## Complex Variables II <br> Assignment 11

1. The two dimensional complex projective space, as a set, is defined to be the set of equivalence classes of non-zero points $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$. We will call it $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}^{2}$. We use capital letters such as $Z=\left(Z_{0}: Z_{1}: Z_{2}\right)$ for elements of $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, "point" letters like $p$ and $q$ for elements of $\mathbf{C P}^{2}$, and lower case letters such as $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ for local coordinates on $\mathbf{C P}{ }^{2}$. The equivalence relation is $Z \sim W$ if there is an $a \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $Z=a W$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. We require $Z \neq 0$ and $W \neq 0$, which implies $a \neq 0$. We write $[Z]$ for the equivalence class of $Z$ :

$$
[Z]=\{a Z \text { for all } a \in \mathbb{C}\}
$$

A point $p \in \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is an equivalence class. There are three basic coordinate patches $U_{k} \subset \mathbf{C P}^{2}$, with $U_{k}$ being the family of equivalence classes $[Z]$ with $Z_{k} \neq 0$. For example, each $p \in U_{0}$ is represented by an equivalence class $[Z]$ with $Z=\left(1: z_{1}: z_{2}\right)$. Similarly, a point $q \in U_{1}$ is an equivalence class of the form $\left[\left(w_{1}: 1: w_{2}\right)\right]$. The coordinate maps $\phi_{k}: U_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ are defined using the two coordinates that are allowed to be zero. For example $\phi_{0}: U_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is defined by $\phi_{0}\left(\left[\left(1: Z_{1}: Z_{2}\right)\right]\right)=\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$. To explain the notation $\phi_{0}([(\cdots)]),\left[\left(1: Z_{1}: Z_{2}\right)\right]$ is the equivalence class of $\left(1: Z_{1}: Z_{2}\right)$, and, if $p=\left[\left(1: Z_{1}: Z_{2}\right)\right]$ then $\phi_{0}(p)=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, where $z_{1}=Z_{1}$ and $z_{2}=Z_{2}$. The coordinate map for $U_{1}$ is $\phi_{1}\left(\left[\left(Z_{0}: 1: Z_{2}\right)\right]\right)=\left(Z_{0}, Z_{2}\right)$.
A set $A \subseteq \mathbf{C P}^{2}$ is open if $\phi_{k}\left(A \cap U_{k}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is open in the usual sense. In particular the coordinate patches $U_{k} \subset \mathbf{C P}^{2}$ are open sets. A sequence $p_{n}$ converges to $p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if every open set containing $p$ contains all but a finite number of the points $p_{n}$.
(a) Show that $p_{n} \rightarrow p$ in $\mathbf{C P} \mathbf{P}^{2}$ if and only if there is a unique $k$ (depending on the sequence) and an $N$ so that if $n>N$, then $p_{n} \in U_{k}$ and $\phi_{k}\left(p_{n}\right) \rightarrow \phi_{k}(p)$.
(b) Show that the overlap maps are one to one and differentiable. More specifically, define $U_{01}=U_{0} \cap U_{1}$ and $V_{01} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}=\phi_{0}\left(U_{01}\right)$, and $V_{10} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}=\phi_{1}\left(U_{01}\right)$. The overlap map $\psi_{01}$ takes $V_{01}$ to $V_{10}$. If $z \in V_{01} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ then $w=\psi_{01}(z)$ means there is a $p \in U_{01}$ so that $\phi_{0}(p)=z$ and $\phi_{1}(p)=w$. Differentiable means that the four partial derivatives $\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}$, etc., exist in the usual sense of derivatives of analytic functions of a complex variable. Hint. This is harder understanding than to solve. Don't worry if your solution seems trivial.
(c) Show that $\mathbf{C P}^{2}$ is compact in the sense that every sequence has a convergent subsequence.
(d) A set $D \subset \mathbf{C P}^{2}$ is compact if the three images $\phi_{k}\left(D \cap U_{k}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ are compact (take this as the definition). Show that $D$ is compact if and only if any open cover has a finite sub-cover. You may use this property for $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
(e) Show that $\mathbf{C P}^{2}$ is simply connected. Warning. Make sure your proof doesn't apply to $\mathbf{R P}^{2}$, which is not simply connected.
2. A "curve" in some two dimensional space is either the image of a function of one variable or the zero set of a non-degenerate function of two variables. The implicit function theorem says that these pictures are locally equivalent. A projective curve is a curve in projective space such as $\mathbf{C P}^{2}$. A projective algebraic curve wants to be the zero set of a non-degenerate polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\{[Z] \text { with } F(Z)=0\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This definition has the problem that if $W \sim Z$ (i.e., $W=a Z$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ) it might be that $F(Z)=0$ but $F(W) \neq 0$. This is fixed by requiring $F$ to be a homogeneous polynomial, which means that every monomial in $F$ has the same degree. In more detail, a monomial is a product of variables such as $Z_{0}$ (degree 1 ), or $Z_{0} Z_{1} Z_{2}^{2}$ (degree 4). A homogenous polynomial is a linear combination (with complex coefficients) of monomials of the same degree. Equivalently,
(a) Show that $F$ is a linear combination of monomials of degree $d$ if and only if $F(a Z)=a^{d} F(Z)$ for all $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$.
(b) Show that if $F$ is homogeneous of degree $d$ then

