

Solving systems of linear equations

This is one of the most common tasks, and care must be taken to do it well and efficiently.

Applications (1) Newton's Method

$$J(x_n)(x_{n+1} - x_n) = -F(x_n)$$

$$F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad J: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{the space} \\ \text{of real} \\ n \times n \text{ matrices} \end{array} \right)$$

(2) Data analysis - least squares

(3) Optimization

(4) BVPs in PDE

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, find $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

s.t. $Ax = b$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

One obvious approach: Find the inverse of A , $A^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, satisfying $I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$
 $A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = I$

The inverse matrix A^{-1} exists iff $\det(A) \neq 0$, in which case we call A nonsingular or invertible.

If A is nonsingular, then

$$x = A^{-1}b$$

Is this the way to do it? No. Finding A^{-1} directly is very expensive (time-consuming)

Constructing A^{-1} . Say you have a method for solving $Ax = b$. Then solve

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} Ax^1 = e^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ Ax^2 = e^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots \\ Ax^n = e^n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right\} \text{and } A^{-1} = [x^1, \dots, x^n]$$

↑
unit vectors

(matrix of column vectors)

If nothing special is done, this is $n \times$ the cost of directly solving $Ax = b$ in the 1st case. [You might want to do this if you will use A^{-1} repeatedly]

or Cramer's Rule $x_i = \frac{\det(A_i^b)}{\det(A)}$

$$A_i^b = [A^1, \dots, A^{i-1}, b, A^{i+1}, \dots, A^n]$$

↑ replace i^{th} column.

$\det(A)$ costs $\sim n!$ floating point ops (flops)
(+, -, \times , \div)
Much, much cheaper ways.

Gaussian elimination (C.F. Gauss)

Successive elimination of rows. $Ax = b$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ -1 & 5 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 16 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \begin{bmatrix} r_1^0 \\ r_2^0 \\ r_3^0 \end{bmatrix} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 & 16 \\ -1 & 5 & -4 & -3 \end{array} \right]$$

↑ add multiples of 1st row to eliminate the 1st elements of lower rows.

i.e. $r_2^1 \leftarrow r_2^0 - 2 \cdot r_1^0$ ①

$r_3^1 \leftarrow r_3^0 + 1 \cdot r_1^0$ ② or $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & | & 4 \\ 0 & 6 & -3 & | & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

This turns out to be equivalent to successive multiplication by lower triangular matrices L_1 & L_2 .

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ l_{n1} & \dots & l_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \diagdown & & \\ & \diagdown & \\ & & \diagdown & \\ & & & \dots & \diagdown \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I + \mu_{21} e^2 (e^1)^T, \quad \mu_{21} = -2$$

$$L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I + \mu_{31} e^3 (e^1)^T, \quad \mu_{31} = 1$$

A^{21} A^{31} rank-one matrices. $(ab^T)_{ij} = a_i b_j$

$$e^k (e^l)^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \dots 1 \dots 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & 1_{k,l} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\leftarrow k^{\text{th}}$ $\uparrow l^{\text{th}}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & | & 4 \\ 0 & 6 & -3 & | & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & | & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & | & -9 \end{bmatrix}; \quad L_3 = I - 3 e^3 (e^2)^T$$

$$= I + \mu_{32} A^{32}$$

We now have

$$Ux = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 4 \\ -9 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \tilde{b} \quad \text{Solve by "back-substitution"}$$

\uparrow upper triangular

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Before moving on, we have

$$Ax = b$$

$$L_3 L_2 L_1 A x = U x = L_3 L_2 L_1 b = \tilde{b}$$

$$L_3 L_2 L_1 A = U \Rightarrow A = \underset{\substack{\text{if } L_i^{-1} \\ \text{exists}}}{L_1^{-1} L_2^{-1} L_3^{-1}} U$$

Fact $(I + \mu e^k (e^l)^T)^{-1} = I - \mu e^k (e^l)^T$
 $k \neq l$

Check $(I + \mu e^k (e^l)^T)(I - \mu e^k (e^l)^T)$
 $= I + (\mu - \mu) e^k (e^l)^T - \mu^2 e^k (e^l)^T e^k (e^l)^T$
 $= I \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{special case of} \\ \text{the Sherman-} \\ \text{Morrison Formula} \end{array} \right] e^l \cdot e^k = \delta_{kl}$

$$L_1^{-1} L_2^{-1} L_3^{-1} = (I - \mu_1 e^2 (e^1)^T)(I - \mu_2 e^3 (e^1)^T)(I - \mu_3 e^3 (e^2)^T)$$
$$= I + \alpha_1 e^2 (e^1)^T + \alpha_2 e^3 (e^1)^T + \alpha_3 e^3 (e^2)^T$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{"lower unit triangular"}$$

$A = LU$ "matrix decomposition"

Triangular Matrices

$L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called "lower triangular" if

$$l_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

i.e. $L = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{1n} & \dots & l_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$

"unit lower triangular" ∇

$$l_{11} = l_{22} = \dots = l_{nn} = 1$$

& similarly for upper triangular matrices.

Properties of lower triangular matrices

(1) If L_1, L_2 (unit) lower triangular matrices then $L_3 = L_1 L_2$ is a (unit) lower Δ matrix.

(2) lower triangular matrices are n.s. iff $l_{ii} \neq 0 \quad 0 \leq i \leq n$. Why?

$$\det L = \prod_{i=1}^n l_{ii}$$

(3) If L , a (unit) lower Δ matrix, is n.s.

then L^{-1} is also a (unit) lower Δ matrix

Pf. By induction on matrix dimension n :

$n=2$ $L = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ $a, c \neq 0$ since L is n.s.

By direct calculation $L^{-1} = \frac{1}{ac} \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$ \checkmark

Assume true for any $n \times n$ lower Δ matrix

Let $L \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$, write L as

$$L = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} L_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \hline \text{lower } \Delta & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \alpha \end{array} \\ \hline -r^T & \end{array} \right]; \quad L^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} L_1' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \hline & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \mu \end{array} \\ \hline -s^T & \end{array} \right]$$

$$LL^{-1} = I \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \hline & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \\ \hline & \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} L_1 L_1' & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ L_1 c \end{array} \\ \hline r^T L_1' + \alpha s^T & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \beta \end{array} \\ \hline & \end{array} \right]$$

By assumption $L_1 L_1' = I$
 $\Rightarrow L_1' = L_1^{-1}$ is lower Δ

$L_1 c = 0$, L_1 n.s. $\Rightarrow c = 0$ ✓ done.

Also $r^T L_1' + \alpha s^T = 0$