if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$  or  $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$  then

$$p \text{ square mod } q \iff q \text{ square mod } p$$

(2)

$\nexists p=3 \pmod 4, g=3 \pmod 4$   
then

$p$  square mod 4  $\Leftrightarrow g$  not square mod  $p$

See square table

Proof (first Serre proof, course in Arithmetic)

$w =$  primitive root of  $g$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

$$y = \sum_{x \pmod g} w^x \left(\frac{x}{g}\right) \leftarrow \text{Gauss sum}$$

anything of the form  $\sum_{x \pmod g} w^x f(x)$

$f(x) =$  integer number theory thing  
 $f(x+g) = f(x)$

(Discrete Fourier Transform)

Calculations in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

(1)  $y^{p-1} = \left(\frac{p}{g}\right)$

(2)  $y^2 = (-1)^{\varepsilon(g)} g$

quadratic reciprocity  
 $\downarrow$

Claim These calculations imply QR

case 1:  $g=1 \pmod 4, \varepsilon(g)=1, y^2=g$

Then  $g=y^2$  (is a square) in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

①

# Square Table

	③ 3	① 5	③ 7	③ 11	① 13	① 17	③ 19
③ 3	X	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1
① 5	-1	X	-1	1	-1	-1	1
③ 7	-1	-1	X				
③ 11	-1	1		X			
① 13	1	-1			X		
③ 17	-1	-1				X	
③ 19	-1	1					X

$$\left(\frac{3}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right) = -1 \quad [1^2=1, 2^2=4=1]$$

$$\left(\frac{7}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 1$$

$$\left(\frac{11}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right) = -1$$

$$\left(\frac{13}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 1$$

$$\left(\frac{17}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right) = -1$$

$$\left(\frac{19}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 1$$

②

square table

$$\left(\frac{3}{5}\right) = ? \quad 2^2=4, 3^2=9=4$$
$$= -1$$

$$\left(\frac{3}{7}\right) = -1 \quad 2^2=4, 3^2=9=2 \quad 4^2=\text{same}$$

$$\left(\frac{3}{11}\right) = -1 \quad 4^2=16=5 \quad 5^2=25=4 \quad 6^2=5^2$$

$$\left(\frac{3}{13}\right) = 1 \quad 4^2=16=3$$

$$\left(\frac{3}{17}\right) = 1 \quad 5^2=25=8 \quad 6^2=36=2$$
$$8^2=64=30=13$$
$$7^2=49=57-2=-2$$

$$\frac{7}{3} \left(\frac{7}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) = -1 \quad 1^2=1, 2^2=4$$

$$\left(\frac{11}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{5}\right) = 1$$

$$\left(\frac{13}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) = -1$$

$$\left(\frac{17}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) = -1$$

$$\left(\frac{19}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{5}\right) = 1$$

3

# square tabb

$$\left(\frac{5}{7}\right) = -1$$

$$3^2 = 9 = 2$$

$$4^2 = \text{same}$$

$$\left(\frac{5}{11}\right) = 1$$

$$4^2 = 16 = 5$$

$$\left(\frac{5}{13}\right) = -1$$

$$4^2 = 16 = 3$$

$$5^2 = 25 = -1$$

$$6^2 = 36 = -3$$

$$\left(\frac{5}{17}\right) = -1$$

$$5^2 = 25 = 8$$

$$6^2 = 36 = 2$$

$$7^2 = 49 = 2 + 13 = 15$$

$$8^2 = 64 = 30 = 13$$

$$\left(\frac{5}{19}\right) = 1$$

$$25 = 6, 36 = 17 = -2$$

$$49 = 30 = 11$$

$$64 = 45 = 26 = 7$$

$$81 = 62 = 43 = 24 = 5$$

(3)

and is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  if  $y \in \mathbb{F}_p$

Frobenius:  $y \in \mathbb{F}_p \Leftrightarrow y^p = y \Leftrightarrow y^{p-1} = 1$

But (1) says  $y^{p-1} = 1 \Leftrightarrow p = \text{square mod } g$

Thus, if  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $p = \text{square mod } g$

$\Leftrightarrow g = \text{square mod } p$

case 2:  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . This is the same

as case 1 because the theorem is  $p \Leftrightarrow g$   
symmetric.

case 3:  $p \equiv 3$  and  $g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

Then (2) is  $y^2 = -1 \pmod{g}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$

But  $-1$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$

so  $g$  is not a square  $\Leftrightarrow y \notin \mathbb{F}_p$

by (1),  $y \notin \mathbb{F}_p$  if  $p$  is not a square mod  $g$ .