$$
F(Z)=d \cdot Z \cdot \nabla F(Z)=\sum_{j=0}^{3} Z_{j} \frac{\partial F(Z)}{\partial Z_{j}}
$$

This is (alas!) called "Euler's homogeneous function theorem". Euler got around.
(c) Show that if $F$ is homogeneous of degree $d \geq 1$ then (1) defines a subset $X \subset \mathbf{C P}^{2}$. Such as set is called a projective algebraic curve. Show that such an $X$ is compact.
(d) An affine algebraic curve is defined as the zero set of a polynomial in two complex variables, such as $z_{2}^{2}=z_{1}^{3}-a z_{1}-b$ (more properly, $f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=z_{1}^{3}-a z_{1}-b-z_{2}^{2}=0$ ). Show that any affine algebraic curve is the intersection of a projective algebraic curve with the coordinate patch $U_{0}$ from Exercise 1.
(e) Suppose the affine curve is defined by $z_{2}^{2}=z_{1}^{3}-z_{1}-1$. Show that this is the intersection with $U_{0}$ of a projective curve with a polynomial $F(Z)$ of homogeneous degree 3 . Write such an $F$. Show that the projective curve is a Riemann surface. This involves using the implicit function theorem (which we used earlier for affine curves) at
every point of $X$. Some of these are not in $U_{0}$, but then they are in $U_{1}$ or $U_{2}$.
3. The Weierstrass P-function is (the Latex command is $\backslash w p(z)$, where "wp" is for Weierstrass P fnction)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{n m}^{\prime}\left[\frac{1}{(z-n-m \tau)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(n+m \tau)^{2}}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The related power sums are called Eisenstein series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2 k}=\sum_{n m}^{\prime} \frac{1}{(n+m \tau)^{2 k}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

You may assume that $\wp(z+1)=\wp(z)$ and $\wp(z+\tau)=\wp(z)$. This exercise gives an approach to the Taylor series of $\wp$ that illustrates some basic complex analysis tricks.
(a) Suppose $f$ is a sum of analytic terms

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k} a_{k}(z)
$$

and that the sum converges locally uniformly in the sense that for any $R$

$$
\sum_{k}\left|a_{k}(z)\right| \leq C(R), \quad \text { if }|z| \leq R
$$

Define the definite integrals starting at $z=0$ along any path to $z$

$$
g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) d \zeta, \quad b_{k}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} a_{k}(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

Show that the functions $g$ and $b_{k}$ are well defined in the sense that they are independent of the contour from 0 to $z$ (easy). Show that

$$
g(z)=\sum_{k} b_{k}(z)
$$

Show that the sum converges locally absolutely. Conclusion. You can do definite integrals of convergent series term by term.
(b) Let $a_{n m}(z)$ be the $n m$ term in the $\wp$ function sum (2). Find a formula for

$$
b_{n m}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} a_{n m}(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

(c) Define the $\mathcal{Q}$ function (this is not a standard term, the Latex command is \mathcal\{Q\}(z))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}(z)=-\frac{1}{z}-\sum_{n m}^{\prime}\left[\frac{1}{z-n-m \tau}+\frac{1}{n+m \tau}+\frac{z}{(n+m \tau)^{2}}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Verify by direct calculation without using parts (a) or (b) that the sum converges locally absolutely and satisfies $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}(z)=\wp(z)$.
(d) Show that $\mathcal{Q}$ has the Laurent Taylor expansion

$$
\mathcal{Q}(z)=-\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{2 k} z^{2 k+1} .
$$

