# BALANCED LINE BUNDLES AND EQUIVARIANT COMPACTIFICATIONS OF HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

BRENDAN HASSETT, SHO TANIMOTO, AND YURI TSCHINKEL

ABSTRACT. Manin's conjecture predicts an asymptotic formula for the number of rational points of bounded height on a smooth projective variety $X$ in terms of global geometric invariants of $X$. The strongest form of the conjecture implies certain inequalities among geometric invariants of $X$ and of its subvarieties. We provide a general geometric framework explaining these phenomena, via the notion of balanced line bundles, and prove the required inequalities for a large class of equivariant compactifications of homogeneous spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over a number field. It is generally hoped that global geometric properties of $X$ should be reflected in its arithmetic properties. For instance, assume that its anticanonical class $-K_X$ is ample. It has been conjectured that such $X$ satisfy:

> Potential Density: there exists a finite extension $F$ of the ground field such that $X(F)$ is Zariski dense (see [HT00a], [BT99] for first results in this direction and [Cam04], [Abr09] for a description of a general framework).

Supposing that $X$ has dense rational points over $F$, we can ask for quantitative versions of density:

> Asymptotic Formulas: Let $\mathcal{L} = (L, \|\cdot\|)$ be an ample, adelically metrized, line bundle on $X$ and $\mathsf{H}_{\mathcal{L}}$ the associated height (for definitions and more background see, e.g., [Tsc09, Section 4.8]). Then there exists a Zariski open $X^{\circ} \subset X$ such that
>
> $$\#\{x \in X^{\circ}(F) \mid \mathsf{H}_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \leq \mathsf{B}\} \sim c(X, \mathcal{L})\mathsf{B}^{a(X,L)} \log(\mathsf{B})^{b(X,L)-1},$$
>
> as $\mathsf{B} \to \infty$. Here $a(X, L)$ and $b(X, L)$ are certain *geometric* constants introduced in this context in [FMT89] and [BM90] (and recalled in Section 2) and $c(X, \mathcal{L})$ is a Tamagawa-type number defined in [Pey95], [BT98b].

When $L = -K_X$ the main term of the asymptotic formula reads

$$\#\{x \in X^\circ(F) \mid \mathsf{H}_{-\mathcal{K}_X}(x) \leq \mathsf{B}\} \sim c(X, -\mathcal{K}_X)\mathsf{B}\log(\mathsf{B})^{\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Pic}(X)-1}$$

as $\mathsf{B} \to \infty$, where $-\mathcal{K}_X$ is the metrized anticanonical bundle. For a survey addressing both aspects and containing extensive references, see [Tsc09].

The Asymptotic Formulas raise many formal questions. How do we choose $X^\circ \subset X$? Clearly, we want to exclude subvarieties $Y \subsetneq X$ contributing excessively to the number of rational points. For example, if $X$ is a split cubic surface and $L = -K_X$ then lines on $X$ contribute on the order of $\mathsf{B}^2$ points of height $\leq \mathsf{B}$, more than the $\mathsf{B}\log(\mathsf{B})^6$ points expected from $X^\circ$.

Furthermore, we should consider carefully whether to include subvarieties $Y \subsetneq X$ contributing rational points at the same rate as those from $X^\circ$. For example, if $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is a complete intersection of two quadrics then each line of $X$ contributes on the order of $\mathsf{B}^2$ points, the same as the conjectured total for $X^\circ$ (see Example 27). These lines are parametrized by an abelian surface. Including such subvarieties must have implications for the interpretation of the Tamagawa-type constant.

Returning to the case of general $L$, in order for the Asymptotic Formula to be internally consistent, all $Y \subsetneq X$ meeting $X^\circ$ must satisfy

$$(a(Y, L|_Y), b(Y, L|_Y) \leq (a(X, L), b(X, L)$$

in the lexicographic order. Moreover, if the constant $c(X, \mathcal{L})$ is to be independent of the open set $X^\circ \subset X$ we must have

(1.1) $$(a(Y, L|_Y)), b(Y, L|_Y)) < (a(X, L), b(X, L)).$$

However, there exist varieties of dimension $\geq 3$ where these properties fail; these provide counterexamples to the Asymptotic Formulas [BT96b]. On the other hand, no counterexamples are known in the equivariant context, when $X$ is an equivariant compactification of a linear algebraic group $G$ or of a homogeneous space $H\backslash G$, and asymptotic formulas for the number of points of bounded height have been established for many classes of such compactifications (see [Tsc09]).

These arithmetic considerations motivate us to introduce and study the notion of *balanced line bundles* (see Section 3). In this paper, we establish basic properties of balanced line bundles and investigate varieties that carry such line bundles. One of our main results is:

**Theorem 1.** *Let*

$$H \subset M \subset G$$

*be a connected linear algebraic group. Let $X$ be a smooth projective $G$-equivariant compactification of $H\backslash G$ with big anticanonical line bundle $-K_X$, and $Y \subset X$ the induced compactification of $H\backslash M$. Assume that the projection $G \to M\backslash G$ admits a rational section. Then $-K_X$ is balanced with respect to $Y$, i.e., inequality (1.1) holds for $L = -K_X$.*

A version of this geometric result, for $G = \mathbb{G}_a^n$, appeared in [CLT02, Section 7], where it was used to bound contributions from nontrivial characters to the Fourier expansion of height zeta functions and, ultimately, to prove asymptotic formulas for the number of rational points of bounded height (Manin's conjecture) for equivariant compactifications of $\mathbb{G}_a^n$. Another application can be found in [GTBT11], where this theorem plays an important role in an implementation of ideas from ergodic theory (mixing) in a proof of Manin's conjecture for equivariant compactifications of $G \backslash G^n$, where $G$ is an absolutely simple linear algebraic group, acting diagonally on $G^n$.

In Section 2 we recall basic properties of the invariants $a(X, L)$ and $b(X, L)$. After discussing balanced line bundles in Section 3, we turn to del Pezzo surfaces in Section 4. In Section 5 we study the geometry of equivariant compactifications of homogeneous spaces and prove Theorem 1. In Section 6 we investigate balanced line bundles on toric varieties, in the context of the Minimal Model Program.

## 2. Generalities

**Definition 2.** Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. *A closed convex cone* $\Lambda \subset V$ is a closed subset which is closed under linear combinations with non-negative real coefficients. *An extremal face* $F \subset \Lambda$ is a closed convex subcone of $\Lambda$ such that if $u, v \in \Lambda$ and $u + v \in F$ then $u, v \in F$. *A supporting function* is a linear functional $\sigma : V \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma \geq 0$ on $\Lambda$. A face of the form

$$F' = \{\sigma = 0\} \cap \Lambda$$

is called *a supported face*. A supported face is an extremal face, but the converse is not true, in general. The converse does hold when $\Lambda$ is locally finitely generated in a neighborhood of $F$, i.e., there exist finitely many linear functionals

$$\lambda_i : V \to \mathbb{R}$$

such that $\lambda_i(v) > 0$ for $v \in F \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\Lambda \cap \{v : \lambda_i(v) \geq 0 \text{ for any } i\},$$

is finitely generated. Note that when $\Lambda$ is *strict*, i.e., does not contain a line, then $\{0\}$ is a supported face.

We work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. A variety is an integral separated scheme over this field. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety. We use

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X) \subset \mathrm{NS}(X, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$$

to denote the pseudo-effective cone, i.e., the closure of effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors on $X$ in the real Néron-Severi group $\mathrm{NS}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Another common notation in the literature is $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}^1(X)$. Note that the pseudo-effective cone is strictly convex [BFJ09, Prop. 1.3]. Let $\Lambda^\circ_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ denote the interior of the pseudo-effective cone; a divisor class $D$ on $X$ is *big* if $[D] \in \Lambda^\circ_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$. We denote the dual cone of the cone of pseudo-effective divisors by $\overline{\mathrm{NM}}_1(X)$. This is the closure of the cone generated by movable curves ([BDPP13].)

A *rigid effective* divisor is a reduced divisor $D \subset X$ such that

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_X(nD)) = 1 \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

If $D$ is rigid with irreducible components $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ then

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_X(n_1 D_1 + \ldots + n_r D_r)) = 1 \quad \forall n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 1$$

and

$$\mathrm{span}(D_1, \ldots, D_r) \cap \Lambda^\circ_{\mathrm{eff}}(X) = \emptyset. \tag{2.1}$$

**Definition 3.** Assume that $L$ is a big line bundle on $X$. The *Fujita invariant* is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(X, L) &= \inf\{a \in \mathbb{R} : aL + K_X \text{ effective }\} \\
&= \min\{a \in \mathbb{R} : a[L] + [K_X] \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the Fujita invariant is positive if and only if $K_X$ is not pseudo-effective. The invariant

$$\kappa\epsilon(X, L) = -a(X, L)$$

was introduced and studied by Fujita under the name *Kodaira energy* [Fuj87], [Fuj92], [Fuj96], [Fuj97]. A similar invariant

$$\sigma(X, L) = \dim(X) + 1 - a(X, L)$$

appeared in [Som86] under the name *spectral value*.

**Remark 4.** A smooth projective variety $X$ is uniruled if and only if $K_X$ is not pseudo-effective [BDPP13], [Laz04b, Cor. 11.4.20].

The following result was conjectured by Fujita and proved by Batyrev for threefolds and [BCHM10, Cor. 1.1.7] in general.

