

# Lecture 4

# Analogies

We introduced  $p$ -adic numbers. Why?

# Analogies

We introduced  $p$ -adic numbers. Why?

$$\mathbb{Z} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}[x]$$

# Analogies

We introduced  $p$ -adic numbers. Why?

$$\mathbb{Z} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}[x]$$

$$n = \prod p_j^{n_j}$$

$$f(x) = \prod (x - \alpha_j)^{n_j}$$

# Analogies

We introduced  $p$ -adic numbers. Why?

$$\mathbb{Z} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}[x]$$

$$n = \prod p_j^{n_j} \qquad f(x) = \prod (x - \alpha_j)^{n_j}$$

$$n = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j p^j \qquad f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j (x - \alpha)^j$$

# Analogies

We introduced  $p$ -adic numbers. Why?

$$\mathbb{Z} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}[x]$$

$$n = \prod p_j^{n_j} \qquad f(x) = \prod (x - \alpha_j)^{n_j}$$

$$n = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j p^j \qquad f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j (x - \alpha)^j$$

$$\frac{n}{m} = \underbrace{\sum_{j \geq j_0} a_j p^j}_{\text{formal power series}} \qquad \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \underbrace{\sum_{j \geq j_0} a_j (x - \alpha)^j}_{\text{Laurent series}}$$

# Analogies

We introduced  $p$ -adic numbers. Why?

$$\mathbb{Z} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}[x]$$

$$n = \prod p_j^{n_j} \qquad f(x) = \prod (x - \alpha_j)^{n_j}$$

$$n = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j p^j \qquad f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j (x - \alpha)^j$$

$$\frac{n}{m} = \underbrace{\sum_{j \geq j_0} a_j p^j}_{\text{formal power series}} \qquad \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \underbrace{\sum_{j \geq j_0} a_j (x - \alpha)^j}_{\text{Laurent series}}$$

$$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

$$\mathbb{C}(x) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}((x - \alpha))$$

# Functions

We started investigating functions

$$f : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

- rational functions
- series  $\sum a_n x^n$ , e.g.,

$$e^x = \sum \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad \log_p(1+x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^n}{n} (-1)^{n+1}, \quad \text{for } |x|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}},$$



# Functions

We started investigating functions

$$f : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

- rational functions
- series  $\sum a_n x^n$ , e.g.,

$$e^x = \sum \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad \log_p(1+x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^n}{n} (-1)^{n+1}, \quad \text{for } |x|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

$$(1+x)^a = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a(a-1) \cdots (a-n+1)}{n!} x^n =: B_{a,p}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$$

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{R}$

Given a finite set of pairs

$$(x_j, y_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, m,$$

find a function (e.g., polynomial)  $f$  such that  $f(x_j) = y_j$  for all  $j$ .

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{R}$

Given a finite set of pairs

$$(x_j, y_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, m,$$

find a function (e.g., polynomial)  $f$  such that  $f(x_j) = y_j$  for all  $j$ .

**Solution (Lagrange formula):**

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^m y_k \cdot \frac{\prod_{j \neq k} (x - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)}$$

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{R}$

Given a finite set of pairs

$$(x_j, y_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, m,$$

find a function (e.g., polynomial)  $f$  such that  $f(x_j) = y_j$  for all  $j$ .

**Solution (Lagrange formula):**

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^m y_k \cdot \frac{\prod_{j \neq k} (x - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)}$$

This is a polynomial interpolation of a finite set of points.

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{R}$

Given a finite set of pairs

$$(x_j, y_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, m,$$

find a function (e.g., polynomial)  $f$  such that  $f(x_j) = y_j$  for all  $j$ .

**Solution (Lagrange formula):**

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^m y_k \cdot \frac{\prod_{j \neq k} (x - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)}$$

This is a polynomial interpolation of a finite set of points. Another instance of interpolation is approximation via continuity: how does one define  $a^x$ ?

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{R}$

Given a finite set of pairs

$$(x_j, y_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, m,$$

find a function (e.g., polynomial)  $f$  such that  $f(x_j) = y_j$  for all  $j$ .

**Solution (Lagrange formula):**

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^m y_k \cdot \frac{\prod_{j \neq k} (x - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)}$$

This is a polynomial interpolation of a finite set of points. Another instance of interpolation is approximation via continuity: how does one define  $a^x$ ? First for  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then by **continuity**, since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is **dense** in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is **dense** in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Given a finite set (or a sequence)  $y_1, \dots$ , of elements in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  find a **continuous** function

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

such that

$$f(n) = y_n, \quad \forall n$$



# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is **dense** in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Given a finite set (or a sequence)  $y_1, \dots$ , of elements in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  find a **continuous** function

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

such that

$$f(n) = y_n, \quad \forall n$$

When is this possible? How does one achieve this?