Pf of (1). Frobenius again

$$y^p = \sum_{x \pmod{g}} \left( w^x \left( \frac{x}{g} \right) \right)^p = \sum w^{xp} \left( \frac{x}{g} \right)$$

$p$  odd  $\Rightarrow \left( \frac{x}{g} \right)^p = \left( \frac{x}{g} \right)$ .  
necessarily by properties.

4

write  $xp = z$  or  $x = p^{-1}z \pmod{g}$

could say: choose  $u \pmod{g}$  so that

$up = 1 \pmod{g}$  and call it  $p^{-1}$ .

The set  $x = \{1, 2, \dots, g-1\}$

is the same as  $z = \{1, 2, \dots, g-1\}$

$$y^p = \sum_{z \pmod{g}} w^z \left( \frac{p^{-1}z}{g} \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{p^{-1}}{g} \right) \cdot \sum_{z \pmod{g}} w^z \left( \frac{z}{g} \right)$$

mod a prime,  $x = \text{square} \Leftrightarrow x^{-1} = \text{square}$

$$y^p = \left( \frac{p}{g} \right) y \quad \text{QED } \textcircled{1}$$

5

$$y^2 = \sum_x \sum_z w^{x+z} \underbrace{\left( \frac{x}{g} \right) \left( \frac{z}{g} \right)}_{\left( \frac{xz}{g} \right)}$$

write  $x+z=u$ ,  $z=u-x$

$$y^2 = \sum_x \sum_u w^u \left( \frac{x(u-x)}{g} \right) \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} x=0 \text{ is not} \\ \text{in the sum} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculate: } x(u-x) &= x^2 (x^{-1}u - 1) \\ &= -x^2 (1 - x^{-1}u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{x(u-x)}{g} \right) &= \left( \frac{-x^2}{g} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1 - x^{-1}u}{g} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{-1}{g} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1 - x^{-1}u}{g} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$x^2 = g$   
square  
of  $x \neq 0$

$$y^2 = \left( \frac{-1}{g} \right) \underbrace{\sum_u w^u \sum_x \left( \frac{1 - x^{-1}u}{g} \right)}_g$$



①

Prime ideals in number fields  
Number field's ring (not field) of  
algebraic integers in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$

(finite degree algebraic extension)

e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subset \mathbb{Q}[i]$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$

Cyclotomic number fields

( $K/\mathbb{Q}$  with Abelian Galois group)

Need a good definition of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Notion of prime and prime factorization

-(Naive):  $\mathcal{O}_K =$  integer combinations of  
generators of  $K/\mathbb{Q}$

• prime = irreducible  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$

• Does not work.

-(Better)  $\mathcal{O}_K =$   $x$  that satisfies monic  
integer polynomial  $g(x)$

$\mathfrak{p} =$  prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_K$

$=$  maximal (Dedekind domain)

(2)

ideal multiplication  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 = \text{ideal}$   
 $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  rational prime generates a  
principal ideal

$$I_p = p \mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$$

likely not prime

~~$\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$~~   $I_p \subseteq I$  ideal,  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = (p)$

$\Rightarrow I$  "lies over"  $p$

$$\mathfrak{p} \subseteq I_p \text{ prime ideal}$$

Thm:  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal ideal.

End  $\mathfrak{p}$  by looking for a maximal ideal.  
Why is there a maximal ideal  
over  $I_p$ ? Not every sequence

has a maximum (1, 2, 3, ...)

Noetherian any increasing family  
of ideals has a maximum.

Thm  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is Noetherian.

Unique factorization theorem:  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$   
(Dedekind domain)

3

has unique  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  prime ideals  
(can have repeats) so that

$$I = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n$$

Fractional ideal  $M \subseteq K$  finitely  
generated proper module over  $O_K$ .

Product of fractional ideals (easy)

Inverse of fractional

$$M \cdot N = O_K = \text{id in fact of } \mathfrak{p} \text{ ideal}$$

$$O_K \cdot M = M \quad (\text{def of module})$$

$$\text{eg } \mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \{x \in K \text{ with } xy \in O_K \text{ all } y \in \mathfrak{p}\}$$

Group of fractional ideals =  $J_K$

fractional principal ideals =  $P_K$

$$J_K / P_K = CL_K = \text{ideal class group}$$

$|CL| = \text{class number of } K/\mathbb{Q}$ .