**Theorem 5.** *Let $X$ be projective with Kawamata log terminal singularities such that $K_X$ is not pseudo-effective, and $L$ an ample line bundle on $X$. Then $a(X, L)$ is rational.*

However, this property can fail when $L$ is big but not ample, and the following example going back to Cutkosky [Cut86] was suggested to us by Brian Lehmann:

**Example 6.** [Leh12, Example 4.9] Let $Y$ be an abelian surface with Picard rank at least 3. The cone of nef divisors and the cone of pseudo-effective divisors coincide, and the boundary of these cones is circular. Let $N$ be a line bundle on $Y$ such that $-N$ is ample and $X := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(N))$. Let $\pi : X \to Y$ denote the projection morphism and $S \subset X$ the section corresponding to the quotient map $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(N) \to \mathcal{O}(N)$. Every divisor on $X$ is linearly equivalent to $tS + \pi^*D$ where $D$ is a divisor on $Y$. In particular, $K_X$ is linearly equivalent to $-2S + \pi^*N$. The cone of pseudo-effective divisors $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ is generated by $S$ and $\pi^*\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(Y)$.

Consider a big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $L = tS + \pi^*D$, where $t > 0$ and $D$ is a big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $Y$. If $t$ is sufficiently large, then $a(X, L)$ is defined by $a[D] + [N] \in \partial\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(Y)$. However, the boundary of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(Y)$ is circular, and $a(X, L) \notin \mathbb{Q}$, in general.

From the point of view of Manin's conjecture, the global geometric invariants involved in its formulation should be functorial for birational transformations, and indeed this holds for the Fujita invariant:

**Proposition 7.** *Let $\beta : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a birational morphism of projective varieties, where $\tilde{X}$ is smooth and $X$ has canonical singularities. Assume $K_X$ is not pseudo-effective and $L$ is big. Setting $\tilde{L} = \beta^*L$, we have*

$$a(X, L) = a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}).$$

*Proof.* Since $X$ has canonical singularities, we have

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = \beta^*K_X + \sum_i d_iE_i,$$

where the $E_i$ are the irreducible exceptional divisors and the $d_i$ are nonnegative rational numbers. It follows that for integers $m, n \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + nL)) &= \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mK_{\tilde{X}} - \sum_i \lfloor md_i \rfloor E_i + n\tilde{L})) \\
&= \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mK_{\tilde{X}} + n\tilde{L})),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality reflects the fact that allowing poles in the exceptional locus does not increase the number of global sections. In particular, effective divisors supported in the exceptional locus of $\beta$ are rigid. It follows from the assumption that no multiple of $K_{\tilde{X}}$ is effective and that $a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}) \geq 0$. Definition 3 gives $a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}) = a(X, L) > 0$. $\square$

Next, we discuss the second geometric invariant appearing in Manin's conjecture.

**Definition 8.** Let $X$ be a projective variety with only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities such that $K_X$ is not pseudo-effective. Let $L$ be a big line bundle on $X$. Define

$$b(X, L) \quad = \quad \text{the codimension of the minimal supported face of}$$
$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X) \text{ containing the } \mathbb{R}\text{-divisor } a(X, L)L + K_X.$$

This definition is relatively easy to grasp when $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ is finitely generated, which holds in a number of cases:

- A projective variety $X$ is *log Fano* if there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\Delta$ on $X$ such that $(X, \Delta)$ is divisorially log terminal (see [BCHM10, p. 424]) and $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is ample. If $X$ is log Fano then the Cox ring of $X$ is finitely generated [BCHM10, Cor. 1.3.2], so in particular, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ is finite rational polyhedral and generated by effective divisors.
- Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety that is toric or an equivariant compactification of the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a^n$. Then $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ is generated by boundary divisors, i.e., irreducible components of the complement of the open orbit, [HT99, Thm. 2.5], [BT95, Prop.1.2.11].

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety with $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ generated by a finite number of effective divisors and $\mathrm{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{NS}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Since each irreducible rigid effective divisor on $X$ is a generator of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ (cf. (2.1)), we have

$$Z := \cup_{\mathrm{rigid\ effective}} D$$

is a Zariski closed proper subset of $X$.

One of the reasons for adopting the terminology of supported faces in the definition of $b(X, L)$ is to simplify the verification of its birational invariance:

**Proposition 9.** *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal projective variety such that $K_X$ is not pseudo-effective and $\beta : \tilde{X} \to X$ a smooth resolution. Let $L$ be a big line bundle on $X$ and put $\tilde{L} = \beta^* L$. Then*

$$b(X, L) = b(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}).$$

*Proof.* Let $F$ be the minimal supported face of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ containing an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $a(X, L)L + K_X$ and $\tilde{F}$ be the minimal supported face of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(\tilde{X})$ containing $a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L})\tilde{L} + K_{\tilde{X}}$. The vector spaces generated by $F$ and $\tilde{F}$ will be denoted by $V_F$ and $V_{\tilde{F}}$, respectively. There exists a nef cycle $\xi \in \overline{\mathrm{NM}}_1(\tilde{X})$ such that

$$\tilde{F} = \{\xi = 0\} \cap \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(\tilde{X}).$$

Let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be irreducible components of the exceptional locus of $\beta$. The Negativity Lemma ([BCHM10, Lemma 3.6.2]) implies that $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})$ is a direct sum of $\beta^* \mathrm{NS}(X)$ and $[E_i]$'s. Since $X$ has only terminal singularities, it follows from Proposition 7 that

$$a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L})\tilde{L} + K_{\tilde{X}} = \beta^*(a(X, L)L + K_X) + \sum_i d_i E_i,$$

where the $d_i$'s are positive rational numbers. This implies that $\tilde{F}$ contains $\beta^*(a(X,L)L + K_X)$ and the $E_i$'s. Thus $a(X,L)L + K_X$ is contained in an extremal face supported by a supporting function $\beta_*\xi$, i.e.,

$$\{\beta_*\xi = 0\} \cap \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X),$$

so this supported face also contains $F$. We get a well-defined injection

$$\Phi : V_F \hookrightarrow V_{\tilde{F}}/(\sum_i \mathbb{R}E_i).$$

On the other hand, let $\eta \in \overline{\text{NM}}_1(X)$ be a nef cycle supporting $F$. Consider a linear functional $\tilde{\eta} : \text{NS}(\tilde{X}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\eta} \equiv \eta \text{ on } \beta^*\text{NS}(X) \text{ and } \tilde{\eta} \cdot E_i = 0 \text{ for any } i.$$

The projection from $\text{NS}(\tilde{X})$ to $\beta^*\text{NS}(X)$ maps pseudo-effective divisors to pseudo-effective divisors so that $\tilde{\eta} \in \overline{\text{NM}}_1(\tilde{X})$. Moreover,

$$\tilde{\eta} \cdot (a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L})\tilde{L} + K_{\tilde{X}}) = \tilde{\eta} \cdot (\beta^*(a(X,L)L + K_X) + \sum_i d_i E_i) = 0,$$

so that $\{\tilde{\eta} = 0\} \cap \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(\tilde{X})$ contains $\tilde{F}$. It follows that $\Phi$ is bijective and our assertion is proved. $\qquad\square$

**Definition 10.** Let $X$ be a uniruled projective variety with big line bundle $L$. We define

$$a(X, L) = a(\tilde{X}, \beta^*L), \quad b(X, L) = b(\tilde{X}, \beta^*L)$$

where $\beta : \tilde{X} \to X$ is some resolution of singularities.

Note that $K_{\tilde{X}}$ is not pseudo-effective by Remark 4; Propositions 7 and 9 guarantee the invariants are independent of the choice of resolution.

**Example 11** (The anticanonical line bundle). Let $X$ be a projective variety with only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. As in the smooth case, the cone $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)$ of pseudo-effective divisors is strict. When the anticanonical class $-K_X$ is big, we have

$$a(X, -K_X) = 1, \quad b(X, -K_X) = \text{rk}\,\text{NS}(X).$$

Let $\beta : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a smooth resolution and $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ the irreducible components of the exceptional locus; we have

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = \beta^*K_X + \sum_i d_i E_i,$$

where $d_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, for all $i$. Hence the minimal extremal face containing $-\beta^*K_X + K_{\tilde{X}}$ contains a simplicial cone $F := \oplus_i \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[E_i]$. The fact that $F$ is a supported face follows from [Bou04, Theorem 3.19], which asserts that

the pseudo-effective cone is locally polyhedral in this region, generated by the prime exceptional divisors. Hence we may compute

$$b(\tilde{X}, -\beta^* K_X) = \mathrm{rk}\, \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R}) - n = \mathrm{rk}\, \mathrm{NS}(X, \mathbb{R}) = b(X, -K_X).$$

**Remark 12.** There exist projective bundles over curves of arbitrary genus $g > 0$ with big anticanonical divisor [KMM92, 3.13]. When $g > 1$ these cannot have potentially dense rational points.

**Example 13.** Example 11 can be generalized as follows: Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety and $D = \sum_i e_i E_i$ an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor whose numerical dimension $\nu(D)$ is zero (see [Leh11, Theorem 1.1] for definitions). The minimal extremal face containing $D$ contains $F = \oplus_i \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[E_i]$, and we claim that $F$ is a supported face of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$. First we prove that $F$ is an extremal face. Let $u, v \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ such that $u + v \in F$. For any pseudo-effective numerical class $\alpha$, we denote the negative part and the positive part of the divisorial Zariski decomposition of $\alpha$ by $N_\sigma(\alpha)$ and $P_\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha - [N_\sigma(\alpha)] \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ respectively (see [Leh11, Section 3]). The assumption $\nu(D) = 0$ implies that

$$u + v \equiv N_\sigma(u + v) \leq N_\sigma(u) + N_\sigma(v).$$

This implies that $N_\sigma(u) \equiv u$ and $N_\sigma(v) \equiv v$ so that $u, v \in F$. Again by [Bou04, Theorem 3.19], the cone $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ is locally rational polyhedral in a neighborhood of $F$. Hence $F$ is a supported face.