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Let us try

$$a^x, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$p$ -adically.

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Let us try

$$a^x, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$p$ -adically.

Need to understand what happens when  $x' := x + p^N$ .

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Let us try

$$a^x, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$p$ -adically.

Need to understand what happens when  $x' := x + p^N$ .

Consider  $a = p, x = 0$ . Then

$$|a^x - a^{x'}|_p = |1 - p^{p^N}|_p = 1, \quad \forall N.$$

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Let us try

$$a^x, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$p$ -adically.

Need to understand what happens when  $x' := x + p^N$ .

Consider  $a = p, x = 0$ . Then

$$|a^x - a^{x'}|_p = |1 - p^{p^N}|_p = 1, \quad \forall N.$$

Not good, we are not getting closer.

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : $a^x$

Assume that  $1 < a < p$ . Then

$$|a^x - a^{x'}|_p = |a^x|_p \cdot |1 - a^{p^N}|_p = 1, \quad \forall N.$$

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : $a^x$

Assume that  $1 < a < p$ . Then

$$|a^x - a^{x'}|_p = |a^x|_p \cdot |1 - a^{p^N}|_p = 1, \quad \forall N.$$

Again, we have a problem.

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : $a^x$

However, let  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $a = 1 + bp$  and  $x' = x + x''p^N$ . Then

$$|x' - x|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^N},$$

$$|a^x - a^{x'}|_p = |a^x|_p \cdot |1 - a^{x'-x}|_p = |1 - (1 + bp)^{x''p^N}|_p \leq |p^{N+1}|_p = \frac{1}{p^{N+1}}$$



# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : $a^x$

However, let  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $a = 1 + bp$  and  $x' = x + x''p^N$ . Then

$$|x' - x|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^N},$$

$$|a^x - a^{x'}|_p = |a^x|_p \cdot |1 - a^{x'-x}|_p = |1 - (1+bp)^{x''p^N}|_p \leq |p^{N+1}|_p = \frac{1}{p^{N+1}}$$

It follows that for  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , the function

$$f(x) = a^x$$

is **well-defined** and **continuous** for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : $a^x$

Can we do better? Let  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p-1}$ .

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : $a^x$

Can we do better? Let  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p-1}$ .  
Then

$$a^x = a^{x_0} \cdot (a^{p-1})^{x_1}.$$

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : $a^x$

Can we do better? Let  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p-1}$ .  
Then

$$a^x = a^{x_0} \cdot (a^{p-1})^{x_1}.$$

The second factor gives a **well-defined** function.

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : $a^x$

Can we do better? Let  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p-1}$ .  
Then

$$a^x = a^{x_0} \cdot (a^{p-1})^{x_1}.$$

The second factor gives a **well-defined** function. Consider

$$S := \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p-1}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$$

# Interpolation over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : $a^x$

Can we do better? Let  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p-1}$ .  
Then

$$a^x = a^{x_0} \cdot (a^{p-1})^{x_1}.$$

The second factor gives a **well-defined** function. Consider

$$S := \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \equiv x_0 \pmod{p-1}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$$

This set is **dense**. Thus, any

$$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

will have a unique continuous extension to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

# Interpolation: the $\Gamma$ -function

Recall

$$\Gamma(n + 1) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} x^n dx = n!$$

# Interpolation: the $\Gamma$ -function

Recall

$$\Gamma(n+1) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} x^n dx = n!$$

$$\Gamma(s+1) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} x^s dx, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}$$

**interpolates** (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) between the values  $n!$



# Interpolation: the $\Gamma$ -function

Note, there does not exist a continuous function

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad f(n) = n!, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

# Interpolation: the $\Gamma$ -function

Note, there does not exist a continuous function

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad f(n) = n!, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Why?

# Interpolation: the $\Gamma$ -function

Note, there does not exist a continuous function

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad f(n) = n!, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Why?  $n!$  is **too divisible** by  $p$ .

# Interpolation: the $\Gamma$ -function

Note, there does not exist a continuous function

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad f(n) = n!, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Why?  $n!$  is **too divisible** by  $p$ .

Try:

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, p \nmid j} j$$

# Interpolation: the $\Gamma$ -function

Note, there does not exist a continuous function

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad f(n) = n!, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Why?  $n!$  is **too divisible** by  $p$ .

