

**Continuous Time Finance, Spring 2004 – Homework 4**  
**Posted 3/19/04, due 3/31/04**

(1) To solve Problem 5 of HW3 you needed to know that if  $dr = (\theta - ar) dt + \sigma dw$  then the function  $v(x, t)$  defined by

$$v(x, t) = E_{r(t)=x} \left[ e^{-\int_t^T r(s) ds} f(r(T)) \right] \quad (1)$$

solves

$$v_t + (\theta - ax)v_x + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 v_{xx} - xv = 0$$

for  $t < T$ , with final-time condition  $v(x, T) = f(x)$ . This is a special case of the Feynman-Kac formula. Give a self-contained proof, using the method of HW1, problem 1. (You should assume that the PDE has a unique solution with this final-time condition; your task is to prove that the solution of the PDE satisfies (1).)

(2) The Section 6 notes explain how a trinomial tree can be used to approximate the random walk  $dx = \sigma dw$ , and how working backward in this tree amounts to a standard finite-difference scheme for solving the backward Kolmogorov equation  $u_t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u_{xx} = 0$ . Let's try to do something similar for the "geometric Brownian motion with drift" process  $dy = \mu y dt + \sigma y dw$ , whose backward Kolmogorov equation is  $v_t + \mu y v_y + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 y^2 v_{yy} = 0$ . Assume the time interval is  $\Delta t$ , and at time  $t = n\Delta t$  the tree has nodes at  $-n\Delta y, \dots, n\Delta y$ . The process on the tree goes from  $(y, t)$  to  $(y + \Delta y, t + \Delta t)$  with probability  $p_u$ , to  $(y, t + \Delta t)$  with probability  $p_m$ , and to  $(y - \Delta y, t + \Delta t)$  with probability  $p_d$ .

- (a) How must  $p_u$ ,  $p_m$ , and  $p_d$  be chosen to get the means and variances right? What are the conditions for them to be positive?
- (b) What is wrong with this scheme?

(3) A better trinomial approximation of "geometric brownian motion with drift" is obtained by recognizing that if  $dy = \mu y dt + \sigma y dw$  then  $y = e^z$  with  $dz = (\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) dt + \sigma dw$ .

- (a) Consider a trinomial tree process which goes from  $(z, t)$  to  $(z + \Delta z, t + \Delta t)$  with probability  $p_u$ , to  $(z, t + \Delta t)$  with probability  $p_m$ , and  $(z - \Delta z, t + \Delta t)$  with probability  $p_d$ . How must  $p_u$ ,  $p_m$ , and  $p_d$  be chosen to match the means and variances of the  $z$  process? What are the conditions for them to be positive?
- (b) Working backward in this tree amounts to a finite-difference scheme for solving the backward Kolmogorov PDE  $w_t + (\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)w_z + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 w_{zz}$  with specified final-time data at  $t = T$ . In what sense can this also be viewed as a scheme for solving the PDE  $v_t + \mu y v_{yy} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 y^2 v_{yy} = 0$ ?

(Note: The "trinomial tree" scheme for valuing options uses this tree for the  $z$  process, with  $\mu = r$ . However the option value is the *discounted* payoff; this introduces a discount factor of  $e^{-r\Delta t}$  at each timestep, and a term  $-rw$  in the PDE.)

(4) As we discussed in class, the general one-factor HJM model stipulates

$$d_t f = \alpha(t, T) dt + \sigma(t, T) dw \quad (2)$$

in the risk-neutral measure. We may choose the volatility  $\sigma(t, T)$  arbitrarily, but it determines the drift  $\alpha(t, T)$  through the formula

$$\alpha(t, T) = \sigma(t, T) \int_t^T \sigma(t, u) du. \quad (3)$$

The associated short rate is

$$r(t) = f(0, t) + \int_0^t \sigma(s, t) dw(s) + \int_0^t \alpha(s, t) ds$$

which solves the SDE

$$dr = \left[ \partial_T f(0, t) + \int_0^t \partial_T \sigma(s, t) dw(s) + \alpha(t, t) + \int_0^t \partial_T \alpha(s, t) ds \right] dt + \sigma(t, t) dw(t). \quad (4)$$

Let's verify that when  $\sigma(t, T) = \sigma e^{-a(T-t)}$  (with  $\sigma$  constant) we recover the Hull-White model:

(a) Show that  $\alpha(t, T) = \frac{\sigma^2}{a} e^{-a(T-t)} (1 - e^{-a(T-t)})$ .

(b) Show that the SDE (4) reduces in this case to  $dr = (\theta(t) - ar) dt + \sigma dw$  with

$$\theta(t) = \partial_T f(0, t) + af(0, t) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2a} (1 - e^{-2at}).$$

(5) This problem revisits HW3, problem 1, using the general one-factor HJM theory  $d_t f(t, T) = \alpha(t, T) dt + \sigma(t, T) dw$  rather than Vasicek-Hull-White. Well, not the most general theory: you must assume for this problem that  $\sigma(t, T)$  is a given, deterministic function of  $t$  and  $T$  (whereas the general HJM framework permits it to be random, provided it depends only on time- $t$  information). Besides the formulas (2)-(3), you'll need the fact that

$$d_t [P(t, T)/B_t] = [P(t, T)/B_t] \Sigma(t, T) dw \quad (5)$$

where  $B_t$  is the money-market account and

$$\Sigma(t, T) = - \int_t^T \sigma(t, u) du.$$

(a) Show that for  $t \leq \tau \leq T \leq S$ , the random variable  $\ln[P(\tau, S)/P(\tau, T)]$  is normal under the risk-neutral measure, and its variance (given information at time  $t$ ) is

$$\int_t^\tau (\Sigma(u, S) - \Sigma(u, T))^2 du.$$

- (b) To apply Black's formula, we need the statistics of  $\ln[P(\tau, S)/P(\tau, T)]$  under the forward measure, not the risk-neutral measure. (The forward measure is the one for which  $V_t/P(t, T)$  is a martingale whenever  $V_t$  is the value of a tradeable.) Show that if  $w$  is Brownian motion under the risk-neutral measure and  $\bar{w}$  is Brownian motion under the forward measure then

$$d\bar{w} = -\Sigma(t, T) dt + dw.$$

(Hint: specialize the calculation on page 9 of the Section 4 notes to the case at hand.)

- (c) Use the result of (b) to show that  $\ln[P(\tau, S)/P(\tau, T)]$  is also normal under the forward measure, and its variance is the *same* under the forward and risk-neutral measures.
- (d) Consider a call option with maturity  $T$  and strike  $K$ , on a zero-coupon bond with maturity  $S > T$ . Its payoff at time  $T$  is  $(P(T, S) - K)_+$ . Show that its value at time  $t$  is

$$P(t, S)N(d_1) - KP(t, T)N(d_2)$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{\ln\left[\frac{P(t, S)}{P(t, T)K}\right] + \frac{1}{2}s^2}{s}, \quad d_2 = d_1 - s$$

where  $s$  is defined by

$$s^2 = \int_t^T (\Sigma(u, S) - \Sigma(u, T))^2 du.$$

(6) This problem revisits HW3, problem 2, using the general one-factor HJM theory. Consider the call option valued in problem 5.

- (a) What trading strategy produces a replicating portfolio using tradeables  $P(t, S)$  and  $P(t, T)$ ?
- (b) What trading strategy produces a replicating portfolio using tradeables  $P(t, S)$  and the money market fund  $B_t$ ?
- (c) What trading strategy produces a replicating portfolio using two bonds  $P(t, T_1)$  and  $P(t, T_2)$ , where  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are arbitrary (distinct) values greater than  $T$ ?