

(66)

## Lecture 5

Remark: If we take  $v^+ = v$ ,  $v^- = I$  then

$$(66.1) \quad C_w h = C \tilde{h}(v - I)$$

which is the operator  $C_v$  introduced on p31.

→ solves

$$(66.2) \quad (I - C_v) \mu = I$$

as in (65.31), then  $m^\pm = \mu v^\pm$  solves the normalized

RHP (Defn. 59.1).

The fact the  $\text{IRHP1}_P$  and  $\text{IRHP2}_P$  depend on

$v$  and not on the particular factorization  $v = (v^-)^{-1} v^+$

(which we are free to choose, for any particular

purpose at hand), has the following immediate

consequence.

Corollary 66.3 Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ . The operator  $I - C_w$  is

(67)

bijection in  $L^p(\Sigma)$  for all factorizations

$$v = (v^{-1} v^+) = (I - \omega^-)^{-1} (I + \omega^+) \text{ iff } I - \omega \text{ is bijective}$$

$$\text{for at least one factorization } v = (v'^{-1} v'^+) = (I - \omega'^{-1})^{-1} (I + \omega'^+)$$

Moreover, for  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ ,

$$(67.1) \quad (I - \omega)^{-1} f = ((I - \omega')^{-1} f) b \quad \text{where } b = v^+ (v^+)^{-1} = v'^+ (v'^+)^{-1}.$$

Proof:

Suppose  $(I - \omega)^{-1} f$  in  $L^p$ , Then INHPI<sub>L^p</sub> has  
for any  $f \in L^p$ , and hence for the given  $f$ ,  
a unique solution  $m_{\pm}$  and by (65.1)

$$(I - \omega)^{-1} f = m_{\pm} (v^+)^{-1}$$

But as the INHPI<sub>L^p</sub> has a unique solution for any  $f$ ,

$$(I - \omega')^{-1} f \text{ in } L^p \text{ and}$$

$$(I - \omega')^{-1} f = m_{\pm} (v'^+)^{-1}$$

what  $m_{\pm}$  is the (same) solution of the INHPI<sub>L^p</sub> with the given

$$f. \quad \text{Thus } (I - \omega)^{-1} f = m_{\pm} (v^+)^{-1} (v'^+ (v'^+)^{-1}) = ((I - \omega')^{-1} f) b. \blacksquare$$

(68)

Finally we consider uniqueness for the solution of

the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, v)_p$  as given in Defn. 59.1. Observe

first that if  $F(z) = (Cf)(z)$  for  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  and

$$G(z) = (Cg)(z) \text{ for } g \in L^q(\Sigma), \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1, \quad 1 < p, q < \infty,$$

then a simple computation shows that

$$(68.1) \quad FG(z) = Ch(z)$$

where

$$(68.2) \quad h(s) = -\frac{1}{2i} (g(s) (Hf)(s) + f(s) (Hg)(s))$$

where  $Hf(s) = \text{Hilbert transform} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|s'-s|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(s')}{s-s'} \frac{ds'}{\pi}$

and similarly for  $Hg(s)$ . Because  $h$  clearly lies in

$L^r(\Sigma)$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , it follows that

$$(68.3) \quad F_+ G_+(z) - F_- G_-(z) = h(z)$$

for a.e.  $z \in \Sigma$ .

Thm 68.3 Fix  $1 < p < \infty$ . Suppose  $m_{\pm}$  solves the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, v)_p$ . Suppose that  $m_{\pm}'$  exist a.e. on

(69)

$$\Sigma \text{ and } m_{\pm}^{-1} \in I + DC(L^q), \quad 1 < q < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Then the solution of the normalized RHP  $(I, v)$  is unique.

Proof Suppose  $\hat{m}_{\pm} = I + C^{\pm} h$ ,  $h \in L^p(\Sigma)$  is a second

solution of the normalized RHP. We have by assumption

$$\hat{m}_{\pm}^{-1} = I + C^{\pm} k \quad \text{for some } k \in L^q(\Sigma). \quad (\text{It is an exercise})$$

to show that  $I + Ch(\beta)$ , the extension of  ~~$m_{\pm}^{-1}$~~  to

$\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ , is in fact  $m(\beta)^{-1}$ . Then arguing as above