Try:

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, p \nmid j} j$$

Does not work either.

# Interpolation: the $\Gamma$ -function

## Theorem

Let  $p \geq 3$  be a prime. The function

$$n \mapsto (-1)^n \prod_{j \leq n, p \nmid j} j$$

admits a continuous extension to

$$\Gamma_p : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

**Proof:** We need to show that

$$n' = n + n_1 p^N \Rightarrow \Gamma_p(n) \equiv \Gamma_p(n') \pmod{p^N}.$$

**Proof:** We need to show that

$$n' = n + n_1 p^N \Rightarrow \Gamma_p(n) \equiv \Gamma_p(n') \pmod{p^N}.$$

First, observe that

- $\Gamma_p(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p$



$$1 \equiv \frac{\Gamma_p(n')}{\Gamma_p(n)} = (-1)^n \cdot \prod_{n \leq j < n'} j \pmod{p^N}$$

$$1 \equiv \frac{\Gamma_p(n')}{\Gamma_p(n)} = (-1)^n \cdot \prod_{n \leq j < n'} j \pmod{p^N}$$

Indeed, assume first  $n_1 = 1$ . Note that  $(-1)^{p^N} = -1$ , thus we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{n \leq j < n+p^N} j \equiv -1 \pmod{p^N} \\ \equiv & \prod_{0 < j < p^N, p \nmid j} j \\ \equiv & \prod_1 \underbrace{jj'} \cdot 1 \cdot (-1) \end{aligned}$$

the only solutions to  $j^2 = 1$  are  $j = 1, -1 \pmod{p^N}$ .

$$1 \equiv \frac{\Gamma_p(n')}{\Gamma_p(n)} = (-1)^n \cdot \prod_{n \leq j < n'} j \pmod{p^N}$$

Indeed, assume first  $n_1 = 1$ . Note that  $(-1)^{p^N} = -1$ , thus we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{n \leq j < n+p^N} j \equiv -1 \pmod{p^N} \\ \equiv & \prod_{0 < j < p^N, p \nmid j} j \\ \equiv & \prod_1 \underbrace{jj'} \cdot 1 \cdot (-1) \end{aligned}$$

the only solutions to  $j^2 = 1$  are  $j = 1, -1 \pmod{p^N}$ .

A similar argument works for arbitrary  $n_1$ .

# $\Gamma_p$ : Properties



$$\frac{\Gamma_p(a+1)}{\Gamma_p(a)} = \begin{cases} -a & a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \\ -1 & a \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{cases}$$

Indeed, may assume that  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and use the definition.

# $\Gamma_p$ : Properties

- $$\frac{\Gamma_p(a+1)}{\Gamma_p(a)} = \begin{cases} -a & a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \\ -1 & a \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{cases}$$
- Indeed, may assume that  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and use the definition.
- Let  $a := a_0 + pa_1$ , with  $p \nmid a_0$ . Then

$$\Gamma_p(a) \cdot \Gamma_p(1-a) = (-1)^{a_0}$$

# $\Gamma_p$ : Properties

- $$\frac{\Gamma_p(a+1)}{\Gamma_p(a)} = \begin{cases} -a & a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \\ -1 & a \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{cases}$$

Indeed, may assume that  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and use the definition.
- Let  $a := a_0 + pa_1$ , with  $p \nmid a_0$ . Then

$$\Gamma_p(a) \cdot \Gamma_p(1-a) = (-1)^{a_0}$$

Again, may assume  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Check  $a = 1$ :

$$\Gamma_p(1) = -1, \quad \Gamma_p(0) = -\Gamma_p(1) = 1$$

# $\Gamma_p$ : Properties



$$\frac{\Gamma_p(a+1)}{\Gamma_p(a)} = \begin{cases} -a & a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \\ -1 & a \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{cases}$$

Indeed, may assume that  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and use the definition.

