Putnam Group 2004

Solution problem B4, 2003. We have  $P(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)(z - r_3)(z - r_3)$  has rational coefficients. We are given that  $r_1 + r_2$  is rational, and that  $r_1 + r_2 \neq r_3 + r_4$ . We must show that  $r_1r_2$  is rational.

In what follows, we denote rational numbers by  $q_1, q_2, \ldots$  Relating the coefficients to the roots  $r_i$ , we have

$$\sigma_i = q_i; (1 = 1, 2, 3, 4)$$

Here  $\sigma_i$  is the *i*-th elementary function of the roots:

 $\sigma_1 = r_1 + r_2 + \dots; \ \sigma_2 = r_1 r_2 + r_1 r_3 + \dots; \\ \sigma_3 = r_1 r_2 r + 3 + \dots; \ \sigma_4 = r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4$ 

Now to the problem. Let

$$S = r_1 + r_2; \ T = r_3 + r_4; \ U = r_1 r_2; \ V = r_3 r_4$$

We are given  $S = q_5$  (that is, rational) and  $U \neq V$ . We have to show that U is rational. We cazn express all of the  $\sigma_i$  in terms of S, T, U, V. Thus

(1)  $S + T = \sigma_1 = q_1$ (2)  $ST + U + V = \sigma_2 = q_2$ (3)  $TU + SV = \sigma_3 = q_3$ (4)  $UV = \sigma_4 = q_4$ 

Since  $S = q_5$ , (1) gives  $q_5 + T = q_1$ , and solving for T, we get  $T = q_6$ . Substituting in (2) this gives  $q_5q_6 + U + V = q_2$ , yielding (5)  $U + V = q_7$ Substituting in (3) gives (6)  $q_6U + q_5V = q_3$ 

Now solve (5) and (6) for U and V. Here we must use  $q_5 \neq q_6$  (ie  $S \neq T$ ). Eliminate V by muliplying (5) by  $q_5$  and subtracting. This gives (5')  $q_5U + q_5V = q_5q_7$ , and subtracting (5') from (6), we get

(7)  $(q_6 - q_5)U = q_8$ , so  $U = q_9$ , which is the result.

A general, after the fact observation: Why was this so hard (for me) in lecture, and why so easy in the comfort of my home? Answer: It's not that I'm nervous in front of a class, or can't think on the spot. It's because in lecture, I used  $S \in Q$ , while in this note, I used  $s = q_5$ . Isn't it much easier to use equality than  $\in$ ? I think so. We are reduced to solving two linear equations ((5) and (6)) in 2 unknowns. No problem!