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}_{\pm} \hat{m}_{\pm}^{-1} - I &= (\hat{m}_{\pm} - I)(\hat{m}_{\pm}^{-1} - I) + (\hat{m}_{\pm} - I) + (\hat{m}_{\pm}^{-1} - I) \\ &= C^{\pm} h \end{aligned}$$

for some  $h \in L^r(\Sigma) + L^p(\Sigma) + L^q(\Sigma)$ . Hence

$$\hat{m}_{+} \hat{m}_{+}^{-1} - \hat{m}_{-} \hat{m}_{-}^{-1} = h$$

$$\text{But } \hat{m}_{+} \hat{m}_{+}^{-1} = (\hat{m}_{-} v)(\hat{m}_{-} v)^{-1} = \hat{m}_{-} \hat{m}_{-}^{-1}, \text{ and so } h = 0$$

$$\text{Thus } \hat{m}_{+} \hat{m}_{+}^{-1} - I = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{m}_{\pm} = m_{\pm}.$$

(70)

Remark In the above proof we did not need to assume  $m_{\pm}^{-1} \neq 0$ .

Th<sup>m</sup> 70.1 (Special case  $n=2, p=2$ )

If  $n=2, p=2$  and  $\det v(z) = 1$  a.e. on  $\Sigma$ , then

The solution of the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, v) = (\Sigma, v)_{L^2}$  is unique.

Proof: Because  $n=2$  and  $p=2$ ,  $(68.1)(68.2) \Rightarrow$

$(\det m(z))_{\pm} = 1 + C^{\pm} h$ , where  $h \in L^1(\Sigma) + L^2(\Sigma)$  and

$(\det m)_+ - (\det m)_- = h(z)$  a.e. But  $(\det m)_+ = (\det m)_-$ ,

as  $\det v = 1$ , and no  $h \equiv 0$ . But then  $\det m(z)_{\pm} = 1$ .

Hence, if  $m_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11\pm} & m_{12\pm} \\ m_{21\pm} & m_{22\pm} \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $m_{\pm}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{22\pm} - m_{12\pm} \\ -m_{21\pm} & M_{11\pm} \end{pmatrix}$

and no clearly  $m_{\pm}^{-1} \in I + \partial C(L^2)$ . The result

now follows from Th<sup>m</sup> 68.3.

(71)

The above results immediately imply that the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma = \mathbb{R}, v_{x,t})$  on p17 has a unique solution in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Here

$$v_{x,t}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |\Gamma(z)|^2 & -\bar{\Gamma(z)} e^{-2i\theta} \\ \Gamma(z) e^{2i\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \|\Gamma\|_\infty < 1,$$

where  $\theta = 4t z^3 + x z$ ,  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$

Factorize

$$v_{x,t} = (v_{x,t}^-)^{-1} (v_{x,t}^+) = (I - \omega_{x,t}^-)^{-1} (I + \omega_{x,t}^+)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{r} e^{-2i\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ r e^{2i\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\omega_{x,t} = (\omega_{x,t}^-, \omega_{x,t}^+) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{r} e^{-2i\theta} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ r e^{2i\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Then for  $h = (h_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$

$$C_{\omega_{x,t}} h = C^+ h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{r} e^{-2i\theta} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C^- h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ r e^{2i\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} C^-(h_{12} r e^{i\theta}) & C^+(h_{11}(-\bar{r} e^{i\theta})) \\ C^-(h_{22} r e^{i\theta}) & C^+(h_{21}(-\bar{r} e^{i\theta})) \end{pmatrix}$$

(72)

But for  $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $C^+$  and  $-C^-$  are orthogonal projections (exercise) and so  $\|C^\pm\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1$ .

Thus if we use the Hilbert-Schmidt norm on matrix,  $M = (\kappa_{ij})$ ,

$$\|\kappa\| \equiv \left( \sum_{ij} |\kappa_{ij}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\omega_{x,t} h)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|C^-(h_{12} r e^{i\theta})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|C^-(h_{22} r e^{i\theta})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\quad + \|C^+(h_{11} (-r e^{-i\theta}))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|C^+ h_{21} (-r e^{-i\theta})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\leq \|r\|_\infty^2 \left( \|h_{11}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_{12}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_{21}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_{22}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &= \|r\|_\infty^2 \|h\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(72.1) \quad \|(\omega_{x,t} h)\| \leq \|r\|_\infty < 1$$

It follows that for each  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(1 - (\omega_{x,t})^\dagger)$  is in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$(72.2) \quad \|(1 - (\omega_{x,t})^\dagger)\|_{L^2} \leq 1 - \|r\|_\infty$$

