

September 23, 2009.

**Sequences, limits and series (continued).**

If  $f(x)$  is function defined on  $[a, \infty)$  i.e for all  $x \geq a$  we can talk about

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \ell$$

This means given any accuracy, i.e, a small number  $\epsilon$

$$|f(x) - \ell| \leq \epsilon$$

for all sufficiently large  $x$ , i.e. for  $x \geq A$ . One can sometimes use L'Hospital's rule to determine the limit. If  $f(x) \rightarrow \ell$  as  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$  and  $a_n = f(n)$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow \ell$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is obvious because, if some thing is true for all  $x \geq A$ , it is of course true for all integers larger than  $A$ . The converse is not always true.  $f(x) = \sin 2x\pi$  is a periodic function and has no limit. But if you look at it points of the form  $y + 2n\pi$ , then

$$a_n = \sin(y + 2n\pi) = \sin y \rightarrow \sin y$$

You can use this to calculate some limits.

Example:

$$a_n = n^k e^{-cn}$$

for any power  $k$  and  $c > 0$ . We look at function

$$f(x) = x^k e^{-cx}$$

for  $x \geq 1$ . We write it as

$$f(x) = \frac{x^k}{e^{cx}}$$

If  $k > 0, c > 0$  this is of the form  $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$ . If  $k \leq 0, c > 0$  then the numerator is not big and the denominator gets big for large  $x$  and the limit is 0. When  $k > 0$  apply L'Hospital's rule. Then we reduce the problem to

$$\frac{k}{c} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{k-1}}{e^{cx}}$$

i.e  $k$  become  $k - 1$ . The power reduces by 1. If we keep repeating the rule eventually  $k$  becomes 0 if we start from an integer value or less than 0 otherwise. In any case

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^k e^{-cx} = 0$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^k e^{-cn} = 0$$

In other words  $e^{cn}$  is much bigger than  $n^k$  for any  $k$ . We write  $e^{cn} \gg n^k$ .

Example: Ratio of polynomials.

$$a_n = \frac{a_0 n^k + a_1 n^{k-1} + \cdots + a_k}{b_0 n^p + b_1 n^{p-1} + \cdots + b_p}$$

with  $a_0, b_0$  not equal to 0. Look at

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0 x^k + a_1 x^{k-1} + \cdots + a_k}{b_0 x^p + b_1 x^{p-1} + \cdots + b_p}$$

There are three cases.  $k < p, k = p, k > p$ . In the first case, after applying the rule  $k$  times, we end up with

$$\frac{a_0(k!)}{b_0[p(p-1)\cdots(p-k+1)]x^{p-k}+\cdots}$$

which tends to 0. In the second case we end up with

$$\frac{a_0(k!)}{b_0(k!)} = \frac{a_0}{b_0}$$

which is the limit. In the third case we end up with

$$\frac{a_0[k(k-1)\cdots(k-p+1)]x^{k-p}+\cdots}{b_0(p!)}$$

which tends to  $\pm\infty$  depending on the sign of  $\frac{a_0}{b_0}$ .

Example: If  $f$  is continuous at  $x = \ell$  and  $a_n \rightarrow \ell$  then  $f(a_n) \rightarrow f(\ell)$ . This can be used; for instance

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{1 + e^{-n}} = 1$$

A sequence  $a_n$  is bounded above if there is a number  $M$  such that

$$a_n \leq M$$

for all  $n$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{1 + e^{-n}} = 1$$

and a sequence  $a_n$  is bounded below if there is a number  $m$  such that

$$a_n \geq m$$

for all  $n$ . A bounded sequence is one that is bounded above and below. We can take the bounds to be  $\pm M$  so that we have

$$|a_n| \leq M$$

for all  $n$ . A convergent sequence is always bounded, but the converse is not true. If a sequence is convergent to a limit  $\ell$  then

$$|a_n - \ell| \leq 1$$

for  $n \geq k$  some  $k$ . Then

$$M = \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_k|, |\ell| + 1\}$$

will work. A monotone increasing sequence is bounded below and will converge to a limit if it is bounded above. The limit is actually the least upper bound, defined as the smallest number which is still an upper bound.

Example:

$$a_n = \arctan n$$

$$f(x) = \arctan x; \quad f'(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \geq 0$$

So  $\arctan x$  is increasing. It is also bounded by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . In fact the limit is  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

### Home Work.

Determine for each of the following sequences if it converges, is bounded but does not converge or unbounded.

$$1. \quad a_n = n \sin n$$

$$2. \quad a_n = \sin(n^2 + 1)$$

$$3. \quad a_n = \frac{n}{n+1}$$

$$4. \quad a_n = (-2)^n$$

$$5. \quad a_n = 11(-1)^n$$