

## Complex Variables II

### Assignment 10

- The *support* of a function  $f(x)$  is the closure of the set where  $f \neq 0$ . A function has *compact support* if the support is a compact set. More simply, there is an  $R$  so that  $f(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq R$ . Show that it is impossible for  $f$  and its Fourier transform  $\widehat{f}$  both to have compact support. *Hint.* If  $f$  has compact support then the Fourier integral defines an analytic function  $\widehat{f}(\xi + i\eta)$  defined for all  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ . The integral defines a complex differentiable function of  $\zeta$ .
- Define  $f(x)$  as the characteristic function (also called *indicator function*) of  $[-1, 1]$ , in various notations:

$$f(x) = \chi_{[-1,1]}(x) = \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [-1, 1] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

- Calculate  $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ .
- Show by explicit calculation that the Fourier inversion formula holds in the sense that if  $x \neq \pm 1$

$$f(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} e^{2\pi i \xi x} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \quad (1)$$

- Show by explicit calculation that the integral on the right of (1) is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$  if  $x = \pm 1$ . *Discussion.* Let  $f$  have a simple discontinuity at a point  $x_0$  with left and right limits defined:<sup>1</sup>  $f_- = f(x_0 - 0)$  and  $f_+ = f(x_0 + 0)$ . Then the inverse Fourier transform of  $\widehat{f}$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}(f_- + f_+)$  at the discontinuity. You are not being asked to prove this general fact, only to check it for the characteristic function. *Discussion.* These calculations illustrate the theory of Exercise 1. We see that  $f$  has compact support and  $\widehat{f}$  is analytic.

- The *theta function* (more properly, the *Jacobi theta function*) is

$$\theta(z, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\pi\tau n^2} e^{2\pi i z n} . \quad (2)$$

This is defined for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and all complex  $\tau$  in the upper half plane ( $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$ ). The theta function is used in analytic number theory, for example, in proving the Riemann functional equation for the Riemann zeta function. It also

---

<sup>1</sup> $f(x+0)$  is defined to be  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, \epsilon > 0} f(x + \epsilon)$ .

- (a) Show that  $\theta(z, \tau)$  is an analytic function of  $z$  and  $\tau$  if  $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$ .
- (b) Show that  $\theta(z + 1, \tau) = \theta(z, \tau)$ .
- (c) Show that  $\theta(z + \tau) = e^{-i\pi\tau - 2\pi iz}\theta(z, \tau)$ . Because of this formula, people say that  $\tau$  is a *quasi-period* of the theta function.
- (d) Let  $\gamma$  be a simple counter-clockwise contour around the corners of a “period cell”. That means that  $\gamma$  is piecewise linear as it takes the path  $a \rightarrow a + 1 \rightarrow a + 1 + \tau \rightarrow a + \tau \rightarrow a$ . Choose any  $a$  so that  $\theta(z, \tau) \neq 0$  for  $z \in \gamma$ . Compute the winding number of  $\theta$  (as a function of  $z$ ) around  $\gamma$  and show that there is a unique  $z_0$  in a period cell with  $\theta(z_0, \tau) = 0$ . *Hint.* part (c).
- (e) Find a function  $f(x, z, \tau)$  so that  $\theta(z, \tau) = \sum_n f(n, z, \tau)$ . *Warning.* Here,  $x$  is a new variable, not the real part of  $z$ . Apply the Poisson summation formula (Assignment 9, Exercise 5) to find a formula for  $\theta(z, -1/\tau)$ . This is the *Jacobi inversion formula*.
4. In class we said that a *Riemann surface* is a metric space  $R$  so that every  $p \in R$  has a neighborhood (connected open set containing  $p$ )  $U_p$  and a continuous one to one function  $\phi_p: U_p \rightarrow V_p \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , called a *coordinate chart* at  $p$ . The inverse map  $\phi_p^{-1}: V_p \rightarrow U_p$  must be continuous. If  $q \in U_p$ , then  $U_q = U_p$  and  $\phi_q = \phi_p$  is allowed. We say that  $z = \phi_p(r)$  is a *local variable* defined for  $r \in U_p$ . If  $q$  is another point in  $R$  and  $r \in U_q$ , then  $w = \phi_q(r)$  is a possibly different local coordinate at  $r$ . The map  $z \rightarrow w$ , where it is defined, must be analytic in the usual sense. More precisely, suppose  $U_{pq} = U_p \cap U_q \neq \emptyset$ . Define the image of  $U_{pq}$  under  $\phi_p$  as  $V_{pq} = \phi_p(U_{pq}) \subset V_p \subset \mathbb{C}$ . (Warning: clumsy notation ahead) Define  $V'_{pq} = \phi_q(U_{pq}) \subset V_q \subset \mathbb{C}$ . The *overlap map* that expresses the change of variable from  $z$  to  $w$  goes from  $V_{pq}$  (where  $z$  is defined) to  $V'_{pq}$  (where  $w$  is defined) via  $R$ :

$$\psi_{pq}(z) = w \text{ if } w = \phi_q(r), \text{ where } r = \phi_p^{-1}(z).$$

