## PDE for Finance – Homework 2, distributed 2/10/99, due 2/24/99.

1) Let  $\Omega$  be the square  $(-1,1) \times (-1,1)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and for  $x \in \Omega$  consider  $u(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)$ . Show, directly from the definition, that u is a viscosity solution of  $|\nabla u| = 1$  in the sense that

$$u - \phi$$
 has a local max  $\Rightarrow |\nabla \phi| - 1 \le 0$   
 $u - \phi$  has a local min  $\Rightarrow |\nabla \phi| - 1 \ge 0$ .

(We use here the usual shorthand:  $\phi$  is smooth, the local min or max is achieved at some point  $x_0$ , and the condition on  $\nabla \phi$  is to hold at the same  $x_0$ .)

2) When defining the viscosity solution of a time-independent Hamilton-Jacobi equation such as  $|\nabla u| = 1$ , it is natural to wonder which way the inequalities should go. Answer this by relating the value function of a minimum-time problem to that of a finite horizon problem, as follows. Let u(x) be value function of the minimum-time problem with target E, state equation  $dy/ds = f(y, \alpha)$ , and admissible controls  $\alpha(s) \in A$ . Let v(x, t) be the value function of the finite horizon problem with the same state equation, the same set of admissible controls, and objective

$$\min_{\alpha} \int_{t}^{T} h_{E}(y(s)) \, ds$$

where

$$h_E(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \notin E \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in E. \end{cases}$$

Show that v can be expressed in terms of u. Use this to explain the proper definition of a viscosity solution for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation satisfied by u.

3) Consider the solution of

$$-\epsilon u_{xx} + u_x^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } -1 < x < 1$$
$$u = 0 \quad \text{at } x = \pm 1.$$

with  $\epsilon > 0$ . It is known (and you need not prove) that u is smooth.

- (a) Show that  $u \ge 0$ . (Hint: what properties do  $u_x$  and  $u_{xx}$  have at the point where u achieves its minimum?)
- (b) Show that the solution is unique. (Hint: a special case of the maximum principle says: if w satisfies  $w_{xx} + g(x)w_x = 0$  for -1 < x < 1 then w achieves its maximum and minimum values at the endpoints  $x = \pm 1$ . You may use this fact without proving it. We'll discuss such things later in the semester; but the proof in this special case is easy it requires just one new idea beyond the one you used in (a) and it can be found in any standard PDE book, for example Evans or John. I encourage you to figure out the proof and/or read up on it.)
- (c) Show that u(x) = u(-x), and that  $u_x$  vanishes only at x = 0. Conclude that  $|u_x| \le 1$ .
- (d) Solve for u explicitly, using that  $v = u_x$  satisfies  $-\epsilon v_x + v^2 = 1$  for -1 < x < 0 and v = 0 at x = 0.
- (e) The solution considered above depends on  $\epsilon$ , so let's call it  $u_{\epsilon}$ . Show that as  $\epsilon \to 0$ ,  $u_{\epsilon}$  tends to 1 |x|.