Note: The norm of  $C_{w_{x,t}}$  is also  $< 1$  if we use the  $L^2$ -sup norm  $\|h\| = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq 2} \|h_i\|_{L^2}$ .  
 This immediately implies the following result. (73)

Th<sup>m</sup> 73.1

The normalized RHP ( $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v_{x,t}$ ) has a unique solution  $m_{\pm} = I + DC(L^2)$  for each  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Moreover .

$$(73.2) \quad m_{\pm} = I + C^{\pm} (\mu (w_{x,t}^+ + w_{x,t}^-))$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{I} + L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is the unique solution of

$$(73.3) \quad (I - C_{w_{x,t}}) \mu = I$$

Remark: As  $n=2$ ,  $p=2$  and  $\det v_{x,t} = 1$ ,

uniqueness in Th<sup>m</sup> 73.1 also follows from Th<sup>m</sup> 70.1.

—

We are also interested in solutions of RHP's in senses other than  $L^p$ . We say that  $m(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$  solves the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, v)$  in the classical sense if

(a)  $m(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$  and continuous up to the boundary in each component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$

$$(74) \quad (\text{b}) \quad m_+(z) = m_-(z) v(z), \quad z \in \Sigma$$

$$(\text{c}) \quad m(z) \rightarrow I \quad \text{as} \quad z \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$$

All the above limits are taken in the classical sense e.g.  $\mathbb{C}/$  means that given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists R$

$$\text{st} \quad |m(z) - I| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad |z| > R, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma.$$

Note:  $(\Sigma, v)$  has a classical solution  $\Rightarrow v(z)$  is continuous on  $\Sigma$ .

We consider some standard ways in RHP's arise.

First we consider a remarkable class of operators whose resolvent can be computed in terms of a RHP. These are the so-called integrable operators

(Ref P. Deift "Integrable Ops" AMS Transl. (2) 189 (1999) 69-

84 ) Let  $\Sigma$  be an oriented contour in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We

say that an operator  $K$  acting in  $L^2(\Sigma)$  is integrable

if it has a kernel of the form

$$(75.1) \quad K(z, z') = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N f_j(z) g_j(z')}{z - z'}, \quad z, z' \in \Sigma$$

for some functions  $f_i, g_j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ . Integ op's were

first singled out as a distinguished class by Sakhnovich

in the late 1960's, and their theory was developed fully by

Its, Izergin, Kapanev & Slavnov in the early 1990's.

Particular examples of such operators had appeared

earlier (Tracy, ...)  $\approx 1960$ .

The action of  $K$  in  $L^2(\Sigma)$  is given by

$$Kh(z) = \pi i \sum_{j=1}^N f_j(z) (\hat{H}(hg_j))(z), \quad z \in \Sigma, \quad h \in L^2(\Sigma).$$

where  $\tilde{H} = \text{Cauchy Principal Value operator}$ ,  $\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{i} \cdot \frac{H}{q}$

$$(\tilde{H} \tilde{h})(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\{z' \in \Sigma : |z' - z| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{\tilde{h}(z')}{z - z'} dz'$$

Hilbert transform

for  $\tilde{h} \in L^2(\Sigma)$ . Thus we see that if  $\Sigma$  is  $A$ -regular (which we always assume) and if the functions  $f_i, g_j \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ ,  $i \leq i_1, j \leq n_1$ , then  $k$  gives rise to a bounded operator in  $L^2$  (in fact in  $L^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ).

Integ. operators indeed have many remarkable properties.

To understand the origin of these properties, it is useful to consider a more general situation. Let

$\mathcal{F}$  be the space of finite rank op's in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $A$  be a fixed oper. acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,

and let  $\mathcal{F}_A$  be the class of op's which commutes with  $A$  is "small", more precisely, finite rank ie.

$$\mathcal{F}_A = \{k : [A, k] \in \mathcal{F}\}, \quad f = \text{finite rank operators}$$

$\leftarrow$  Then

77 (1)  $\mathcal{F}_A$  is an algebra  $\Leftrightarrow$  if  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{F}_A$  &  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$

are scalars, then  $\lambda_1 k_1 + \lambda_2 k_2 \in \mathcal{F}_A$ , and  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{F}_A$ .

77 (2) If  $k \in \mathcal{F}_A$ , and  $1-k$  is invertible, then  $R \in \mathcal{F}_A$

where  $(1-k)^{-1} = 1+R$ .