$< \infty$

④

$G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acts on primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  over  $(p)$ .

Transitive

## Noetherian Rings

Ascending chain condition, ACC

$$\text{if } I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \subseteq \dots$$

then there is an  $n$  with  $I_k = I_n$   
for  $k \geq n$ .

An ascending chain has a maximum.

Finite generation, FG

~~iff~~ Any ideal  $I \subseteq R$  has

$g_1, \dots, g_n$  so that  $I = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$   
generators  $\downarrow$  Noetherian

Proposition: ACC  $\Leftrightarrow$  FG

Proof that  $\text{ACC} \Rightarrow \text{FG}$ :

Take  $I \subseteq R$  ideal (non empty)  $\leftarrow$  zero

and any non-zero  $g_1 \in I$

5

Either  $(g_1) = I$  (then you are done)  
or there is  $g_2 \in I, g_2 \notin (g_1) = I_1$   
Then  $I_2 = (I_1, g_2)$  is strictly  
larger than  $I_1$ . Continue like  
this. Either  $I_n = (g_1, \dots, g_n) = I$   
or there is  $g_{n+1} \in I, g_{n+1} \notin I_n$   
and  $I_{n+1} = (g_1, \dots, g_{n+1})$  is  
properly larger than  $I_n$ .  
This must terminate (ACC)

so  $I = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  some  $n$ .

Proof that FG  $\Rightarrow$  ACC:

Let  $I_{k+1} \subseteq I_k$  be  
an ascending chain and  
take the "limit" (union)

$$I = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$$

Then  $I$  is an ideal

$$(x, y \in I \text{ if } x \in I, y \in I_k)$$

⑥

If  $I = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$  finite  
then for each  $j$  there is  $K_j$   
with  $g_j \in I_{K_j}$ . Take  
 $n = \max(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ . Then

$g_j \in I_n$  for each  $j$ . Therefore  
any  $x \in I$  has  $x = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j g_j \in I_n$ ,  
so  $\cup I_n = I$ .

Proposition  $R$  Noetherian,  $I \subseteq R$  ideal

$\Rightarrow$  ①  $\bar{R} = R/I$  Noetherian

~~②  $R \subseteq R$  subring  $\Rightarrow R$  Noetherian~~

PF: If  $J \subseteq \bar{R}$ , define  $\tilde{J} \subseteq R$

by  $x \in \tilde{J}$  if  $\bar{x} \in J$ . Then

$\tilde{J}$  is an ideal, generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ .

(Hypothesis:  $R$  Noetherian) &

$\tilde{J}$  gen by  $\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_m$ .

⑦

Proposition  $M$  finitely generated module over  $R$ ,  $M' \subseteq M$  submodule  $\Rightarrow M'$  finitely generated.

Remark:  $O_K \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$  (free module on  $n$  generators  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n = \deg(K/\mathbb{Q})$ )

The proposition implies that  $O_K$  has the ACC (Noetherian), which implies that there are maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq O_K$  that lie over  $(p)$ .

Pf (soon)

Hilbert basis theorem: If  $R$  is Noetherian then  $R[x]$  is too.

Remark: Not Hilbert's point of view.

Hilbert basis theorem was proved when Noether was a baby.

Consequence: Polynomial rings Noetherian  
 $R[x_1, x_2] = (R[x_1])[x_2]$ .

8

$f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2]$  has

$$\begin{aligned} f &= a_0 + a_{10}x_1 + a_{01}x_2 + a_{20}x_1^2 + a_{11}x_1x_2 + \dots \\ &= a_0 + a_{10}x_1 + a_{20}x_1^2 + \dots \quad \text{elements of } \mathbb{R}[x_1] \\ &\quad + (a_{01} + a_{11}x_1 + \dots) x_2 \\ &\quad + ( \quad ) x_2^2 \\ &\quad + \dots \quad \text{powers of } x_2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be any set  
and define  $I_E = \{ f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f = 0 \text{ on } E \}$

Then  $I_E$  is an ideal.

Hilbert: There are polynomials

$g_1, \dots, g_m(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  so that

$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E \iff g_j(x) = 0 \text{ all } j = 1, \dots, m.$   
(make Hilbert famous)