Little is known about the geometric meaning of the invariant $b(X, L)$, in general. Here we consider situations relevant for our applications to equivariant compactifications of homogeneous spaces.

**Definition 14.** Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal and projective variety and $D$ an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor in the boundary of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$. We say $D$ is *locally rational polyhedral* if there exist finitely many linear functionals

$$\lambda_i : \mathrm{NS}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}$$

such that $\lambda_i(D) > 0$ and

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X) \cap \{v : \lambda_i(v) \geq 0 \text{ for any } i\},$$

is finite rational polyhedral and generated by effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors. In this case, the minimal extremal face $F$ containing $D$ is supported by a supporting function.

**Theorem 15.** *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal projective variety such that $K_X$ is not pseudo-effective and $L$ a big line bundle on $X$. Suppose that $a(X, L)L + K_X$ has the form $c(A + K_X + \Delta)$, where $A$ is an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, $(X, \Delta)$ a Kawamata log terminal pair, and $c > 0$. Then $a(X, L)L + K_X$ is locally rational polyhedral and $a(X, L)$ is rational.*

*Proof.* The local finiteness of the pseudo-effective boundary is proved in [Leh12, Proposition 3.3] by using the finiteness of the ample models [BCHM10, Corollary 1.1.5]. Moreover, Lehmann proved that the pseudo-effective boundary is locally defined by movable curves. The generation by effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors follows from the non-vanishing theorem [BCHM10, Theorem D]. □

In particular, if $L$ is ample then $a(X, L)L + K_X$ is locally rational polyhedral. We have already seen in Example 6 that the local finiteness is no longer true if we only assume that $L$ is big. However, there are certain cases where the local finiteness of $a(X, L)L + K_X$ still holds for any big line bundle $L$:

**Example 16** (Surfaces). Let $X$ be a smooth projective surface such that $K_X$ is not pseudo-effective. Let $L$ be a big line bundle on $X$. Suppose that $D = a(X, L)L + K_X$ is a non-zero pseudo-effective divisor. Then $D$ is locally rational polyhedral. We consider the Zariski decomposition of $D = P + N$, where $P$ is a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor and $N$ is the negative part of $D$. The boundary of $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)$ is locally rational polyhedral away from the nef cone (see [Bou04, Theorem 3.19] and [Bou04, Theorem 4.1]). Thus, if $N$ is non-zero, then our assertion follows. Suppose that $N$ is zero. Since $a(X, L)L \cdot P + K_X \cdot P = D \cdot P = 0$ and $L \cdot P > 0$, we have $K_X \cdot P < 0$. Thus our assertion follows from Mori's cone theorem. In particular, $a(X, L)$ is a rational number.

**Example 17** (Equivariant compactifications of the additive groups). Let $X$ be a smooth projective equivariant compactification of the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a^n$. Then $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)$ is a simplicial cone generated by boundary components, by [HT99, Theorem 2.5]. However, this cannot be explained from Theorem 15. Indeed, consider the standard embedding of $\mathbb{G}_a^3$ into $\mathbb{P}^3$:

$$\mathbb{G}_a^3 \ni (x, y, z) \mapsto (x : y : z : 1) \in \mathbb{P}^3.$$

This is an equivariant compactification, and the group action fixes every point on the boundary divisor $D$, a hyperplane section. Let $X$ be an equivariant blow up of 12 generic points on a smooth cubic curve in $D$. Write $H$ for the pullback of the hyperplane class and $E_1, \ldots, E_{12}$ for the exceptional divisors. Consider

$$L = 4H - E_1 - \cdots - E_{12}.$$

Then $L$ is big and nef, but not semi-ample (see [Laz04a, Section 2.3.A] for more details). In particular, the section ring of $L$ is not finitely generated. On the other hand, consider

$$\Lambda_{\text{adj}}(X) = \{\Gamma \in \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) \mid \Gamma = c(A + K_X + \Delta)\},$$

where $A$ is an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, $(X, \Delta)$ a Kawamata log terminal pair, and $c$ a positive number. Then $\Lambda_{\text{adj}}(X)$ forms a convex cone. The existence of

non-finitely generated divisors and [BCHM10, Corollary 1.1.9] imply that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{adj}}(X) \subsetneq \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$.

It is natural to expect that the invariant $b(X, L)$ is related to the canonical fibration associated to $a(X, L)L + K_X$. A sample result in this direction is:

**Proposition 18.** *Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety such that $K_X$ is not pseudo-effective. Let $L$ be a big line bundle and assume that $D = a(X, L)L + K_X$ is locally rational polyhedral and semi-ample. Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be the semi-ample fibration of $D$. Then*

$$b(X, L) = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}(X) - \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}_\pi(X),$$

*where $\mathrm{NS}_\pi(X)$ is the lattice generated by $\pi$-vertical divisors, i.e., divisors $M \subset X$ such that $\pi(M) \subsetneq Y$*

*Proof.* Let $F$ be the minimal extremal face of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ containing $D = a(X, L)L + K_X$ and $V_F$ the vector space generated by $F$. We claim that $V_F = \mathrm{NS}_\pi(X)$. Let $H$ be an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $Y$ such that $\pi^*H = D$. Let $M$ be a $\pi$-vertical divisor on $X$. Then for sufficiently large $m$, there exists an effective Cartier divisor $H'$ such that $mH \sim H'$ and the support of $H'$ contains $\pi(M)$. Thus $mD = m\pi^*H \sim \pi^*H' \in F$ and the support of $\pi^*H'$ contains $M$. We conclude that $M \in F$, and this proves that $\mathrm{NS}_\pi(X) \subset V_F$. Next, let $X_y$ be a general fiber of $\pi$ and $C \subset X_y$ a movable curve on $X$ such that $[C]$ is in the interior of $\overline{\mathrm{NM}}_1(X_y)$. Then

$$F_C = \{[C] = 0\} \cap \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X),$$

is an extremal face containing $D$. The minimality implies $F \subset F_C$. On the other hand, the local rational finiteness of $D$ implies that there exist effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_n \in F$ such that $D_1, \ldots, D_n$ form a basis of $V_F$. Since $D_1 \cdot C = \cdots = D_n \cdot C = 0$, the supports of $D_i$'s are $\pi$-vertical. Hence it follows that $V_F \subset \mathrm{NS}_\pi(X)$.  $\square$

**Remark 19.** When $L$ is ample, it follows from [KMM87, Lemma 3.2.5] that the codimension of the minimal extremal face of nef cone containing $D$ is equal to the relative Picard rank $\rho(X/Y)$.

In Section 6, we explore this further in the case of toric varieties.

## 3. Balanced line bundles

**Definition 20.** Let $X$ be a uniruled projective variety, $L$ a big line bundle on $X$, and $Y \subsetneq X$ an irreducible uniruled subvariety. $L$ is *weakly balanced with respect to $Y$* if

- $L|_Y$ is big;
- $a(Y, L|_Y) \leq a(X, L)$;
- if $a(Y, L|_Y) = a(X, L)$ then $b(Y, L|_Y) \leq b(X, L)$.

It is *balanced with respect to* $Y$ if it is weakly balanced and one of the two inequalities is strict.

$L$ is *weakly balanced* (resp. *balanced*) *on* $X$ if there exists a Zariski closed subset $Z \subsetneq X$ such that $L$ is weakly balanced (resp. balanced) with respect to every $Y$ not contained in $Z$. The subset $Z$ will be called *exceptional*.

**Remark 21.** The restriction to uniruled subvarieties is quite natural: If $Y$ is a smooth projective variety that is *not* uniruled and $Y \to X$ is a morphism such that $L|_Y$ is big, then $a(Y, L|_Y) \le 0 < a(X, L)$ (see Remark 4).

We first explore these properties for projective homogeneous spaces:

**Proposition 22.** *Let $G$ be a connected semi-simple algebraic group, $P \subset G$ a parabolic subgroup and $X = P \backslash G$ the associated generalized flag variety. Let $L$ be a big line bundle on $X$. We have:*

- *if $L$ is not proportional to $-K_X$ then $L$ is weakly balanced but not balanced;*
- *if $L$ is proportional to $-K_X$ then $L$ is balanced with respect to any smooth subvariety $Y \subset X$.*

*Proof.* For generalized flag varieties, the nef cone and the pseudo-effective cone coincide so that $L$ is ample. Moreover, the nef cone of a flag variety is finitely generated by semi-ample line bundles. Also note that since every rationally connected smooth proper variety is simply connected, all parabolic subgroups are connected.

Assume that $L$ is not proportional to the anticanonical bundle, i.e., $D = a(X, L)L + K_X$ is a non-zero effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Let $\pi : X \to X'$ be the semi-ample fibration of $D$. Then $X'$ is also a $G$-variety so that there exists a parabolic subgroup $P' \supset P$ such that $X' = P' \backslash G$ and $\pi$ is the natural projection map. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Pic}(P'\backslash G)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{Pic}(P\backslash G)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{Pic}(P\backslash P')_{\mathbb{Q}} \to 0.$$

Indeed, the surjectivity follows from [KKV89, Proposition 3.2(i)]. Then the exactness of other parts follows from [KMM87, Lemma 3.2.5]. Let $W$ be a fiber of $\pi$. Then $a(X, L) = a(W, L|_W)$ since $K_X|_W = K_W$. The exact sequence and Remark 19 imply that

$$b(X, L) = \rho(X/X') = \operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(W) = b(W, L|_W).$$

Thus $L$ is not balanced with respect to any fiber of $\pi$.