Find the radius of convergence of this series.
(e) Show that $\mathcal{Q}$ is not a doubly periodic function even though its derivative is doubly periodic and its poles form a doubly periodic array (the period lattice. We showed that $\wp$ is doubly periodic using the fact that $\wp^{\prime}$ is doubly periodic, but that argument does not apply here. Why not?
(f) Find the Laurent Taylor expansion of $\wp$ about $z=0$ in terms of the Eisenstein sums $G_{2 k}$.
4. The Weierstrass P-function is (the Latex command is $\backslash w p(z)$, where "wp" is for Weierstrass P fnction)

$$
\wp(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{n m}^{\prime}\left[\frac{1}{(z-n-m \tau)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(n+m \tau)^{2}}\right]
$$

The related power sums are called Eisenstein series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2 k}=\sum_{n m}^{\prime} \frac{1}{(n+m \tau)^{2 k}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

You may assume that $\wp(z+1)=\wp(z)$ and $\wp(z+\tau)=\wp(z)$.
(a) Show that if $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a neighborhood of zero with $f(z)=a_{2} z^{2}+a_{4} z^{4}+O\left(|z|^{6}\right)$, then $f^{\prime}(z)=2 a_{2} z+4 a_{4} z^{3}+O\left(|z|^{5}\right)$. Give an example of a real smooth function $g(x)$ defined for real $x$ that does not have this property.
(b) Show that $\wp(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{4} z^{4}+a_{6} z^{6}+O\left(z^{8}\right)$ and find formulas for $a_{k}$ in terms of $s_{k}$.
(c) Show that

$$
\left(\wp^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}-4 \wp(z)^{3}=-60 G_{4} \frac{1}{z^{2}}-140 G_{6}+O\left(z^{2}\right) .
$$

We got the 60 in class but not the 140 .
5. The gamma function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-t} d t \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We saw that $\Gamma(n+1)=n$ ! and Stirling's approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(s+1)=\sqrt{2 \pi s} s^{s} e^{-s}\left[1+O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)\right], \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Sterling we gave may have assumed $s$ is real, but it applies also when $s$ is replaced with $s+i \tau$ and $\tau$ is bounded as $s \rightarrow \infty$. If $s$ is not real, $s^{s}$ is interpreted as $e^{s \log (s)}$ with the branch of $\log$ closest to the "real" one (the real $\log$ on the positive real axis). The first few parts are warm-up. The hard part is finding the convergence factors $e^{-\frac{s}{n}}$. The eventual formula involves the Euler $\gamma$ constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}-\log (n)\right] \approx .58 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Show that the integral converges to an analytic function of $s$ if $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$.
(b) Show that the limit (8) exists and is positive. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}=\log (n)+\gamma+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hint. The technical bound (9) and the basic limit (8) follow from

$$
\frac{1}{k}-\int_{k}^{k+1} \frac{1}{x} d x=O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2}}\right)
$$

(c) Show directly that there is an analytic function $f(s)$ defined for $s$ in a complex neighborhood of 0 so that $\Gamma(s)=\frac{1}{s}+f(s)$ for $s>0$. [This is a complicated way of saying $\Gamma$ has a simple pole with residue 1 at $s=0$.
(d) Show that for any positive integer $n$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$

$$
\Gamma(s)=\frac{1}{s}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{n}}\right] \frac{\Gamma(s+n+1)}{n!} .
$$

(e) For any fixed $s$ show that

$$
\frac{\Gamma(s+n+1)}{n!}=n^{s}\left[1+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right]
$$

(f) Show that

$$
n=\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) e^{-\gamma}\left[1+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right]
$$

(g) Put these facts together and take the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit to derive the Euler product for the gamma function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(s)=\frac{e^{-s}}{s} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{s}{k}}}{1+\frac{s}{k}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that the product converges (locally uniformly) absolutely for any $s \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and defines a meromorphic function in the whole complex plane. Said with different emphasis, the infinite product (10) defines a meromorphic function that is an analytic continuation of the integral (6) from the right half plane to the whole plane.
(h) Derive the weird Euler formula (there are many weird Euler formulas)

$$
s \Gamma(s) \Gamma(-s)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi s)}
$$

You may use the product formula for $\sin (\pi s)$. Remark. This formula relates factorials (the gamma function) to trig functions. Euler also discovered a formula relating exponentials to trig functions: $e^{i x}=$ $\cos (x)+i \sin (x)$. Both formulas require extending some function (factorial, exponential) beyond its original range of definition.