- Let  $a := a_0 + pa_1$ , with  $p \nmid a_0$ . Then

$$\Gamma_p(a) \cdot \Gamma_p(1-a) = (-1)^{a_0}$$

Again, may assume  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Check  $a = 1$ :

$$\Gamma_p(1) = -1, \quad \Gamma_p(0) = -\Gamma_p(1) = 1$$

Then apply induction:

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(a+1) \cdot \Gamma_p(1-(a+1))}{\Gamma_p(a) \cdot \Gamma_p(1-a)} = \begin{cases} -a/(-(-a)) = -1 & a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \\ -1/(-1) = 1 & a \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{cases}$$

# $\Gamma_p$ : Properties

$$\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = - \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)$$



# $\Gamma_p$ : Properties

$$\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = - \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)$$

Recall:

$$\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \pi.$$

# Artin-Hasse exponential

$$E_p(x) := \exp\left(x + \frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{x^{p^2}}{p^2} + \dots\right)$$

# Artin-Hasse exponential

$$E_p(x) := \exp\left(x + \frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{x^{p^2}}{p^2} + \dots\right)$$

## Theorem

*This converges for  $|x|_p < 1$  (better than  $\exp(x)$ ).*

# Artin-Hasse exponential

**Proof:**

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ distinct primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Artin-Hasse exponential

**Proof:**

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ distinct primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Properties:**

- 1 For  $n > 1$ , one has  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$

# Artin-Hasse exponential

## Proof:

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ distinct primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Properties:

- 1 For  $n > 1$ , one has  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$
- 2  $\sum_{d|n} |\mu(d)| = 2^k$ , where  $k = \#$  of distinct primes dividing  $n$

# Artin-Hasse exponential

## Proof:

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ distinct primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Properties:

- 1 For  $n > 1$ , one has  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$
- 2  $\sum_{d|n} |\mu(d)| = 2^k$ , where  $k = \#$  of distinct primes dividing  $n$
- 3  $\sum_{n \geq 1} -\frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cdot \log(1 - x^n) = x$

# Artin-Hasse exponential

## Proof:

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ distinct primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Properties:

- 1 For  $n > 1$ , one has  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$
- 2  $\sum_{d|n} |\mu(d)| = 2^k$ , where  $k = \#$  of distinct primes dividing  $n$
- 3  $\sum_{n \geq 1} -\frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cdot \log(1 - x^n) = x$
- 4  $\sum_{n \geq 1, p \nmid n} -\frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cdot \log(1 - x^n) = x + \frac{x^p}{p} + \cdots$



# Artin-Hasse exponential

$$(3) \quad \Rightarrow e^x = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}$$

# Artin-Hasse exponential

$$(3) \quad \Rightarrow e^x = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}$$

$$(4) \quad \Rightarrow E_p(x) = \prod_{n \geq 1, p \nmid n} (1 - x^n)^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}$$

# Artin-Hasse exponential

$$(3) \quad \Rightarrow e^x = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}$$

$$(4) \quad \Rightarrow E_p(x) = \prod_{n \geq 1, p \nmid n} (1 - x^n)^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}$$

As formal power series.

# Artin-Hasse exponential

$$(3) \quad \Rightarrow e^x = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}$$

$$(4) \quad \Rightarrow E_p(x) = \prod_{n \geq 1, p \nmid n} (1 - x^n)^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}$$

As formal power series.

## Theorem

$$E_p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$$

and thus converges for  $|x|_p < 1$ .

# Artin-Hasse exponential

**Proof:** For  $p \nmid n$ , we have  $-\frac{\mu(n)}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

# Artin-Hasse exponential

**Proof:** For  $p \nmid n$ , we have  $-\frac{\mu(n)}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus

$$(1 - x)^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{n}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]] \quad \text{binomial series expansion}$$

# Artin-Hasse exponential

**Proof:** For  $p \nmid n$ , we have  $-\frac{\mu(n)}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus

$$(1 - x)^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{n}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]] \quad \text{binomial series expansion}$$

Thus

$$\prod_{n, p \nmid n} (\cdots) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$$

## Theorem

Let  $f \in 1 + x\mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]$ . Then

$$f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]] \iff f(x)^p / f(x^p) \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$$



# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow f(x)^p \equiv f(x^p) \pmod{p}$ .

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow f(x)^p \equiv f(x^p) \pmod{p}$ . Since  $f(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  then so is  $f(x^p)$ .

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow f(x)^p \equiv f(x^p) \pmod{p}$ . Since  $f(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  then so is  $f(x^p)$ . Thus the series for  $f(x^p)$  is **invertible** and  $f(x^p) \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ .

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow f(x)^p \equiv f(x^p) \pmod{p}$ . Since  $f(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  then so is  $f(x^p)$ . Thus the series for  $f(x^p)$  is **invertible** and  $f(x^p) \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ .

It follows that

$$\frac{f(x)^p}{f(x^p)} \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]].$$

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

⇐ Let

$$f(x) = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i x^i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

⇐ Let

$$f(x) = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i x^i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Assume that

$$f(x)^p = f(x^p) \cdot (1 + p \sum b_j x^j), \quad b_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

← Let

$$f(x) = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i x^i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Assume that

$$f(x)^p = f(x^p) \cdot (1 + p \sum b_j x^j), \quad b_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

We see that

$$a_0 = 1$$

$$a_1 = b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

Now we proceed by **induction**, assuming that  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  for all  $i < n$ .  
Comparing coefficients at  $x^n$ :



# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

Now we proceed by **induction**, assuming that  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  for all  $i < n$ .  
Comparing coefficients at  $x^n$ :

**On the left:**  $(\sum_{i \leq n} a_i x^i)^p$       **On the right:**  $f(x^p) \cdot (1 + p \sum b_j x^j)$

$$= \sum_{i \leq n} a_i^p x^{ip} + p \underbrace{(\dots)}_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_p} x^{i_1 + \dots + i_p}}$$

$$= \underbrace{a_n^p}_{ip=n} + pa_n + p\mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$= \underbrace{a_n^p}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} + pa_n + p\mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$\sum_{i \leq \frac{n}{p}} a_i x^{pi} (1 + p \sum b_j x^j)$$

$$= \underbrace{a_{\frac{n}{p}}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} + p\mathbb{Z}_p - \text{terms}$$

$$\text{Have: } a_{\frac{n}{p}}^p \equiv a_{\frac{n}{p}} \pmod{p}$$

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

Thus,

$$pa_n \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

Thus,

$$pa_n \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

**Apply:** Since

$$E_p(x)^p = e^{px} E_p(x^p) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{px} \in 1 + px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$$

we conclude

$$E_p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]],$$

i.e., converges for  $|x|_p < 1$  (alternative proof of the previous theorem).

# Dieudonné-Dwork theory

Thus,

$$pa_n \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

**Apply:** Since

$$E_p(x)^p = e^{px} E_p(x^p) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{px} \in 1 + px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$$

we conclude

$$E_p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]],$$

i.e., converges for  $|x|_p < 1$  (alternative proof of the previous theorem). Here we used that

$$\nu_p \left( \binom{p^n}{n!} \right) \geq n - \frac{n-1}{p-1} = \frac{p-2}{p-1}n + \frac{1}{p-1} \geq 1, \quad \forall n.$$

# Binomial polynomials

Recall,

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \binom{x}{k} = \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1)}{k!} \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

# Binomial polynomials

Recall,

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \Rightarrow \binom{x}{k} = \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1)}{k!} \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

$$\binom{x}{k} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, this extends to a continuous function  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

# Binomial polynomials

Recall,

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \Rightarrow \binom{x}{k} = \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1)}{k!} \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

$$\binom{x}{k} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, this extends to a continuous function  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Proof:** OK for  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , note that

$$\binom{-n}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{n+k-1}{k}.$$

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of all functions  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that

$$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$



# Binomial polynomials

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of all functions  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that

$$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is *free*, with basis  $\binom{x}{k}$ , i.e.,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} m_k \binom{x}{k}, \quad m_k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

# Binomial polynomials

The proof uses the **difference operator**:

$$\Delta f(x) := f(x + 1) - f(x).$$

# Binomial polynomials

The proof uses the **difference operator**:

$$\Delta f(x) := f(x + 1) - f(x).$$

**Example:**

$$\Delta \binom{x}{0} = 0, \quad \Delta \binom{x}{k} = \binom{x}{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

# Binomial polynomials

The proof uses the **difference operator**:

$$\Delta f(x) := f(x + 1) - f(x).$$

**Example:**

$$\Delta \binom{x}{0} = 0, \quad \Delta \binom{x}{k} = \binom{x}{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

This is the analog of

$$\partial : \frac{x^k}{k!} \rightarrow \frac{x^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$$

# Binomial polynomials

The proof proceeds by induction,

$$f(0) := m_0$$

$$\Delta f(x) = \sum m_k \Delta \binom{x}{k} = \sum m_k \binom{x}{k-1}$$

# Binomial polynomials

The proof proceeds by induction,

$$f(0) := m_0$$

$$\Delta f(x) = \sum m_k \Delta \binom{x}{k} = \sum m_k \binom{x}{k-1}$$

It follows that every coefficient can be brought into the position of  $\binom{x}{0}$ .

