

## 6. Transition to Continuous Space. Random Walks and the Heat equation.

Consider the following Markov Process on the integers  $Z = \{i : -\infty < i < \infty\}$ . The process  $x_n$  starts from 0 at time  $n = 0$  and at each step moves one unit to the right with probability  $p$  or one unit to the left with probability  $q = 1 - p$ . The choices at successive steps are made independently, but always with the same probabilities  $p$  and  $q$  for moving right or left. This clearly defines a Markov Process on  $Z$  with

$$\pi_{i,i+1} = p; \quad \pi_{i,i-1} = q; \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |i - j| \neq 1$$

the  $n$  step transition probabilities are easy to calculate.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{i,j}^{(n)} &= 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad |j - i| \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad j - i = n \pmod{2} \\ \pi_{i,j}^{(n)} &= \binom{n}{r} p^r q^{n-r} \quad \text{where} \quad 2r - n = j - i \end{aligned}$$

One can obtain this formula by noting that out of the  $n$  steps  $r$  were to the right and the remaining  $n - r$  were to the left  $x_n = i + r - (n - r) = i + 2r - n$  and  $x_n = j$  if  $j - i = 2r - n$ . this is possible only if  $j - i = n \pmod{2}$ , and the probability is given by the binomial distribution. The law of large numbers for the binomial says that for large  $n$  the probability is nearly 1 that  $\frac{r}{n}$  is close to  $p$ . More precisely, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r: |\frac{r}{n} - p| \leq \epsilon} \binom{n}{r} p^r q^{n-r} = 1$$

This means that for any bounded continuous function  $f$  on  $R$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[f(\frac{x_n}{n}) | x_0 = 0] = f(p - q)$$

If we denote by  $P$  the operator

$$(Pu)(i) = pu(i + 1) + (1 - p)u(i - 1)$$

Our statement concerns the function  $u_n(i) = f(\frac{i}{n})$  and the claim is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P^n u_n)(0) = p - q$$

Let us see if we can figure out why this is true. Let us rescale space and time by a step size of  $h = \frac{1}{n}$ . Then

$$(Pu)(x) = pu(x + h) + qu(x - h)$$

Let us define  $u(kh, x) = (P^k u)(x)$ . We see that

$$u((k+1)h, x) = pu(kh, x+h) + qu(kh, x-h)$$

Or

$$\frac{1}{h}[u((k+1)h, x) - u(kh, x)] = \frac{1}{h}p[u(kh, x+h) - u(kh, x)] + \frac{1}{h}q[u(kh, x-h) - u(kh, x)]$$

Passing to the limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$u_t = (p - q)u_x$$

The solution with  $u(0, x) = f(x)$  is given by

$$u(t, x) = f(x + (p - q)t)$$

We are interested in  $u(1, 0)$  which is  $f(p - q)$ . The law of large numbers for the binomial is just the approximation of a first order PDE by difference equations.

Let us now consider the case where  $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$ . Now  $P^n u_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ , so nothing much happens. The space scale has to be  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  to get something significant. In the probabilistic setting we are looking at  $\frac{x_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  which satisfies the central limit theorem and the correct behavior is with  $u(x) = f(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}})$

$$(P^n u_n)(0) \rightarrow \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f(y) e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$$

This is just as before except we get

$$\frac{1}{h}[u((k+1)h, x) - u(kh, x)] = \frac{1}{2h}[u(kh, x+\sqrt{h}) - u(kh, x)] + \frac{1}{2h}q[u(kh, x-\sqrt{h}) - u(kh, x)]$$

and passing to the limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we get the heat equation

$$(1) \quad u_t = \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}$$

with  $u(0, x) = f(x)$ . The solution is given by

$$u(t, x) = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} f(y) e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}} dy$$

and  $u(1, 0)$  is then

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f(y) e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$$

In other words, the central limit theorem for the binomial (with  $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$ ) can be interpreted as the convergence of the solution of

$$u(t+h, x) = \frac{1}{2}[u(t, x + \sqrt{h}) + u(t, x - \sqrt{h})]$$

to the corresponding solution of the heat equation (1).

We can have time varying continuously, and consider a Markov process on  $Z$  with transition rates

$$a_{i,i+1} = a_{i,i-1} = \frac{1}{2}; \quad a_{i,i} = -1; \quad \text{and} \quad a_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

The expectation

$$u(t, i) = E[f(x(t)) | x(0) = i]$$

will satisfy

$$\frac{du(t, i)}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}[u(t, i+1) + u(t, i-1) - 2u(t, i)]; \quad u(0, i) = f(i)$$

If we rescale space by  $\sqrt{h}$  and time by  $h$ , the equations become

$$\frac{du(t, x)}{dt} = \frac{1}{2h}[u(t, x + \sqrt{h}) + u(t, x - \sqrt{h}) - 2u(t, x)]; \quad u(0, x) = f(x)$$

which again converges to the solution of the heat equation. What we have is again a central limit theorem for the distribution of  $\frac{x(t)}{\sqrt{t}}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

### Examples.

1. We will show that the distribution of  $x(t)$  is the distribution of the difference  $X_1 - X_2$  of two independent Poisson random variables with parameters  $\frac{t}{2}$ . Let us try  $f(i) = e^{\lambda i}$ . The solution  $u(t, i)$  can be obtained by separation of variables. Set  $u(t, i) = e^{\lambda i} g(\lambda, t)$ . Then,

$$\frac{dg}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}[e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda} - 2]g; \quad g(\lambda, 0) = 1$$

will do it. This gives

$$g(\lambda, t) = \exp\left[\frac{t}{2}[(e^{\lambda} - 1) + (e^{-\lambda} - 1)]\right] = E[e^{\lambda(X_1 - X_2)}]$$

with  $X_1, X_2$  having independent Poisson distributions with parameter  $\frac{t}{2}$ .

