

**PDE I – Problem Set 8.** Distributed Wed 11/5/2014, due in class 11/18/2014.

(1) Show that if  $u$  is a  $C^2$  harmonic function defined on a region in  $R^n$ , then

$$v(x) = |x|^{2-n} u\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)$$

is harmonic on the region where it is defined. (Hint: while this can be done by writing the Laplacian in polar coordinates, an alternative – in my view easier – argument uses the fact that  $u$  is harmonic in a domain  $\Omega$  if and only if  $\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\phi$  such that  $\phi = 0$  at  $\partial\Omega$ .) [Remark:  $v$  is known as the *Kelvin transform* of  $u$ . When we discussed the Green's function of the Laplacian for a half-space and a unit ball, we used the reflection of the fundamental solution around the boundary in the case of a half-space, and the Kelvin transform of the fundamental solution in the case of the unit ball.]

(2) Let's apply the Kelvin transform to the behavior of a harmonic function defined in the complement of a ball.

- Suppose  $u$  is a uniformly bounded harmonic function defined on  $R^3 \setminus B_1 = \{x \in R^3 : |x| > 1\}$ . Assume further that “ $u \rightarrow 0$  uniformly at  $\infty$ ” in other words that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $M$  such that  $|x| > M$  implies  $|u(x)| < \epsilon$ . Show that the Kelvin transform of  $u$  has a removable singularity at 0.
- Using the conclusion of part (a), show there is a constant  $C$  (depending on  $u$ ) such that  $|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-1}$  and  $|\nabla u| \leq C|x|^{-2}$  for all sufficiently large  $|x|$ .
- What if  $u$  is defined in  $R^3 \setminus B_a$  for some  $a \neq 1$ . (Hint: rescale the preceding results.)
- Are similar results true in  $R^n$  for  $n > 3$ ? What about in  $R^2$ ?

(3) Consider the quadrant  $\{x > 0, y > 0\}$  in the  $x - y$  plane. What is the Green's function of the Laplacian in this domain?

(4) We know a variational principle for solving the Neumann boundary value problem  $\Delta u = f$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\partial u / \partial n = g$  at  $\partial\Omega$  provided  $f$  and  $g$  are consistent. (For the record: it is to minimize  $\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + f u \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} g u \, dA$  over all  $u : \Omega \rightarrow R$ ; recall that the boundary condition  $\partial u / \partial n = g$  is a consequence of the first variation being zero at  $u$ .) This problem shows that one cannot solve that PDE problem by instead imposing the boundary condition as a constraint. For simplicity let's work in 1D, taking  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ; and let's take  $f = 0$ . *Here's the question:* show that for an  $a, b \in R$ , the (misguided) variational problem

$$\min_{u_x(0)=a, u_x(1)=b} \int_0^1 u_x^2$$

has minimum value 0. [Food for thought: why is it OK to fix  $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ , as we do for a Dirichlet boundary condition, though this problem shows that it is not OK to fix  $\partial u / \partial n|_{\partial\Omega}$ ?]

(5) Use the convexity of

$$E[u] = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4} u^4 \, dx$$

to prove that there can be at most one solution of  $-\Delta u + u^3 = 0$  in  $\Omega$  with a given Dirichlet boundary condition  $u = g$  at  $\partial\Omega$ .

(6) Here is another example of a variational principle that leads to a PDE. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $R^n$ , and consider the variational problem

$$\min_{\int_{\Omega} u \, dx = 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{\Omega} u^2}.$$

Assume that a minimizer exists and is  $C^2$ . Show that it must be a Neumann eigenfunction of the Laplacian, i.e. a nonzero solution of

$$-\Delta u = \lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \text{ with } \partial u / \partial n = 0 \text{ at } \partial\Omega.$$

Conclude that the minimum value of this variational problem is equal to the smallest nonzero Neumann eigenvalue.

(7) A question about the finite element method:

(a) Explain why if  $u$  and  $v$  are piecewise linear on  $[0, 1]$ , determined by their nodal values  $u_j, v_j$  at  $x_j = j/N$ , then integration gives

$$\int_0^1 uv \, dx = \frac{1}{N} \langle K \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle$$

where  $K$  is a symmetric matrix,  $\vec{u} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_N)$  and  $\vec{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_N)$ . What is  $K$ ?

(b) With the same notation as in (a), express  $\int_0^1 u_x^2 \, dx$  in terms of the nodal values of  $u$ .