Let $L = -K_X$ and $Y \subset X$ a smooth subvariety. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. For any $\partial \in \mathfrak{g}$, we can construct a global vector field $\partial^X$ on $X$ such that for any open set $U \subset X$ and any $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$,

$$\partial^X(f)(x) = \partial_g f(x \cdot g)|_{g=1}.$$

It follows that the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is globally generated. We conclude that

$$-K_X|_Y + K_Y = \det(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(Y),$$

so that $a(Y, -K_X|_Y) \leq a(X, -K_X) = 1$.

Suppose that $a(Y, -K_X|_Y) = a(X, -K_X) = 1$. Our goal is to prove that

$$b(Y, -K_X|_Y) < b(X, -K_X) = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}(X).$$

First we assume that $\det(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X})$ is trivial so that $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is the trivial vector bundle of rank $r = \mathrm{codim}(Y, X)$. The above construction of vector fields defines a surjective map:

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}).$$

We may assume that $e = P \in Y$ so that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $P$ is contained in the kernel of $\varphi$. Consider the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}(X)$ and note that $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{N}_{Y/X})$ is naturally isomorphic to the Zariski tangent space of $\mathrm{Hilb}(X)$ at $[Y]$. Consider the morphism:

$$\pi : G \ni g \mapsto [Y \cdot g] \in \mathrm{Hilb}(X).$$

Since $Y$ is Fano, $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) = 0$, $\mathrm{Hilb}(X)$ is smooth at $[Y]$, and

$$\dim_{[Y]}(\mathrm{Hilb}(X)) = r.$$

Moreover, since $\varphi$ is surjective, $\pi$ is a smooth morphism and $\pi(G)$ is a smooth open subscheme in $\mathrm{Hilb}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the connected component of $\mathrm{Hilb}(X)$ containing $[Y]$ and $P' = \mathrm{Stab}(Y)$. Since the kernel of $\varphi$ contains $\mathfrak{p}$, we have $P \subset P'$. This implies that $\pi(G) = P'\backslash G$ is open and closed so that

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi(G) = P'\backslash G.$$

In particular, $\dim(G) - \dim(P') = r$, so the kernel of $\varphi$ is exactly equal to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}'$ of $P'$. Consider the universal family $\mathcal{U} \subset X \times \mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{H}$. It follows that $G$ acts on $\mathcal{U}$ transitively, and we conclude that $\mathcal{U} = P\backslash G$ and $Y = P\backslash P'$. Our assertion follows from the exact sequence which we discussed before.

In the general case, we still know that $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ and its determinant are globally generated. Consider the resulting fibration $Y \to W$ with generic fiber $Y_w$, which is smooth. Note that $\mathcal{N}_{Y_w/X}$ is trivial, as $\det(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X})|_{Y_w}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{Y_w/Y}$ are both trivial. The above construction shows that $Y_w$ is the fiber of a fibration $\rho : X \to B$ with $W \subset B$; $Y$ is the pullback of $W$. Theorem 15 and Proposition 18 imply that

$$b(Y, -K_X|_Y) = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}(Y) - \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}_\rho(Y).$$

The restriction map

$$\Phi : \mathrm{NS}(Y)/\mathrm{NS}_\rho(Y) \to \mathrm{NS}(Y_w),$$

is injective; this follows from [KMM87, Lemma 3.2.5]. Hence

$$b(Y, -K_X|_Y) \leq b(Y_w, -K_X|_{Y_w}) < b(X, -K_X).$$

$\square$

The following proposition is straightforward:

**Proposition 23.** *Let $X$ be a smooth Fano variety of Picard rank one and $Y \subset X$ an irreducible smooth effective divisor. Then $-K_X$ is balanced with respect to $Y$.*

*Proof.* For smooth divisors $Y \subset X$ the claim follows from adjunction formula:

$$-K_X|_Y + K_Y \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(Y)^\circ,$$

because $Y$ is an ample divisor on $X$. Thus we obtain

$$a(Y, -K_X|_Y) < a(X, -K_X) = 1.$$

$\square$

However, this may fail when $Y$ is singular:

**Example 24** (Mukai-Umemura 3-folds, [MU83])**.** Consider the standard action of $\mathrm{SL}_2$ on $V = \mathbb{C}x \oplus \mathbb{C}y$. Let $R_{12} = \mathrm{Sym}^{12}(V)$ be a space of homogeneous polynomials of degree 12 in two variables and $f \in R$ a general form. Let $X$ be the Zariski closure of the $\mathrm{SL}_2$-orbit $\mathrm{SL}_2 \cdot [f] \subset \mathbb{P}(R_{12})$. Then $X$ is a smooth Fano 3-fold of index 1 with $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$, for general $f$. The complement of the open orbit $\mathrm{SL}_2 \cdot [f]$ is an irreducible divisor

$$D = \overline{\mathrm{SL}_2 \cdot [x^{11}y]} = \mathrm{SL}_2 \cdot [x^{11}y] \cup \mathrm{SL}_2 \cdot [x^{12}],$$

a hyperplane section on $\mathbb{P}(R_{12})$ whose class generates $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. Furthermore, $D$ is the image of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by a linear series of bidegree $(11, 1)$, which is injective, an open immersion outside of the diagonal, but not along the diagonal. In particular, $D$ is singular along the diagonal.

Let $\beta : \tilde{D} \to D$ be the normalization of $D$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $-\beta^* K_X|_{\tilde{D}}$ is a line bundle of bidegree $(11, 1)$ so that

$$a(D, -K_X|_D) = a(\tilde{D}, -\beta^* K_X|_{\tilde{D}}) = 2 > 1 = a(X, -K_X).$$

Thus Proposition 23 does not hold for $D$.

**Remark 25.** We do not know whether Proposition 23 holds for singular surfaces $Y$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$.

Some of simplest examples of Fano threefolds fail to be balanced:

**Example 26.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a smooth cubic threefold, which is Fano of index 2. The Picard group of $X$ is generated by the hyperplane class $L$. By Proposition 23, $-K_X$ is balanced with respect to every smooth divisor on $X$. Let $Y \subset X$ be a line. Note that $2L$ restricts to the anticanonical class

on $X$ and $Y$, and $b(Y, L|_Y) = b(X, L) = 1$. Thus $-K_X$ is weakly balanced, but not balanced, with respect to $Y$. Since the family of lines dominates $X$, $-K_X$ is not balanced on $X$.

However, assume that $X$ is defined over a number field. The family of lines dominating $X$ are surfaces of general type, which embeded into their Albanese varieties. By Faltings' theorem, lines defined over a fixed number field lie on a proper subvariety and cannot dominate $X$.

**Example 27.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ denote a smooth complete intersection of two quadrics. The anticanonical class $-K_X = 2L$ where $L$ is the hyperplane class, which generates the Picard group. The variety $A$ parametrizing lines $Y \subset X$ is an abelian surface [GH78, p. 779]. Four lines pass through a generic point $x \in X$ [GH78, p. 781], so these lines dominate $X$. We have $a(X, L) = a(Y, L|Y) = 2$ and $b(X, L) = b(Y, L|Y) = 1$ so $X$ is not balanced.

Suppose that $X$ is defined over a number field $F$ with $X(F)$ Zariski dense; fix a metrization $\mathcal{L}$ of $L$. Manin's formalism predicts the existence of an open set $X^\circ \subset X$ such that

$$\#\{x \in X^\circ(F) : \mathsf{H}_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \leq \mathsf{B}\} \sim c\mathsf{B}^2.$$

However, each line $Y \subset X$ defined over $F$ contributes

$$\#\{x \in Y(F) : \mathsf{H}_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \leq \mathsf{B}\} \sim c'(Y, \mathcal{L})\mathsf{B}^2.$$

Moreover, after replacing $F$ by a suitable finite extension these lines are Zariski dense in $X$, because rational points on abelian surfaces are potentially dense (see [HT00b, §3], for instance).

For Fano varieties of index one, one might hope to use the Fujita invariant $a(X, L)$ to identify the exceptional locus $X \setminus X^\circ$. However, this is quite nontrivial even in the following situation, considered in [Deb03] (see also [LT10] and [Beh06]):

**Conjecture 28** (Debarre - de Jong conjecture)**.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a Fano hypersurface of degree $d \leq n$. Then the dimension of the variety of lines is $2n - d - 3$. In particular, when $d = n$, for any line $C$, we have

$$a(C, -K_X|_C) = 2 > 1 = a(X, -K_X).$$

The conjecture predicts that the dimension of the variety of lines is $n - 3$ so that lines will not sweep out $X$.

The weakly balanced property may fail too:

**Example 29.** [BT96b] Let $f, g$ be general cubic forms on $\mathbb{P}^3$ and

$$X := \{sf + tg = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3,$$

the Fano threefold obtained by blowing up the base locus of the pencil. The projection onto the first factor exhibits a cubic surface fibration

$$\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1,$$

so that $-K_X$ restricts to $-K_Y$, for every smooth fiber $Y$ of $\pi$. Thus

$$a(Y, -K_Y) = a(X, -K_X) = 1.$$

Furthermore, the Néron-Severi rank of a smooth fiber of $\pi$ is 7. On the other hand, by the Lefschetz theorem, we have $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X) = 2$ and

$$7 = b(Y, -K_Y) > b(X, -K_X) = 2,$$

i.e., $-K_X$ is not weakly balanced on $X$.

Let $Z$ be the union of singular fibers of $\pi$, $-K_Y$-lines in general smooth fibers $Y$, and the exceptional locus of the blow up to $\mathbb{P}^3$. Note that $-K_X$ is balanced with respect to every rational curve on $X$ which is not contained in $Z$.