Suppose  $\pi_h(x, y)dy$  is the transition density of a Markov chain, with  $h$  representing the time step. Let us make the following assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_x \left| \int (y - x) \pi_h(x, y) dy \right| &= o(h) \\ \sup_x \left| \int (y - x)^2 \pi_h(x, y) dy - h \right| &= o(h) \\ \sup_x \int (y - x)^4 \pi_h(x, y) dy &= o(h)\end{aligned}$$

Then the function

$$u_h(n, x) = E[f(x_n) | x_0 = x]$$

converges to the solution  $u$  of the heat equation (1) provided  $nh \rightarrow t$ .

**Proof:** Let us start with the solution  $u(t, x)$  of the heat equation which we will assume is a smooth function of  $t$  and  $x$ . Let

$$\Delta_k = E[u(t - (k + 1)h, x_{k+1}) | x_0 = x] - E[u(t - kh, x_k) | x_0 = x]$$

Assuming  $nh = t$ , this is a telescoping sum and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Delta_k = u_h(n, x) - u(t, x)$$

It is therefore sufficient to prove that each

$$\Delta_k = o(h)$$

If we expand by Taylor's formula

$$\begin{aligned}u(t - (k + 1)h, y) - u(t - kh, x) &= -hu_t(t - kh, x) + u_x(t - kh, x)(y - x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}(t - kh, x)(y - x)^2 + \text{Remainder}\end{aligned}$$

We can estimate

$$E[u(t - (k + 1)h, x_{k+1}) - u(t - kh, x_k) | x_k = x]$$

by  $o(h)$ , because upon integrating with  $\pi_h(x, y)$ , with errors that are  $o(h)$ , we get  $h(u_t - \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}) = 0$ . The remainder term can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}\int |y - x|^3 \pi_h(x, y) dy &\leq \left( \int |y - x|^2 \pi_h(x, y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int |y - x|^4 \pi_h(x, y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq o(h)\end{aligned}$$

To go from  $E[Q | x_k]$  to  $E[Q | x_0]$  is easy because the conditional expectation of anything that is  $o(h)$  is still  $o(h)$ .

**Remarks:**

1. We have assumed that  $\pi_h(x, y)dy$  is given by a density only for convenience. In principle  $\pi_h(x, \cdot)$  is just the probability distribution of  $x_1$  given  $x(0) = x$ , and does not have to be given by a density. It can be a discrete distribution as well.

2. The first two assumptions say that to within  $o(h)$  the infinitesimal ‘mean’ is 0 and the infinitesimal ‘variance’ is  $h$ .

3. The third condition is important and needs to be understood. A random variable  $X$  with mean 0 and variance  $h$  can come in different shapes. For example  $X$  can be  $\pm\sqrt{h}$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  each. Or  $X$  can be 0 with probability  $1 - h$  and  $\pm 1$  with probability  $\frac{h}{2}$  each. In both cases the mean and variance check out. However  $E[X^4] = h^2$  in the first case and  $h$  in the second. The clue is in the calculation of

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} E[f(X) - f(0)] = \frac{1}{2} f_{xx}(0)$$

in the first case and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} E[f(X) - f(0)] = \frac{1}{2} [f(1) + f(-1) - 2f(0)]$$

in the second.

**Examples:**

2\*. (Compare with Ex 3\* of section 2). Suppose  $\pi_h(x, y)$  satisfies

$$\sup_x \left| \int (y - x) \pi_h(x, y) dy - hb(x) \right| = o(h)$$

and

$$\sup_x \left| \int (y - x)^2 \pi_h(x, y) dy \right| = o(h)$$

for some nice smooth bounded function  $b(x)$ , prove the ‘law of large numbers’

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ n \rightarrow \infty \\ nh \rightarrow t}} P[|x_n - g(t)| \geq \epsilon | x_0 = x] \rightarrow 0$$

where  $g(t)$  is the value of the solution at time  $t$  of

$$\frac{dg}{ds} = b(g(s)); \quad g(0) = x$$

Note that it is sufficient to prove

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ n \rightarrow \infty \\ nh \rightarrow t}} u_h(n, x) = \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ n \rightarrow \infty \\ nh \rightarrow t}} E[f(x_n) | x_0 = x] = u(t, x) = f(g(t))$$

where  $u$  solves  $u_t = b(x)u_x$  with  $u(0, x) = f(x)$ .