(1) follows immediately from the identity  $[A, k_1 k_2]$

$$= k_1 [A, k_2] + [A, k_1] k_2$$

To prove (2) use the identity

$$(77.3) \quad [A, (1-k)^{-1}] = (1-k)^{-1} [A, k] (1-k)^{-1}.$$

Observe that  $\mathcal{F} = L^2(\Sigma)$  for some oriented  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{A}$ ,

and if  $A$  is mult. by  $z$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$Ah(z) = z h(z), \quad h \in \mathcal{F}$$

Then a simple computation shows that the integ. ops  
in  $\mathcal{H}$  are precisely the kernel  $\underset{\text{operators}}{\sim} \mathcal{F}_A$ .

Suppose  $k$  is an integ. op. with Kernel

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varphi_i(z) g_i(z')}{z - z'} \quad \text{as in (77.1), and that } (1 - k)^{-1} \mathcal{F} :$$

Suppose further that  $R = (1 - k)^{-1} - 1$  is a kernel

operator. Then we learn from (77.2) and (77.3)

that  $R$  is also an integrable operator with

Kernel

$$(78.1) \quad R(z, z') = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{F_i(z) G_i(z')}{z - z'}$$

where

$$(78.2) \quad F_i = (1 - k)^{-1} \varphi_i, \quad G_i = (1 - k^\top)^{-1} g, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is a remarkable fact that these functions can

be computed in terms of canonical, auxiliary

DHP's,

We need an additional algebraic fact. If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are Banach spaces, then the commutation formula

$$(79.1) \quad \frac{\lambda}{DE + \lambda} + D \perp E = 1$$

holds for all bounded operators  $D: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ ,  $E: X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ ,

in the sense that if  $-\lambda \neq 0$  lies in the resolvent set of

$ED$ , then  $-\lambda$  lies in the resolvent set of  $DE$  and

$$(DE + \lambda)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} (1 - D \perp E), \quad \text{(Exercise: prove and vice versa)}$$

(79.1) - The commut. formula has many applications

in math and phys see eg. [D1], A commutation

formula, Duke Math J. (1978). We now apply this

formula to integrable ops.

Let  $K$  be an integ. op. on a contour  $\Sigma$  as

above. Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_N)^T$ ,  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_N)^T$ ; let

$R_F$  be the map of right mult. by  $F$ , taking <sup>row</sup>  $N$ -vector functions to scalar functions,

$$(80.1) \quad R_F h(z) = h(z) f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^M h_i(z) f_i(z),$$

$$h = (h_1, \dots, h_M),$$

And let  $R_{g^T}$  denote the map of right multiplication by the row vector  $g^T$  taking scalar functions  $k$  to row  $N$ -vector functions

$$(80.2) \quad (R_{g^T} k)(z) = k(z) g^T(z) = (k(z) g_1(z), \dots, k(z) g_N(z))$$

In this notation,  $k$  takes the form

$$(80.3) \quad k = i\pi R_F (\hat{A}(R_{g^T} k)) = (DE)(k)$$

where  $D = R_F$ ,  $E = i\pi \hat{A} R_{g^T}$ . Then  $ED$  is a map from row  $N$ -vector functions to row  $N$ -vector functions

$$(80.3) \quad (ED)(u) = i\pi \hat{A} R_{g^T} R_F u = i\pi \hat{A} (u \# g^T)$$

Recalling  $C^+ + C^- = iH = -\hat{A}$ , we obtain

(81)

$$(81.1) \quad EDu = C^+(u(-i\pi f g^T)) + C^-(u(-i\pi f g^T))$$

Thus  $ED$  is precisely the singular operator  $C_w$

with  $\omega_+ = \omega_- = -i\pi f g^T$ . Thus  $V_+ = I - i\pi f g^T$ ,

$$V_- = I + i\pi f g^T \quad \text{and} \quad \text{no } \underbrace{\text{provided that } i\pi f g^T \neq 0}_{\text{provided that } i\pi f g^T \neq 0}$$

$$(81.2) \quad \Sigma = V_-^{-1} V_+ = (I + i\pi f g^T)^{-1} (I - i\pi f g^T) = I - \frac{2i\pi}{i\pi f g^T} f g^T$$

where  $\langle g, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N g_i f_i$ . Thus the op.  $K$  is

ultimately connected to a RHP  $(\Sigma, v)$  with  $v$  given

by (81.2). Also  $I - K$  is invertible ( $\Rightarrow I - C_w$  is invertible).

We now compute  $F_i = (I - K)^{-1} f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$  in

terms of the solution  $u$  of the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, v)$ .