## 4. del Pezzo surfaces

Let $X$ be a smooth projective surface with ample $-K_X$, i.e., a del Pezzo surface. These are classified by the degree of the canonical class $d := (K_X, K_X)$. Basic examples are $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$; more examples are obtained by blowing up $9 - d$ general points on $\mathbb{P}^2$. We have

- $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X) = 10 - d$;
- for $1 \leq d \leq 7$ the cone $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ is generated by classes of exceptional curves, i.e., smooth rational curves of self-intersection $-1$.

Let $L$ be a big line bundle on $X$. When is it balanced? The only subvarieties of $X$ on which we need to test the values of $a$ and $b$ are rational curves $C \subset X$, and $b(C, L|_C) = 1$.

It is easy to characterize curves breaking the balanced condition for the Fujita invariant. Let $Z$ be the union of exceptional curves, if $d > 1$. When $d = 1$, let $Z$ be the union of exceptional curves plus singular rational curves in $|-K_X|$.

**Lemma 30.** *Let $X$ be a del Pezzo surface of degree $d$, $C$ an irreducible rational curve with $(C, C) \neq -1$, and $L$ a big line bundle on $X$. Then*

$$(4.1) \qquad a(C, L|_C) \leq a(X, L),$$

*i.e., $L$ is weakly balanced on $X$ outside of $Z$.*

*Proof.* If $C$ is an irreducible rational curve with $(-K_X, C) = 1$ then $C \subseteq Z$. Indeed, if $(C, C) < 0$, then $(C, C) = -1$, by adjunction, and $C$ is exceptional. On the other hand, if $C$ and $-K_X$ are linearly independent, the Hodge index theorem implies that $d(C, C) - 1 < 0$, i.e., $(C, C) = -1$ or $0$. The second case is impossible since $(K_X, C) + (C, C)$ must be even. If $C$ and $-K_X$ are linearly dependent, then $d(C, C) - 1 = 0$ so that $d = 1$ and $C$ is a singular rational curve in $|-K_X|$.

Let $C \subset X$ be a rational curve which is not in $Z$. After rescaling, we may assume that $a(X, L) = 1$, in particular, we do not assume that $L$ is an

integral divisor. Writing $L + K_X = D$, where $D$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, and computing the intersection with $C$ we obtain

$$(L, C) = (-K_X, C) + (D, C) \geq (-K_X, C).$$

Since $C$ is not in $Z$, $(-K_X, C) \geq 2$, i.e., $(L, C) \geq 2$. It follows that

$$(L, C) + \deg(K_{\tilde{C}}) = (L, C) - 2 \geq 0,$$

where $\tilde{C}$ is the normalization of $C$, i.e., $a(C, L|_C) \leq 1$, as claimed. $\qquad\square$

We proceed with a characterization of $b(X, L)$. Consider the Zariski decomposition

$$a(X, L)L + K_X = P + E,$$

where $P$ is a nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and $E = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i E_i$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $(E_i, E_j) < 0$. We have $(P, E) = 0$. By basepoint freeness (see [KM98, Theorem 3.3]), $P$ is semi-ample and defines a semi-ample fibration

$$\pi : X \to B.$$

We have two cases:

*Case 1.* $B$ is a point. Then

$$a(X, L)L + K_X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i E_i$$

is rigid, which implies that the classes $E_i$ are linearly independent in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$. In particular, $\oplus_i \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} E_i$ is an extremal face of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$, and in fact the minimal extremal face containing $a(X, L)L + K_X$. It follows that

$$b(X, L) = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}(X) - n.$$

*Case 2.* $B$ is a smooth rational curve. Then the minimal extremal face containing

$$a(X, L)L + K_X = P + \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i E_i$$

is given by

$$\mathrm{NS}_\pi(X) \cap \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X) = \{P = 0\} \cap \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X),$$

where $\mathrm{NS}_\pi(X) \subset \mathrm{NS}(X)$ is the subspace generated by vertical divisors, i.e., divisors $D \subset X$ not dominating $B$. It follows that

$$b(X, L) = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}(X) - \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}_\pi(X) = 1.$$

**Proposition 31.** *Let $X$ be a del Pezzo surface and $L$ a big line bundle on $X$. Then $L$ is balanced if and only if $a(X, L)L + K_X = D$, where $D$ is a rigid effective divisor.*

*Proof.* Assume that $a(X, L) = 1$. In *Case 1*, we must have

$$L + K_X = D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i E_i, \quad e_i > 0,$$

with $E_i$ disjoint exceptional curves. Assume that $L$ is not balanced so that $b(X, L) = 1$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowdown of $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ and $h$ a hyperplane class on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then

$$L = -K_X + D = 3\pi^*h + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e_i - 1)E_i.$$

Let $C$ be an irreducible rational curve which is not in $Z$. If $C$ does not meet any of the $E_i$ then

$$(L, C) = (3\pi^*h, C) \geq 3 > 2.$$

If $C$ meets at least one of the $E_i$ then

$$(L, C) = (-K_X, C) + (D, C) > 2$$

since the first summand is $\geq 2$. It follows that $a(C, L_C) < 1$, i.e., $L$ is balanced, contradicting our assumption.

In *Case 2*, we have

$$L + K_X = D = P + \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i E_i, \quad e_i \geq 0,$$

where $P$ is nef and $E_i$ are disjoint exceptional divisors. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the fibration induced by the semi-ample line bundle $P$. The general fiber $F$ of $\pi$ is a conic and

$$\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X) - \operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}_\pi(X) = 1.$$

We have $(F, F) = 0$, $(-K_X, F) = 2$, and the class of $F$ is proportional to $P$. Hence, for any such $F$,

$$a(F, L|_F) = a(X, L), \quad b(F, L|_F) = b(X, L) = 1.$$

Thus $L$ is not balanced. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

## 5. Equivariant geometry

Let $G$ be a connected linear algebraic group, $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup, and $X$ a projective equivariant compactification of $X^\circ := H\backslash G$, a quasi-projective variety [Bor91a, Ch. II]. Applying equivariant resolution of singularities we may assume that $X$ is smooth and the boundary

$$\cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} D_\alpha = X \setminus X^\circ$$

is a divisor with normal crossings with irreducible components $D_\alpha$. If $H$ is a parabolic subgroup of a semi-simple group $G$, then there is no boundary, i.e., $\mathcal{A}$ is empty, and $H\backslash G$ is a generalized flag variety which was discussed in Section 3. Throughout, we will assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is not empty.

Let $\mathfrak{X}(G)^*$ be the group of algebraic characters of $G$ and

$$\mathfrak{X}(G, H)^* = \{\, \chi : G \to \mathbb{G}_m \,|\, \chi(hg) = \chi(g), \quad \forall h \in H \,\}$$

the subgroup of characters whose restrictions to $H$ are trivial. Let $\mathrm{Pic}^G(X)$ be the group of isomorphism classes of $G$-linearized line bundles on $X$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ the Picard group of $X$. For $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^G(X)$, the subgroup $H \subset G$ acts linearly on the fiber $L_x$ at $x = H \in H\backslash G$. This defines a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Pic}^G(X) \to \mathfrak{X}(H)^*$$

to characters of $H$. Let $\mathrm{Pic}^{(G,H)}(X)$ be the kernel of this map. We will identify line bundles and divisors with their classes in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$.

**Proposition 32.** *Let $G$ be a connected linear algebraic group and $H$ a closed subgroup of $G$. Let $X$ be a smooth projective equivariant compactification of $X^\circ := H\backslash G$ with a boundary $\cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} D_\alpha$. Then*

(1) *we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathfrak{X}(G, H)^* \to \oplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z} D_\alpha \to \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X^\circ) \to 0;$$

(2) *we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathfrak{X}(G, H)^*_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Pic}^{(G,H)}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}};$$

*and the last homomorphism is surjective when*

$$\mathfrak{C}(G, H) := \mathrm{Coker}(\mathfrak{X}(G)^* \to \mathfrak{X}(H)^*)$$

*or equivalently, $\mathrm{Pic}(X^\circ)$, is finite.*

(3) *we have a canonical injective homomorphism*

$$\Psi : \oplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Q} D_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^{(G,H)}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}};$$

*which is an isomorphism when $\mathfrak{C}(G, H)$ is finite.*

*Proof.* The first statement is easy. The second assertion follows from [MFK94, Corollary 1.6] and [KKV89, Proposition 3.2(i)].

For the last assertion: Corollary 1.6 of [MFK94] implies that some multiple of $D_\alpha$ is $G$-linearizable. We may assume that $G$ acts on the finite-dimensional vector space $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\alpha))$, via this $G$-linearization. Let $s_\alpha$ be the section corresponding to $D_\alpha$. Then $s_\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\alpha))$ is an eigenvector of the action by $G$. After multiplying by a character of $G$, if necessary, we may assume that $s_\alpha$ is fixed by the action of $G$. We let $\Phi(D_\alpha)$ be this $G$-linearization.

Suppose that $\Phi(\sum_\alpha d_\alpha D_\alpha) = \mathcal{O}_X$, with trivial $G$-linearization, where $d_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a rational function $f$ such that

$$\mathrm{div}(f) = \sum_\alpha d_\alpha D_\alpha.$$

We may assume that $f$ is a character of $G$ whose restriction to $H$ is trivial. By the definition of $\Phi$, the function $f$ must be fixed by the $G$-linearization.