# Binomial polynomials

The proof proceeds by induction,

$$f(0) := m_0$$

$$\Delta f(x) = \sum m_k \Delta \binom{x}{k} = \sum m_k \binom{x}{k-1}$$

It follows that every coefficient can be brought into the position of  $\binom{x}{0}$ . This shows the **uniqueness** of the presentation.

# Binomial polynomials

The proof proceeds by induction,

$$f(0) := m_0$$

$$\Delta f(x) = \sum m_k \Delta \binom{x}{k} = \sum m_k \binom{x}{k-1}$$

It follows that every coefficient can be brought into the position of  $\binom{x}{0}$ . This shows the **uniqueness** of the presentation.

The **existence** follows by setting

$$m_k := (\Delta^k f)(0), \quad \text{i.e., a Taylor expansion}$$

$$f(x) = \sum_k \frac{(\Delta^k f)(0)}{k!} \cdot x(x-1) \cdots (x-k+1)$$



# Binomial polynomials

Assume that

$$\left(\sum a_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\right) \cdot \left(\sum c_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\right) = \left(\sum b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum \binom{n}{k} a_k c_{n-k} = b_n.$$

# Binomial polynomials

Assume that

$$\left(\sum a_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\right) \cdot \left(\sum c_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\right) = \left(\sum b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum \binom{n}{k} a_k c_{n-k} = b_n.$$

**Proof:** Compare coefficients at  $x^n$ .

# Binomial polynomials

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} b_k$$

# Binomial polynomials

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} b_k$$

**Proof:** Apply to

$$\left( \sum a_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \cdot e^x = \left( \sum b_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \right).$$

## Mahler 1961

Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  be a continuous function. Put

$$a_n(f) := \sum (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(k), \quad \text{this is a finite sum}$$

# $p$ -adic interpolation

## Mahler 1961

Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  be a continuous function. Put

$$a_n(f) := \sum (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(k), \quad \text{this is a finite sum}$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{x}{k} a_k(f) \rightarrow f(x)$$

converges uniformly.

# $p$ -adic interpolation

- The sum is **finite** on  $\mathbb{Z}$

# $p$ -adic interpolation

- The sum is **finite** on  $\mathbb{Z}$
- $|a_k|_p \rightarrow 0$ , so that the series converges to a **continuous** function



# $p$ -adic interpolation

- The sum is **finite** on  $\mathbb{Z}$
- $|a_k|_p \rightarrow 0$ , so that the series converges to a **continuous** function
- **Every** continuous function has such a representation, and it is **unique** (since determined by restriction to  $\mathbb{N}$ )

# Mahler's theory

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero, e.g.,  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Introduce the following operators on  $K[x]$ :

- **translation operator:** for  $a \in K$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_a : K[x] &\rightarrow K[x] \\ (\tau_a f)(x) &:= f(x + a)\end{aligned}$$

# Mahler's theory

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero, e.g.,  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Introduce the following operators on  $K[x]$ :

- **translation operator:** for  $a \in K$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_a : K[x] &\rightarrow K[x] \\ (\tau_a f)(x) &:= f(x + a)\end{aligned}$$

- **$\delta$ -operator:** a linear endomorphism  $\delta : K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$ , which commutes with  $\tau_a$  for all  $a \in K$ , i.e.,

$$\delta \circ \tau_a = \tau_a \circ \delta,$$

and satisfies

$$\delta(x) = c \in K^\times.$$

# Mahler's theory

It follows that

$$\delta(a) = 0, \quad \forall a \in K^\times, \quad \deg(\delta f) = \deg(f) - 1.$$

# Mahler's theory

It follows that

$$\delta(a) = 0, \quad \forall a \in K^\times, \quad \deg(\delta f) = \deg(f) - 1.$$

A **basis system**  $\{q_n = q_{n,\delta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a collection of polynomials such that

- $\deg(q_n) = n$ , for all  $n$
- $\delta q_n = n q_{n-1}$ , for  $n \geq 1$ ,
- $q_0 = 1, q_n(0) = 0$ , for  $n \geq 1$ .

# Mahler's theory

It follows that

$$\delta(a) = 0, \quad \forall a \in K^\times, \quad \deg(\delta f) = \deg(f) - 1.$$

A **basis system**  $\{q_n = q_{n,\delta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a collection of polynomials such that

- $\deg(q_n) = n$ , for all  $n$
- $\delta q_n = n q_{n-1}$ , for  $n \geq 1$ ,
- $q_0 = 1, q_n(0) = 0$ , for  $n \geq 1$ .

This is uniquely determined, by induction.