That is, if  $r \in U_p \cap U_q$ , then  $z$  and  $w$  are different labels for  $r$ . The hypothesis is that all such overlap maps  $\psi_{pq}$  are one to one and analytic. Define the Riemann sphere  $S$  as follows (see if you can spot the ignored details). As a set,  $S$  consists of all “finite points”  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  plus the “point at infinity” denoted by  $\infty$ . Suppose there are open sets  $U_0 \subset S$  and  $U_\infty \subset S$  defined by

$$U_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |z| < 2\}$$

$$U_\infty = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |z| > \frac{1}{2}\} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

The corresponding coordinate maps defined  $\phi_0(z) = z$ ,  $\phi_\infty(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ , and  $\phi_\infty(\infty) = 0$ .

5. A map between Riemann surfaces is analytic if it is analytic on each pair of coordinate charts. More precisely, suppose  $f: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  is a

map between Riemann surfaces. Suppose  $p \in R_1$  and  $f(p) = q \in R_2$ . Then  $f$  “induces” a map from  $V_p \subset \mathbb{C}$  to  $V_q \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  (not necessarily onto)  $f_{pq}(z) = \phi_q(f(\phi_p^{-1}))$ . You could express this by saying that  $f_{pq}(z) = w$  means that  $\phi_p(r) = z$ ,  $s = f(r)$ , and  $w = \phi_q(s)$ . This is also written with arrows as  $z \rightsquigarrow r = \phi_p^{-1}(z) \rightsquigarrow s = f(r) \rightsquigarrow w = \phi_q(s)$ . Every such map  $f_{pq}$  is supposed to be analytic. Riemann surfaces  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are *isomorphic* if there is a pair of onto analytic mappings  $f: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  and  $g: R_2 \rightarrow R_1$  that are inverses of each other. For example, the Riemann mapping theorem says that any two simply connected open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  are isomorphic (unless one of them is  $\mathbb{C}$  itself).

A *lattice* in  $\mathbb{C}$  is the set of all integer linear combinations of two lattice *basis vectors*. If  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are linearly independent complex numbers, the lattice they generate is

$$\Lambda = \{n\tau_1 + m\tau_2 \text{ with } n \text{ and } m \text{ integers} \} .$$

The *complex torus* is the quotient  $T = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ .

- (a) Show that  $T$  is a Riemann surface in the natural way in which the coordinate charts  $\phi$  have inverses  $\phi^{-1}$  that take  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  to the coset  $[z] = \{z + n\tau_1 + m\tau_2\}$ . For any  $[z_0] \in T$ , there is a neighborhood of  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  in which this  $\phi$  is one to one. Check that the overlap maps are analytic. *Warning.* This is almost obvious and yet might be a challenge to express in mathematically correct notation.
- (b) An *automorphism* is an isomorphism from an object to itself. For example,  $f(x) = x + x^3$  is an automorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that any complex torus has automorphisms “translation by  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ” that take  $[z]$  to  $[z + a]$ . Show that  $a$  and  $b$  induce the same translation automorphism if and only if  $a - b \in \Lambda$ .
- (c) Show that if  $f: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$  is an isomorphism between complex tori, then  $f$  *lifts* to a map  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  so that if  $F(z) = w$  then  $f([z]) = [w]$ . *Warning.* Again, this may be obvious, but it may take some cleverness to create a proof. You might try to work by induction, defining  $F$  on more and more periodic images of the fundamental domain  $D = \{x\tau_1 + y\tau_2 \text{ with } 0 \leq x < 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq y < 1\}$ .
- (d) Use Liouville’s theorem and the bound  $|F(z)| \leq C|z| + r$  for some positive numbers  $C$  and  $r$  to show that  $F$  is *affine*, which means that there complex  $a$  and  $b$  with  $F(z) = az + b$ . Conclude that any automorphism between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  lifts to an affine automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- (e) Show that the complex torus  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  where  $\Lambda$  is generated by 1 and  $i$  (that means 1 and  $i$  are basis vectors for  $\Lambda$ ) is identical to the one with generators 1 and  $1 + i$ .
- (f) Show that there is no isomorphism between complex tori  $T_1$  with basis vectors 1 and  $i$  and the one with basis vectors 1 and  $\frac{1}{2} + i$ .

*Discussion.* We will talk more about automorphisms of complex tori in class. The new thing involved is the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , which is the set of matrices  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  ( $2 \times 2$  gives the 2 in  $SL_2$ ) with integer entries (hence the  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) and  $\det(M) = ad - bc = 1$  (which is the “S”, for “special”).