This implies that $f \equiv 1$. When $\mathfrak{C}(G, H)$ is finite, the surjectivity of $\Phi$ follows from (1) and (2). □

**Proposition 33.** *Let $G$ be a connected linear algebraic group and $H$ a closed subgroup of $G$. Let $X$ be a smooth projective equivariant compactification of $X^\circ := H \backslash G$ with a boundary $\cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} D_\alpha$. If $\mathfrak{C}(G, H)$ is finite, then the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is big.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathfrak{g}$, resp. $\mathfrak{h}$, be the Lie algebra of $G$, resp. $H$. For any $\partial \in \mathfrak{g}$, there is a unique global vector field $\partial^X$ on $X$ such that for any open set $U \subset X$ and any $\mathsf{f} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$,
$$\partial^X(\mathsf{f})(x) = \partial_g \mathsf{f}(x \cdot g)|_{g=1}.$$
Let $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a lift of a basis for $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Consider the following global section of the anticanonical bundle $\det(\mathcal{T}_X)$:
$$\delta = \partial_1^X \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_n^X.$$
Note that this section is nonzero at $x = H \in H \backslash G = X^\circ$. The proof of [CLT02, Lemma 2.4] implies that $\delta$ vanishes along the boundary. Hence
$$\operatorname{div}(\delta) = \sum_\alpha d_\alpha D_\alpha + (\text{an effective divisor in } X^\circ),$$
where $n_\alpha > 0$. When $\mathfrak{C}(G, H)$ is finite, then $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ is generated by boundary components so that every ample divisor can be expressed as a linear combination of $D_\alpha$'s. This implies that $\sum_\alpha d_\alpha D_\alpha$ is big so $\operatorname{div}(\delta)$ is also big. □

From now on we consider the following situation: Let $H \subset M \subset G$ be connected linear algebraic groups. Typical examples arise when $G$ is a unipotent group or a product of absolutely simple groups and $H$ and $M$ are arbitrary subgroups such that $H \backslash M$ is connected. Let $X$ be a smooth projective $G$-equivariant compactification of $H \backslash G$, and $Y$ the induced compactification of $H \backslash M$.

**Lemma 34.** *Let $\pi : X \to X'$ be a $G$-equivariant morphism onto a projective equivariant compactification of $M \backslash G$. Assume that the projection $G \to M \backslash G$ admits a rational section. Then*
- *$\pi(D_\alpha) = X'$ if and only if $D_\alpha \cap Y \neq \emptyset$;*
- *if $D_\alpha \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ then $D_\alpha \cap Y$ is irreducible;*
- *if $D_\alpha \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and $D_{\alpha'} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, for $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ then $D_\alpha \cap Y \neq D_{\alpha'} \cap Y$.*

*Proof.* We have the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
H \backslash G & \subset & X & \supset & Y \\
\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\
M \backslash G & \subset & X' & \supset & M \cdot e = \text{point}
\end{array}
$$

The first claim is evident. To prove the second assertion, choose a rational section $\sigma : M\backslash G \dashrightarrow G$ of the projection $G \to M\backslash G$. We may assume that a rational section is well-defined at a point $M \in M\backslash G$. Consider the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_\alpha & \supset & D_\alpha^\circ \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
X' & \supset & M\backslash G
\end{array}
$$

where $D_\alpha^\circ = D_\alpha \cap \pi^{-1}(M\backslash G)$. We define a rational map

$$
\begin{array}{rccc}
\Psi : & D_\alpha^\circ & \dashrightarrow & D_\alpha \cap Y \\
& x & \mapsto & x \cdot (\sigma \circ \pi(x))^{-1}.
\end{array}
$$

Since $\Psi$ is dominant, $D_\alpha \cap Y$ is irreducible. Since the $G$-orbit of $D_\alpha \cap Y$ is $D_\alpha^\circ$, the third claim follows. $\qquad\square$

**Remark 35.** When $M$ is a connected solvable group, then $G$ is birationally isomorphic to $M \times (M\backslash G)$ so that the projection $G \to M\backslash G$ has a rational section. See [Bor91b, Corollary 15.8].

**Theorem 36.** *Let*

$$
H \subset M \subset G
$$

*be connected linear algebraic groups. Let $X$ be a smooth projective $G$-equivariant compactification of $H\backslash G$ and $Y \subset X$ the induced compactification of $H\backslash M$. Let $L$ be a big line bundle on $X$. Assume that*

- *the projection $G \to M\backslash G$ admits a rational section;*
- *and $D := a(X, L)L + K_X$ is a rigid effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor.*

*Furthermore, assume that either*

(1) *$\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ is finitely generated by effective divisors; or*
(2) *there exists a birational contraction map $f : X \dashrightarrow Z$ contracting $D$, where $Z$ is a normal projective variety.*

*Then $L$ is balanced with respect to $Y$.*

*Proof.* Let $X'$ be any smooth projective equivariant compactification of $M\backslash G$. We consider a $G$-rational map $\pi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ mapping

$$
\pi : G \ni g \mapsto Mg \in M\backslash G.
$$

After applying a $G$-equivariant resolution of the indeterminacy of the projection $\pi$ if necessary, we may assume that $\pi$ is a surjective morphism and $X$ is a smooth equivariant compactification of $H\backslash G$ with a boundary divisor $\cup_\alpha D_\alpha$. Note that $Y$ is a general fiber of $\pi$ so that $Y$ is smooth. Write the

rigid effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D = a(X, L)L + K_X$ by

$$D = a(X, L)L + K_X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i E_i,$$

where $E_i$'s are irreducible components of $a(X, L)L + K_X$ and $e_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. Our goal is to show that

$$(a(Y, L|_Y), b(Y, L|_Y)) < (a(X, L), b(X, L)).$$

Since the $E_i$'s are rigid effective divisors, they are boundary components. This implies that

$$a(X, L)L|_Y + K_Y = (a(X, L)L + K_X)|_Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i E_i|_Y \in \Lambda_{\text{eff}}(Y).$$

It follows that

$$a(Y, L|_Y) \leq a(X, L).$$

Assume that $a(Y, L|_Y) = a(X, L) =: a$. Let $F$ be the minimal supported face of $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)$ containing $D = aL + K_X = \sum e_i E_i$ and $V_F$ a vector subspace generated by $F$. Either condition (1) or (2) guarantees that $F$ is generated by $E_i$'s so that

$$b(X, L) = \text{rk} \, \text{NS}(X) - n.$$

(See Proposition 9 and Example 11.) Let $F'$ be the minimal supported face of $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(Y)$ containing

$$D|_Y = aL|_Y + K_Y = \sum e_i E_i|_Y.$$

Let $V'$ be a vector subspace generated by all components of $E_i \cap Y$. Since $F'$ contains all components of $E_i \cap Y$, we have $b(Y, L|_Y) \leq \text{codim}(V')$. Consider the restriction map:

$$\Phi : \text{NS}(X)/V_F \to \text{NS}(Y)/V'.$$

It follows from [KKV89, Proposition 3.2(i)], Lemma 34, and the exact sequence (1) in Proposition 32 that $\Phi$ is surjective. On the other hand, $\pi^*\text{NS}(X')$ is contained in the kernel of $\Phi$, so $\Phi$ has the nontrivial kernel. We conclude that

$$b(Y, L|_Y) \leq \text{codim}(V') < b(X, L).$$

$\square$

**Remark 37.** Conditions (1) and (2) can be replaced by the condition: the numerical dimension $\nu(D)$ is zero (see [Leh11] for definitions).

**Corollary 38.** *Let $H \subset M \subset G$ be connected linear algebraic groups and $X$ a smooth projective equivariant compactification of $H\backslash G$ with big anticanonical bundle. Let $Y \subset X$ be the induced compactification of $H\backslash M$. Assume*

*that the projection $G \to M \backslash G$ admits a rational section. Then $-K_X$ is balanced with respect to $Y$.*

**Example 39.** Let $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$, $M = B$, a Borel subgroup of $G$ and $H = 1$. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ be the standard equivariant compactification of $G$ given by

$$\mathrm{PGL}_2 \ni \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto [a : b : c : d] \in \mathbb{P}^3,$$

with boundary $D := \{ad - bc = 0\} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$; with boundary $D_Y = Y \setminus B = \ell_1 \cup \ell_2$, a union of two intersecting lines. We have $X' = \mathbb{P}^1$. The projection

$$\pi : X \dashrightarrow X'$$

has indeterminacy along one of lines, say $\ell_1$. Blowing up $\ell_1$, we obtain a fibration

$$\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1.$$

We have

$$a(\tilde{X}, -K_{\tilde{X}}) = a(\tilde{Y}, -K_{\tilde{X}}|_{\tilde{Y}}) = a(\tilde{Y}, -K_{\tilde{Y}}) = 1.$$

Every boundary component of $\tilde{X}$ dominates the base $\mathbb{P}^1$, since the $G$-action is transitive on the base. Lemma 34 shows that the number of boundary components of $\tilde{Y}$ is equal to the number of boundary components of $\tilde{X}$, which equals the rank of $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X}) = 2$. However, $\mathfrak{X}(B)^* = \mathbb{Z}$, and in particular, the rank of the Picard group of $\tilde{Y}$ is one less than the number of boundary components, i.e.,

$$b(\tilde{Y}, -K_{\tilde{X}}|_{\tilde{Y}}) = 1 < 2 = b(\tilde{X}, -K_{\tilde{X}}).$$

The existence of rational sections is important, and the second statement in Lemma 34 is not true in general:

**Example 40.** Consider the standard action of $\mathrm{PGL}_3$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\mathbb{P}^5$ be the space of conics and consider

$$X^\circ = \{T = (C, [p_1, p_2, p_3]) \in \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathrm{Hilb}^{[3]}(\mathbb{P}^2) \mid T \text{ satisfies } (*)\},$$

$$(*) : C \text{ is smooth, } p_i\text{'s are distinct, and } p_i \in C.$$

Let $X$ be the Zariski closure of $X^\circ$, it is the Hilbert scheme of conics with zero dimensional subschemes of length 3, and is a smooth equivariant compactification of a homogeneous space $S_3 \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_3$. Consider a $\mathbb{P}^2$-fibration $f : X \to \mathrm{Hilb}^{[3]}(\mathbb{P}^2)$, the fiber over a general point $Z \in \mathrm{Hilb}^{[3]}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^2$, parametrizing conics passing through $Z$. The degenerate cases correspond to two lines passing through $Z$; these form three boundary components $l_i$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$. However, general points on these components are on the same $\mathrm{PGL}_3$-orbit, so there exists an irreducible boundary divisor $D \subset X$ such that $D \cap f^{-1}(Z) = l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3$. In other words, there is a non-trivial monodromy action on $l_i$'s. However, the monodromy action on the Picard group is trivial, and the balancedness still holds with respect these fibers.

