ALGEBRA: HOMEWORK 6

Problem 1. Is it possible to construct (with ruler and compass) a square whose area is equal to the area of a given triangle?

Proof. Yes, we can construct it. Given a triangle with edge length a, b, c, its area is $A = \sqrt{b^2c^2 + c^2a^2 + a^2b^2 - a^4 - b^4 - c^4}/4$. We just need to construct a segment with length \sqrt{A} . This can be done since $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A}) = \mathbb{Q}(A)(\sqrt{A})$ can be obtained by finite quadratic extensions from \mathbb{Q} .

Problem 2. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{a}$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, a squarefree. Show that if $a \neq \pm 1 \pmod{9}$ then $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^2$.

Proof. Since $1, \alpha, \alpha^2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and \mathcal{O}_K is a ring, we easily have

$$\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^2 \subset \mathcal{O}_K.$$

Assume $b = a_0 + a_1 \alpha + a_2 \alpha^2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$, where $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$. It remains to prove $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since α , $\zeta_3 \alpha$, $\zeta_3^2 \alpha$ are three roots of $x^3 - a = 0$, then $b' = a_0 + a_1 \zeta_3 \alpha + a_2 \zeta_3^2 \alpha^2$, $b'' = a_0 + \zeta_3^2 a_1 + \zeta_3 a_2$ are the other two roots of the minimal polynomial of b, and both are algebraic integers. So

$$b + b' + b'' \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$bb' + bb'' + b'b'' \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$bb'b'' \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}},$$

i.e.,

(1)
$$3a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(2) \qquad \qquad 3a_0^2 - 3a_1a_2a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(3)
$$a_0^3 + aa_1^3 + a^2a_2^3 - 3aa_0a_1a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For i = 0, 1, 2, assume $a_i = p_i/q_i$ where $gcd(p_i, q_i) = 1, q_i > 0$.

If at least one of a_0, a_1, a_2 is not an integer, we may modify a_0, a_1, a_2 by multiplying a constant integer such that $q_i|p$ for some prime p and at least one of q_0, q_1, q_2 is p.

(i) if $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

- $(4) 3aa_1a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

If $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (5) we have that $p^3|a^2$, which is contradictory to that a is a squarefree. So $q_1 = q_2 = p$, then by (4) we have 3 = pand 3||a, and identity (5) cannot be true, contradictory!

(ii) now we assume $a_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then by (1) we have p = 3. By (2) we have $3aa_1a_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and thus $3 \nmid a$ and $q_1 = q_2 = 3$. Then by (3) we have

$$a^2 p_2^3 + a(p_1^3 - 3p_0 p_1 p_2) + p_0^3 = 0 \pmod{27}.$$

Let $r = p_0/p_2$, $s = p_0/p_2 \pmod{27}$ and we have

$$a^{2} + a(s^{3} - 3rs) + r^{3} = 0 \pmod{27}$$

It is easy to verify that $r = 1 \pmod{3}$.

Assume $s = 1 \pmod{3}$, otherwise we can substitute (a, s) by (-a, -s). Then

$$r^{2} + s^{2} + 1 - 3rs = (r + s + 1)[(r + s + 1)^{2} - 3(rs + r + s)],$$

and $3|(r+s+1), 9|(r+s+1)^2, 9|3(rs+r+s)$, so $r^2 + s^2 + 1 - 3rs = 0 \pmod{27}$. So

$$0 = a^{2} + a(s^{3} - 3rs) + r^{3} = a^{2} - (1 + r^{3})a + r^{3} = (a - 1)(a - r^{3}) \pmod{27}.$$

since $a \neq \pm 1 \pmod{9}$, so $9|(a - r^3)$. But $r^3 = \pm 1 \pmod{9}$ for any r not divisible by 3, contradictory!

Problem 3. Find an integral basis for \mathcal{O}_K , where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and $\alpha^3 - \alpha + 1 = 0$.

Proof. It is easy to see that
$$\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{Z}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^2 \subset \mathcal{O}_K$$
, and
 $disc(\mathcal{O}) = disc(1, \alpha, \alpha^2) = -4(-1)^3 - 27 = -23$
 $disc(\mathcal{O}) = disc(\mathcal{O}_K)[\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{O}]^2$.

Since -23 is a squarefree, $[\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{O}] = 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}(\alpha)$.

Problem 4. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where α is a root of $x^3 - x + 1$. Find the irreducible polynomial for $\gamma := 1 + \alpha^2$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. Assume α, β, γ are three roots of $x^3 - x + 1 = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta + \gamma &= 0\\ \alpha \beta + \beta \gamma + \gamma \alpha &= -1\\ \alpha \beta \gamma &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

We can compute

 $(x - (1 + \alpha^2))(x - (1 + \beta^2))(x - (1 + \gamma^2)) = x^3 - 5x^2 + 8x - 5$ is an irreducible polynomial.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Problem 5. Let *I* be an integral ideal in \mathcal{O}_K . Then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_K & \text{if } I = \mathcal{O}_K \\ (0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $I = \mathcal{O}_K$ or (0), the conclusion is trivial. In the other cases, assume $I_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I \neq (0)$ and by unique factorization theorem, $I_{\infty} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$ where p_i is a prime ideal. By the definition of I we have that $I_{\infty} = I_{\infty}^2$. So $\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2$, which is contradictory to the uniqueness of factorization.