# Mahler's theory

## Examples:

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}: q_n = x^n$

# Mahler's theory

## Examples:

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ :  $q_n = x^n$
- $\Delta := \tau_1 - \text{Id}$ :  $q_n = (x)_n := x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$ ,  $\Delta^n q_n = n!$



# Mahler's theory

## Examples:

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ :  $q_n = x^n$
- $\Delta := \tau_1 - \text{Id}$ :  $q_n = (x)_n := x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$ ,  $\Delta^n q_n = n!$
- $\tau_a - \tau_b$ , for  $a \neq b$

# Mahler's theory

## Examples:

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ :  $q_n = x^n$
- $\Delta := \tau_1 - \text{Id}$ :  $q_n = (x)_n := x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$ ,  $\Delta^n q_n = n!$
- $\tau_a - \tau_b$ , for  $a \neq b$
- **Any** formal power series of order 1 in  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ :

$$\delta := \sum_{i \geq 1} c_i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^i \in K\left[\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right]\right], \quad c_1 \neq 0$$

# Mahler's theory

For all  $f \in K[x]$ , we have

$$f(x + y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\delta^k f(x)}{k!} \cdot q_k(y)$$

# Mahler's theory

For all  $f \in K[x]$ , we have

$$f(x + y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\delta^k f(x)}{k!} \cdot q_k(y)$$

In particular, for  $f = q_n$ , we obtain the "binomial formula":

$$q_n(x + y) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} q_k(x) \cdot q_{n-k}(y)$$

# Mahler's theory

For all  $f \in K[x]$ , we have

$$f(x + y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\delta^k f(x)}{k!} \cdot q_k(y)$$

In particular, for  $f = q_n$ , we obtain the "binomial formula":

$$q_n(x + y) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} q_k(x) \cdot q_{n-k}(y)$$

as if we were computing

$$q_n(x + y) = (q(x) + q(y))^n$$

# Mahler's theory

Let

$$T := K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$$

be an endomorphism. The following properties are equivalent:

- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_1$

# Mahler's theory

Let

$$T := K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$$

be an endomorphism. The following properties are equivalent:

- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_1$
- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_a$ , for all  $a \in K^\times$

# Mahler's theory

Let

$$T := K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$$

be an endomorphism. The following properties are equivalent:

- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_1$
- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_a$ , for all  $a \in K^\times$
- for any  $\delta$ -operator there exists a  $\phi \in K[[\delta]]$  such that

$$T = \phi(\delta)$$



# Mahler's theory

Let

$$T := K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$$

be an endomorphism. The following properties are equivalent:

- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_1$
- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_a$ , for all  $a \in K^\times$
- for any  $\delta$ -operator there exists a  $\phi \in K[[\delta]]$  such that

$$T = \phi(\delta)$$

- $T = \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \in K\left[\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right]\right]$

# Mahler's theory

Let

$$T := K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$$

be an endomorphism. The following properties are equivalent:

- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_1$
- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_a$ , for all  $a \in K^\times$
- for any  $\delta$ -operator there exists a  $\phi \in K[[\delta]]$  such that

$$T = \phi(\delta)$$

- $T = \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \in K\left[\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right]\right]$
- $T \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \circ T$

# Mahler's theory

Let

$$T := K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$$

be an endomorphism. The following properties are equivalent:

- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_1$
- $T$  commutes with  $\tau_a$ , for all  $a \in K^\times$
- for any  $\delta$ -operator there exists a  $\phi \in K[[\delta]]$  such that

$$T = \phi(\delta)$$

- $T = \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \in K\left[\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right]\right]$
- $T \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \circ T$
- $T \circ \delta = \delta \circ T$ , for all  $\delta$ -operators

# Mahler's theory

**Proof:** Based on the identities:

$$T := \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(Tq_k)(0)}{k!} \delta^k$$

$$\tau_a = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{q_k(0)}{k!} \delta^k$$

which means that if  $T$  commutes with  $\delta$  then also with  $\tau_a$ .

# Mahler's theory

Consider the **Banach space** (complete normed vector space)

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p\}$$

of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

# Mahler's theory

Consider the **Banach space** (complete normed vector space)

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p\}$$

of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The **norm** is defined by

$$\|f\| := \max\{|f(x)|_p\},$$

note that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is **compact**.

# Mahler's theory

Consider the **Banach space** (complete normed vector space)

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p\}$$

of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The **norm** is defined by

$$\|f\| := \max\{|f(x)|_p\},$$

note that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is **compact**.

Let

$$T : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

be a continuous endomorphism (note that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  is **not** continuous).