## 6. Toric varieties

Manin's conjecture for toric varieties was settled by Batyrev and Tschinkel via harmonic analysis on the associated adele groups in [BT98a] and [BT96a]. Implicitly, [BT96a] established a version of balancedness. Here, we will use MMP to determine balanced line bundles. We expect that these techniques would also be applicable to some non-equivariant varieties. We refer to [FS04] for details concerning toric Mori theory, though most of properties we use hold formally for Mori dream spaces.

We start by recalling basic facts regarding toric Mori theory, which also hold for all Mori dream spaces (see [HK00, Section 1]):

**Proposition 41** ($D$-Minimal Model Program). *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective toric variety and $D$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Then the minimal model program with respect to $D$ runs, i.e.,*

(1) *for any extremal ray $R$ of $\mathrm{NE}_1(X)$, there exists the contraction morphism $\varphi_R$;*

(2) *for any small contraction $\varphi_R$ of a $D$-negative extremal ray $R$, the $D$-flip $\psi : X \dashrightarrow X^+$ exists;*

(3) *any sequence of $D$-flips terminates in finite steps;*

(4) *and every nef line bundle is semi-ample.*

*Proof.* See Theorem 4.5, Theorem 4.8, Theorem 4.9, and Proposition 4.6 in [FS04]. □

**Proposition 42** (Zariski decomposition). *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective toric variety and $D$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-effective divisor. ApplyING $D$-MMP we obtain a birational contraction map $f : X \dashrightarrow X'$, with nef proper transform $D'$ of $D$. Consider a common resolution:*

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \tilde{X} & \\
{\scriptstyle \mu}\swarrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu} & \\
X & \dashrightarrow & X' \\
 & {\scriptstyle f} &
\end{array}
$$

*Then*

(1) $\mu^* D = \nu^* D' + E$, *where $E$ is a $\nu$-exceptional effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor;*

(2) *the support of $E$ contains all divisors contracted by $f$;*

(3) *if $g : \tilde{X} \to Y$ is the semi-ample fibration associated to $\nu^* D'$, then for any $\nu$-exceptional effective Cartier divisor $E'$, the natural map*

$$
\mathcal{O}_Y \to g_* \mathcal{O}(E'),
$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The assertions (1) and (2) follow from the Negativity lemma (see [FS04, Lemma 4.10]). Also see [FS04, Theorem 5.4]. □

The invariant $b(X, L)$ can be characterized in terms of Zariski decomposition of $a(X, L)L + K_X$:

**Proposition 43.** *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective toric variety and $D$ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$. Suppose that*

(1) *$D = P + N$, where $P$ is a nef and $N \geq 0$;*
(2) *let $g : X \to Y$ be the semi-ample fibration associated to $P$. For any effective Cartier divisor $E$ which is supported by $\mathrm{Supp}(N)$, the natural map*

$$\mathcal{O}_Y \to g_* \mathcal{O}(E),$$

   *is an isomorphism.*

*Then the minimal extremal face of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ containing $D$ is generated by vertical divisors of $g$ and components of $N$.*

*Proof.* When $D$ is big, the assertion is trivial. We may assume that $\dim(Y) < \dim(X)$. Let $F$ be the minimal extremal face of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ containing $D$. Since $F$ is extremal, it follows that $F$ contains all vertical divisors of $g$ and components of $N$.

On the other hand, our assumption implies that for general fiber $X_y$, $N|_{X_y}$ is a rigid divisor on $X_y$, and its irreducible components generate an extremal face $F'$ of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X_y)$. Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{NM}}_1(X_y)$ be a nef cycle supporting $F'$ and $F_\alpha := \{\alpha = 0\} \cap \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$. Since $X_y$ is a general fiber, $\alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{NM}}_1(X)$ so that $F_\alpha$ is an extremal face. Since $D \cdot \alpha = 0$ and $F$ is minimal we have $F \subset F_\alpha$. Let $D' \in F$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Since $D' \cdot \alpha = 0$, $D'$ is a sum of vertical divisors of $g$ and components of $N$; our assertion follows. $\square$

**Proposition 44.** *Let $X$ be a projective toric variety and $Y$ an equivariant compactification of a subtorus of codimension one (possibly singular). Let $L$ be a big line bundle on $X$. Then $L$ is weakly balanced with respect to $Y$.*

*Proof.* Let $M$ be the class of $\mathcal{O}_X(Y)$. Applying an equivariant embedded resolution of singularities, if necessary, we may assume that $X$ and $Y$ are smooth or at least $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal. Due to a group action of a torus, $Y$ is not rigid, so that

$$a(X, L)L|_Y + K_Y = (a(X, L)L + K_X)|_Y + M|_Y \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(Y).$$

Note that $a(X, L)L + K_X$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$. Thus we have

$$a(Y, L|_Y) \leq a(X, L).$$

Suppose that $a(Y, L|_Y) = a(X, L) =: a$. Let $D = aL + K_X + Y$ and consider the Zariski decomposition of $D$:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \tilde{X} \supset \tilde{Y} & \\
 & {}^{\mu}\swarrow \, \Big\downarrow{}^{\nu} & \\
D \subset X \dashrightarrow_{f} & X' \supset D', &
\end{array}
$$

where $D'$ is the strict transform of $D$, which is nef, and $\tilde{Y}$ is the strict transform of $Y$. We may assume that both $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ are smooth. Let $F$ be the minimal extremal face of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(\tilde{X})$ containing

$$a\mu^*L + K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{Y}.$$

Since $a\mu^*L + K_{\tilde{X}} \in F$, it follows that $\mathrm{codim}(F) \le b(X, L)$. Since $X$ has only terminal singularities, we have

$$a\mu^*L + K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{Y} = a\mu^*L + \mu^*K_X + \sum_i d_i E_i + \tilde{Y},$$

where $d_i$'s are positive integers and $E_i$'s are $\mu$-exceptional divisors. It follows that $F$ is the minimal extremal face containing $\mu^*D$ and all $\mu$-exceptional divisors. Let $g : \tilde{X} \to B$ be the semi-ample fibration associated to $\nu^*D'$. Note that $\dim(B) < \dim(\tilde{X})$ since $D$ is not big. Proposition 43 implies that $F$ is generated by all vertical divisors of $g$ and all $\nu$-exceptional divisors. We denote the vector space, generated by $F$, by $V_F$.

Let $F'$ be the minimal extremal face of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(\tilde{Y})$ containing

$$a\mu^*L|_{\tilde{Y}} + K_{\tilde{Y}} = (a\mu^*L + K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{M})|_{\tilde{Y}},$$

where $\tilde{M}$ be the class of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{Y})$. Then $F'$ is also the minimal extremal face containing $\mu^*D|_{\tilde{Y}}$ and all components of $(E_i \cap \tilde{Y})$'s so that $F'$ is the minimal extremal face containing $\nu^*D'|_{\tilde{Y}}$ and all components of $(G_j \cap \tilde{Y})$'s, where $G_j$'s are all $\nu$-exceptional divisors. In particular, $F'$ contains all vertical divisors of $g|_{\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \to H = g(\tilde{Y})$. Since $\nu^*D'$ admits a section vanishing along $\tilde{Y}$, $H$ is a Weil divisor of $B$, which is a subtoric variety. Let $V' \subset \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{Y})$ be a vector space generated by vertical divisors of $g|_{\tilde{Y}}$ and components of $(G_j \cap \tilde{Y})$'s. Then $b(Y, L) \le \mathrm{codim}(V')$. Consider the following restriction map:

$$\Phi : \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})/V_F \to \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{Y})/V'.$$

We claim that $\Phi$ is surjective. Let $N$ be an irreducible component of the boundary divisor of $\tilde{Y}$ which dominates $H$. There exists an irreducible component $N'$ of the boundary divisor of $\tilde{X}$ such that $N'$ contains $N$. Then $N'$ also dominates $B$. As in the proof of Lemma 34,

$$N' \cap \tilde{Y} = mN + (\text{vertical divisors of } g|_{\tilde{Y}}).$$

Our claim follows from this. Hence

$$b(Y, L) \le \mathrm{codim}(V') \le \mathrm{codim}(V_F) \le b(X, L).$$

$\square$

**Proposition 45.** *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal projective toric variety and $L$ a big line bundle on $X$. Suppose that the positive part of Zariski decomposition of $D := a(X, L)L + K_X$ is nontrivial. Then $L$ is not balanced.*

*Proof.* After blowing up, if necessary, we may assume that $D$ itself admits a Zariski decomposition

$$D = P + N,$$

where $P$ is a nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and $N \geq 0$ is the negative part. Let $g : X \to Y$ be the semi-ample fibration associated to $P$. We consider a general fiber $X_y$ of $g$. Since $a(X, L)L|_{X_y} + K_{X_y} = N|_{X_y}$ is a rigid effective divisor, we conclude that $a(X, L) = a(X_y, L|_{X_y})$. Let $V \subset \mathrm{NS}(X)$ be the vector space generated by vertical divisors of $g$ and components of $N$ and $V' \subset \mathrm{NS}(X_y)$ the vector space generated by components of $N|_{X_y}$. The restriction map

$$\Phi : \mathrm{NS}(X)/V \to \mathrm{NS}(X_y)/V',$$

is surjective, by Lemma 34. On the other hand, let $T$ be the big torus of $Y$. Then the preimage $g^{-1}(T)$ of $T$ is a product of $T$ and a general fiber $X_y$. It follows that $\Phi$ is injective. Thus we have

$$b(X, L) = b(X_y, L|_{X_y}).$$

Hence $L$ is not balanced on $X$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

