## PDE for Finance, Spring 2003 – Homework 6 Distributed 4/21/03, due 5/5/03. Includes a hint for problem 4.

1) This problem develops a continuous-time analogue of the simple Bertsimas & Lo model of "Optimal control of execution costs" presented in the Section 7 notes. The state is (w, p), where w is the number of shares yet to be purchased and p is the current price per share. The control  $\alpha(s)$  is the rate at which shares are purchased. The state equation is:

$$dw = -\alpha \, ds \text{ for } t < s < T, \quad w(t) = w_0$$
  
$$dp = \theta \alpha \, ds + \sigma dz \text{ for } t < s < T, \quad p(t) = p_0$$

where dz is Brownian motion and  $\theta$ ,  $\sigma$  are fixed constants. The goal is to minimize, among (nonanticipating) controls  $\alpha(s)$ , the expected cost

$$E\left\{\int_t^T [p(s)\alpha(s) + \theta\alpha^2(s)]\,ds + [p(T)w(T) + \theta w^2(T)]\right\}.$$

The optimal expected cost is the value function  $u(w_0, p_0, t)$ .

(a) Show that the HJB equation for u is

$$u_t + H(u_w, u_p, p) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}u_{pp} = 0$$

for t < T, with Hamiltonian

$$H(u_w, u_p, p) = -\frac{1}{4\theta}(p + \theta u_p - u_w)^2.$$

The final value is of course

$$u(w, p, T) = pw + \theta w^2.$$

(b) Look for a solution of the form  $u(w, p, t) = pw + g(t)w^2$ . Show that g solves

$$\dot{g} = \frac{1}{4\theta} (\theta - 2g)^2$$

for t < T, with  $g(T) = \theta$ . Notice that u does not depend on  $\sigma$ , i.e. setting  $\sigma = 0$  gives the same value function.

- (c) Solve for g. (Hint: start by rewriting the equation for g, "putting all the g's on the left and all the t's on the right".)
- (d) Show by direct examination of your solution that the optimal  $\alpha(s)$  is constant.

(Food for thought: what happens if one takes the running cost to be  $\int_t^T p(s)\alpha(s) ds$  instead of  $\int_t^T p(s)\alpha(s) + \theta \alpha^2(s) ds$ ?)

2) The Section 7 notes discuss work by Bertsimas, Kogan, and Lo involving least-square replication of a European option. The analysis there assumes all trades are *self-financing*, so the value of the portfolio at consecutive times is related by

$$V_j - V_{j-1} = \theta_{j-1}(P_j - P_{j-1}).$$

Let's consider what happens if trades are permitted to be non-self-financing. This means we introduce an additional control  $g_j$ , the amount of cash added to (if  $g_j > 0$ ) or removed from (if  $g_j < 0$ ) the portfolio at time j, and the portfolio values now satisfy

$$V_j - V_{j-1} = \theta_{j-1}(P_j - P_{j-1}) + g_{j-1}.$$

It is natural to add a quadratic expression involving the g's to the objective: now we seek to minimize

$$E\left[(V_N - F(P_N))^2 + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} g_j^2\right]$$

where  $\alpha$  is a positive constant. The associated value function is

$$J_i(V,P) = \min_{\theta_i, g_i; \dots; \theta_{N-1}, g_{N-1}} E_{V_i = V, P_i = P} \left[ (V_N - F(P_N))^2 + \alpha \sum_{j=i}^{N-1} g_j^2 \right]$$

The claim enunciated in the Section 7 notes remains true in this modified setting:  $J_i$  can be expressed as a quadratic polynomial

$$J_i(V_i, P_i) = \bar{a}_i(P_i)|V_i - \bar{b}_i(P_i)|^2 + \bar{c}_i(P_i)$$

where  $\bar{a}_i, \bar{b}_i$ , and  $\bar{c}_i$  are suitably-defined functions which can be constructed inductively. Demonstrate this assertion in the special case i = N - 1, and explain how  $\bar{a}_{N-1}, \bar{b}_{N-1}, \bar{c}_{N-1}$  are related to the functions  $a_{N-1}, b_{N-1}, c_{N-1}$  of the Section 7 notes.

3) Consider scaled Brownian motion with drift,  $dy = \mu dt + \sigma dw$ , starting at y(0) = 0. The solution is of course  $y = \mu t + \sigma w(t)$ , so its probability distribution at time t is Gaussian with mean  $\mu t$  and variance  $\sigma^2 t$ . Show that solution  $\hat{p}(\xi, t)$  obtained by Fourier transform in the Section 8 notes is consistent with this result.

4) Consider scaled Brownian motion with drift and jumps:  $dy = \mu dt + \sigma dw + JdN$ , starting at y(0) = 0. Assume the jump occurences are Poisson with rate  $\lambda$ , and the jump magnitudes J are Gaussian with mean 0 and variance  $\delta^2$ . Find the probability distribution of the process y at time t. (*Hint*: don't try to use the Fourier transform. Instead observe that you know, for any n, the probability that n jumps will occur before time t; and after conditioning on the number of jumps, the distribution of y is a Gaussian whose mean and variance are easy to determine. Assemble these ingredients to give the density of y as an infinite sum.)