# Mahler's theory

Consider the **Banach space** (complete normed vector space)

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p\}$$

of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The **norm** is defined by

$$\|f\| := \max\{|f(x)|_p\},$$

note that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is **compact**.

Let

$$T : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

be a continuous endomorphism (note that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  is **not** continuous). We can define its norm

$$\|T\| := \sup_{\|f\|=1} \|Tf\|.$$



# Mahler's theory

Assume that  $T$  commutes with  $\tau_1$  (or  $\Delta = \tau_1 - \text{Id}$ ). Then  $T$  preserves

$$K[x] \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

and the restriction of  $T$  to  $K[x]$  can be written as

$$\sum \alpha_n \Delta^n \in K[[\Delta]]$$

# Mahler's theory

Assume that  $T(1) = 0$ , and  $\|T\| = 1$ . Let  $\{q_n\}$  be a basis system for  $T$ ,  $Tq_n = nq_{n-1}$ . Then

$$\left\| \frac{q_n}{n!} \right\| = 1.$$

Every  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  admits a representation (generalized Mahler series):

$$f(x) = \sum c_n \frac{q_n}{n!},$$

with

$$c_n := (T^n f)(0) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\|f\| = \sup_{n \geq 0} |c_n|_p.$$

# Number-theoretic functions

Next, we will discuss various functions arising in arithmetic.

- They are **multiplicative**.

# Number-theoretic functions

Next, we will discuss various functions arising in arithmetic.

- They are **multiplicative**.
- Many of them are related to the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.$$

# Number-theoretic functions

Next, we will discuss various functions arising in arithmetic.

- They are **multiplicative**.
- Many of them are related to the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.$$

- There are deep conjectures concerning **statistical** behavior of these functions.

# Divisor function

$$\zeta^2(s) = \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m^s} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n^s}$$

where

$$\sigma(n) := \sum_{d|n} 1.$$

is the number of different representations of  $n$  as a product of two integers.

# Divisor function

Consider

$$D(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n),$$

# Divisor function

Consider

$$D(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n),$$

this counts the number of lattice points under the hyperbola.



# Divisor function

Consider

$$D(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n),$$

this counts the number of lattice points under the hyperbola. We will prove:

$$D(x) = x \log(x) + x(2\gamma - 1) + E(x), \quad \text{error term .}$$

# Divisor function

Consider

$$D(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n),$$

this counts the number of lattice points under the hyperbola. We will prove:

$$D(x) = x \log(x) + x(2\gamma - 1) + E(x), \quad \text{error term .}$$

## Conjecture

$$E(x) = O(x^{\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon}), \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

# Divisor function

More generally,

$$\sigma_r(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^r.$$

# Divisor function

More generally,

$$\sigma_r(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^r.$$

We have

$$\sigma_r(nm) = \sigma_r(n) \cdot \sigma_r(m), \quad \text{when } (n, m) = 1.$$

# Moebius function

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) = \sum \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s},$$

where

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ distinct primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Moebius function

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) = \sum \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s},$$

where

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ distinct primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Titchmarsh 1951

**Riemann hypothesis** is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) = O(x^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}), \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

# Moebius function

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) = \sum \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s},$$

where

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ distinct primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Titchmarsh 1951

**Riemann hypothesis** is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) = O(x^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}), \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

I.e.,  $\mu(n)$  is a **random** sequence.

# Euler $\varphi$ -function

$$\frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^s},$$

where

$$\varphi(n) := n \cdot \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \#(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

is the **Euler function**.



# Euler $\varphi$ -function

$$\frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^s},$$

where

$$\varphi(n) := n \cdot \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \#(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

is the **Euler function**.

## Lehmer's conjecture 1932

There are no **composite**  $n$  such that  $\varphi(n) \mid (n-1)$ .

# Dedekind $\psi$ -function

$$\frac{\zeta(s) \cdot \zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(2s)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi(n)}{n^s},$$

where

$$\psi(n) := n \cdot \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

is the **Dedekind  $\psi$ -function**.

# von Mangoldt function

$$-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = -\log(\zeta(s))' = \sum_p \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)' = \sum_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} (p^{-s})' \cdot (-1)$$

Since

$$(p^{-s})' = (e^{-s \log(p)})' = \log(p) e^{-s \log(p)}$$

we find

$$-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \cdot \log(p) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}$$

where

$$\Lambda(n) := \begin{cases} \log(p) & n = p^k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# von Mangoldt function

We have

$$\sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d) = \log(n).$$