We have by (79.1) for  $K = DE$

$$F = (F_1, \dots, F_N)^T = (I - K)^{-1} f.$$

$$= f + R_f (I - C_w)^{-1} i\pi \hat{H} R_g f$$

$$= f + R_f (I - C_w)^{-1} i\pi \hat{H} f g^T$$

(82)

$$= f + R_F (I - (\omega)^{-1} (C_\omega I))$$

$$= f + R_F (-I) + R_F (I - (\omega)^{-1} I)$$

$$= R_F \mu, \quad \text{where} \quad (I - (\omega)^{-1})\mu = I,$$

$$= m_{\pm} v_{\pm}^{-1} f$$

$$= m_{\pm} (I \mp i\pi f g^T)^{-1} f.$$

if .

$$(82.1) \quad F = (I \mp i\pi \langle g, \varphi \rangle)^{-1} m_{\pm} f$$

Similarly (exercise)

$$(82.2) \quad G = (g_1, \dots, g_n)^T = (I \mp i\pi \langle g, \varphi \rangle)^{-1} \tilde{m}_{\pm} g$$

where  $\tilde{m}$  is the solution of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -normalized RHP $(I, \tilde{f})$  where

$$(82.3) \quad \tilde{f} = I + \frac{2\pi i}{I - i\pi \langle g, \varphi \rangle} g \varphi^T$$

Observe that  $\tilde{v} = (v^T)^{-1}$  and hence  $(m_{\pm}^T)^{-1} = (m_{\pm}^T)^{-1} (v^T)^T$ 
 $= (m_{\pm}^T)^{-1} \tilde{v} \quad . \quad \text{As } (m^T)^{-1} \rightarrow I \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty \text{ we see that}$

(83)

(83.1)

$$\tilde{m}_\pm = (m_\pm^\top | -1 )$$

by uniqueness for the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, \tilde{\sigma})$ . This

means that to construct  $F$  and  $G$ , and hence  $(I - k)^{-1}$ , it is suff. only to consider the RHP  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$ .

To summarize: in the special case  $\mathcal{A} = L^2(\Sigma)$ ,

with  $A \equiv$  mult. by  $z$ , we have for  $k$  as above:

$$(83.2) \quad R = (I - k)^{-1} - I = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^M F_j(z) G_j(z)}{z - z'}$$

where

(83.3)

$$F = (F_1, \dots, F_M)^T = (I \pm i\pi \langle g, \varphi \rangle)^{-1} m \pm f$$

$$G = (G_1, \dots, G_M)^T = (I \pm i\pi \langle g, \varphi \rangle)^{-1} (m^\top)_\pm^{-1} g$$

where  $m$  is the solution of the normalized RHP

$(\Sigma, \nu)$  with

(83.4)

$$\nu = I - \left( \frac{2\pi i}{1 + i\pi \langle g, \varphi \rangle} \right) \varphi g^T$$

Remark: The above calculations are formal, but can clearly be made rigorous in terms of the precise meaning of a RHP, etc.

Of course, all we have done is to replace one

so far

sing. integ. op.  $\mathcal{L}$  with another sing. integ. op  $(\mathcal{L}_w)$ .

But  $(\mathcal{L}_w) \equiv RHF$ 's, which are now very well understood!

In almost all cases of interest  $\langle f(z), g(z) \rangle = 0$ ,  $z \in \Sigma$ ,

so

$$(84.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L} = I - 2\pi i f g^T \\ F = m_+ f \quad , \quad G = (m_-^{-1})^T g \end{array} \right.$$

Note:  $m_+ f = m_- \mathcal{L} f = m_- (\mathcal{L} - 2\pi i f g^T, f) = m_- \mathcal{L} f$

$$m_+^{-T} f = m_-^{-T} \mathcal{L}^{-T} f = m_-^{-T} (I + 2\pi i g^T f^T) g \\ = m_-^{-T} g$$

Note  $\rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{x,y} \tilde{f}_i(x) g_i(y)$

$= \mathcal{L}^T$ . Thus  $(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1} \neq (I - \tilde{\mathcal{L}})^{-1}$   $\Rightarrow$   $(I - \tilde{\mathcal{L}})^{-1} \neq \mathcal{L}^T$ . Thus, in particular, if  $(I - \mathcal{L})^{-1} \neq 0$ , both  $(I, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $(I, \mathcal{L})$  have normalized solutions. It is surprising that they are so simply related,  $\tilde{m} = (m^T)^{-1}$ .