An alternative proof of Theorem 36 for toric varieties is provided below:

**Proposition 46.** *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal projective toric variety, $L$ a big line bundle on $X$, and $Y$ an equivariant compactification of a subtorus of codimension one (possibly singular). Suppose that the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of $a(X, L)L + K_X$ is trivial. Then $L$ is balanced with respect to $Y$.*

*Proof.* We follow the notations in the proof of Proposition 44. We only need to explain why $b(Y, L|_Y) < b(X, L)$, when $a(Y, L|_Y) = a(X, L)$. Since $a\mu^* L + K_{\tilde{X}}$ is rigid, it follows that

$$\mathrm{codim}(V_F) < b(X, L).$$

Thus our assertion follows. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

**Corollary 47.** *Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal projective toric variety. A big line bundle $L$ is balanced with respect to all toric subvarieties if and only if $a(X, L)L + K_X$ is rigid.*

**Remark 48.** Propositions 44 and 46 hold when $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal and $Y$ a general *smooth* divisor. The proofs work with small modifications. However, we still do not know whether they hold for *singular* divisors.

**Example 49.** Consider the standard action of $\mathbb{G}_m^3 = \{(t_0, t_1, t_2)\}$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ by

$$(t_0, t_1, t_2) \cdot (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \mapsto (t_0 x_0 : t_1 x_1 : t_2 x_2 : x_3).$$

Consider the subtorus

$$M = \{(t_0, t_1, (t_0 t_1)^{-1})\} \subset \mathbb{G}_m^3,$$

and let $S$ be the equivariant compactification of $M$ defined by

$$x_0 x_1 x_2 = x_3^3.$$

This is a singular cubic surface with three isolated singularities of type $\mathsf{A}_2$. We denote them by $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbb{P}^3$. Since they are fixed under the action of $\mathbb{G}_m^3$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$, the blowup $B := \mathrm{Bl}_{p_1, p_2, p_3}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ is an equivariant compactification of $\mathbb{G}_m^3$. Moreover, the closure $\tilde{S}$ of $M$ in $B$ is the minimal desingularization of $S$ and the class of $\tilde{S}$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(B)$ is ample. Put $X := B \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $Y := \tilde{S} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We have a diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \longleftarrow & Y \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B & \longleftarrow & \tilde{S},
\end{array}
$$

Then $Y$ is a nef divisor, and we have

$$\mathrm{rk}\, \mathrm{NS}(Y) = 8 > \mathrm{rk}\, \mathrm{NS}(X) = 5.$$

However, the anticanonical class $-K_X$ is still balanced with respect to $Y$ since

$$a(Y, -K_X|_Y) = a(X, -K_X) = 1$$
$$b(Y, -K_X|_Y) = 1 < b(X, -K_X) = 5.$$

This shows that, in general, we cannot expect to control the subgroup of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ generated by vertical divisors. In the proof of Proposition 44, we were able to control the *quotient* by this subgroup.

## References

[Abr09]　D. Abramovich. Birational geometry for number theorists. In *Arithmetic geometry*, volume 8 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 335–373. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[BCHM10]　C. Birkar, P. Cascini, Chr. D. Hacon, and James McKernan. Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23(2):405–468, 2010.

[BDPP13]　Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Paun, and Thomas Peternell. The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 22(2):201–248, 2013.

[Beh06]　Roya Beheshti. Lines on projective hypersurfaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 592:1–21, 2006.

[BFJ09]　Sébastien Boucksom, Charles Favre, and Mattias Jonsson. Differentiability of volumes of divisors and a problem of Teissier. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 18(2):279–308, 2009.

[BM90]　V. V. Batyrev and Yu. I. Manin. Sur le nombre des points rationnels de hauteur borné des variétés algébriques. *Math. Ann.*, 286(1-3):27–43, 1990.

[Bor91a]　Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.

[Bor91b]   Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.

[Bou04]    Sébastien Boucksom. Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 37(1):45–76, 2004.

[BT95]     Victor V. Batyrev and Yuri Tschinkel. Rational points of bounded height on compactifications of anisotropic tori. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (12):591–635, 1995.

[BT96a]    V. Batyrev and Yu. Tschinkel. Height zeta functions of toric varieties. *J. Math. Sci.*, 82(1):3220–3239, 1996. Algebraic geometry, 5.

[BT96b]    V. V. Batyrev and Y. Tschinkel. Rational points on some Fano cubic bundles. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 323(1):41–46, 1996.

[BT98a]    V. V. Batyrev and Y. Tschinkel. Manin's conjecture for toric varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 7(1):15–53, 1998.

[BT98b]    V. V. Batyrev and Y. Tschinkel. Tamagawa numbers of polarized algebraic varieties. *Astérisque*, (251):299–340, 1998. Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée (Paris, 1996).

[BT99]     F. A. Bogomolov and Yu. Tschinkel. On the density of rational points on elliptic fibrations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 511:87–93, 1999.

[Cam04]    F. Campana. Orbifolds, special varieties and classification theory. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 54(3):499–630, 2004.

[CLT02]    A. Chambert-Loir and Y. Tschinkel. On the distribution of points of bounded height on equivariant compactifications of vector groups. *Invent. Math.*, 148(2):421–452, 2002.

[Cut86]    Steven D. Cutkosky. Zariski decomposition of divisors on algebraic varieties. *Duke Math. J.*, 53(1):149–156, 1986.

[Deb03]    Olivier Debarre. Lines on smooth hypersurfaces, 2003. www.math.ens.fr/∼debarre/Lines_hypersurfaces.pdf.

[FMT89]    J. Franke, Y. I. Manin, and Y. Tschinkel. Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 95(2):421–435, 1989.

[FS04]     O. Fujino and H. Sato. Introduction to the toric Mori theory. *Michigan Math. J.*, 52(3):649–665, 2004.

[Fuj87]    T. Fujita. On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 167–178. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[Fuj92]    T. Fujita. On Kodaira energy and adjoint reduction of polarized manifolds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 76(1):59–84, 1992.

[Fuj96]    T. Fujita. On Kodaira energy of polarized log varieties. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 48(1):1–12, 1996.

[Fuj97]    T. Fujita. On Kodaira energy and adjoint reduction of polarized threefolds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 94(2):211–229, 1997.

[GH78]     Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978. Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[GTBT11]   A. Gorodnik, R. Takloo-Bighash, and Y. Tschinkel. Multiple mixing for adele groups and rational points, 2011. arXiv:1112.5743.

[HK00]     Yi Hu and Sean Keel. Mori dream spaces and GIT. *Michigan Math. J.*, 48:331–348, 2000. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

[HT99]     B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel. Geometry of equivariant compactifications of $\mathbf{G}_a^n$. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (22):1211–1230, 1999.

[HT00a]    J. Harris and Y. Tschinkel. Rational points on quartics. *Duke Math. J.*, 104(3):477–500, 2000.

[HT00b]    Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel. Abelian fibrations and rational points on symmetric products. *Internat. J. Math.*, 11(9):1163–1176, 2000.

[KKV89]    Friedrich Knop, Hanspeter Kraft, and Thierry Vust. The Picard group of a *G*-variety. In *Algebraische Transformationsgruppen und Invariantentheorie*, volume 13 of *DMV Sem.*, pages 77–87. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989.

[KM98]     János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.

[KMM87]    Yujiro Kawamata, Katsumi Matsuda, and Kenji Matsuki. Introduction to the minimal model problem. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 283–360. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[KMM92]    János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori. Rationally connected varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1(3):429–448, 1992.

[Laz04a]   Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.

[Laz04b]   Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, volume 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.

[Leh11]    Brian Lehmann. Comparing numerical dimensions, 2011. `arXiv:1103.0440`.

[Leh12]    Brian Lehmann. A cone theorem for nef curves. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 21(3):473–493, 2012.

[LT10]     J. M. Landsberg and Orsola Tommasi. On the Debarre-de Jong and Beheshti-Starr conjectures on hypersurfaces with too many lines. *Michigan Math. J.*, 59(3):573–588, 2010.

[MFK94]    D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*, volume 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1994.

[MU83]     Shigeru Mukai and Hiroshi Umemura. Minimal rational threefolds. In *Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982)*, volume 1016 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 490–518. Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[Pey95]    E. Peyre. Hauteurs et mesures de Tamagawa sur les variétés de Fano. *Duke Math. J.*, 79(1):101–218, 1995.

[Som86]    A. J. Sommese. On the adjunction theoretic structure of projective varieties. In *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry (Göttingen, 1985)*, volume 1194 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 175–213. Springer, Berlin, 1986.

[Tsc09]    Y. Tschinkel. Algebraic varieties with many rational points. In *Arithmetic geometry*, volume 8 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 243–334. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

Department of Mathematics, Rice University, MS 136, Houston, Texas 77251-1892, USA
*E-mail address*: `hassett@rice.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Rice University, MS 136, Houston, Texas 77251-1892, USA
*E-mail address*: `tanimoto@math.rice.edu`

Courant Institute, New York University, New York, NY 10012, USA
*E-mail address*: `tschinkel@cims.nyu.edu`

Simons Foundation, 160 Fifth Av., New York, NY 10010